

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

IA. NO. OF 2026

IN

SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025
IN RE: VICTIMS OF DIGITAL ARREST AND ORGANIZED CYBER CRIME

APPLICATION BY THE INTERVENOR-IN-PERSON SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES PLACE ON RECORD A
COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC RESEARCH REPORT ON SYSTEMIC DATA
COMPROMISE, BIOMETRIC IDENTITY EXPOSURE AND REGULATORY VACUUM IN
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA (2012-2026) IN SUO MOTO
WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025 UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA READ WITH ORDER LV RULE 6 OF THE SUPREME COURT RULES, 2013

PAPER BOOK

Nitish Kumar

PETITIONER IN PERSON



INDEX

Sl. No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	APPLICATION BY THE INTERVENOR-IN-PERSON SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES TO PLACE ON RECORD A COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC RESEARCH REPORT ON SYSTEMIC DATA COMPROMISE, BIOMETRIC IDENTITY EXPOSURE AND REGULATORY VACUUM IN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA (2012-2026) IN SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025 UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH ORDER LV RULE 6 OF THE SUPREME COURT RULES, 2013	3-7
2.	Affidavit in support of the Intervenor Application	8-9
3.	Annexure A-1: INDIA'S DIGITAL DACOITY THE COMPLETE INVESTIGATION REPORT	10-79
4.	Annexure A-2: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF DIGITAL LOAN FRAUD IN INDIA	80-138
5	Annexure A-3 CLASSIFIED INVESTIGATION DOSSIER	139-153
6	ANNEXURE A-4 THREE-COMPANY DEEP FORENSIC ANALYSIS	154-176
7	Application seeking permission to appear and argue in person	177-178
8	Memo of Appearance	179
9	Identity Card.	180

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. OF 2026

IN

SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025

IN RE: VICTIMS OF DIGITAL ARREST AND ORGANIZED CYBER
CRIME

SUBJECT:APPLICATION BY THE INTERVENOR-IN-PERSON SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES TO PLACE ON RECORD A COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC RESEARCH REPORT ON SYSTEMIC DATA COMPROMISE, BIOMETRIC IDENTITY EXPOSURE AND REGULATORY VACUUM IN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA (2012-2026) IN SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025 UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH ORDER LV RULE 6 OF THE SUPREME COURT RULES, 2013

TO THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA AND HIS COMPANION JUSTICES OF THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. The present application is filed by the Intervenor-in-Person seeking permission of this Hon'ble Court to place on record a **comprehensive forensic research report mapping**



the systemic compromise of digital identity infrastructure in India between 2012 and 2026.

2. The report establishes that the current wave of **Digital Arrest frauds, cyber extortion, loan-app harassment, and organized cybercrime** is not an isolated criminal phenomenon but the **direct consequence of a long-standing structural failure in the protection of citizen data and biometric identity systems.**
3. The Applicant submits that the present Suo Motu proceedings concerning victims of digital arrest present an appropriate constitutional forum for examining the **root cause of such crimes — namely, the mass exposure and commodification of citizen digital identity data.**
4. **LOCUS STANDI:** " The Applicant is a **Certified National Cyber Security Scholar and Data Scientist** who has independently investigated the Indian cybercrime ecosystem for over a decade. The Applicant has previously submitted technical representations and investigative findings to various government agencies including the **National Security Advisor, Ministry of Home Affairs, and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.** The Applicant has conducted a long-term forensic investigation into **data breaches, identity theft networks, and cross-border cybercrime infrastructure operating within India's digital economy.** The findings presented in the research report demonstrate that the **current cybercrime crisis has evolved over a fourteen-year period due to systemic governance failures.**
"

SYSTEMIC DATA COMPROMISE (2012-2026)

5. The forensic investigation identifies multiple large-scale breaches involving sensitive personal data of Indian citizens, including:



- Aadhaar identity records
 - Telecom subscriber databases
 - Banking and payment records
 - Airline and travel databases
 - Corporate consumer databases
 - Government cloud infrastructure
6. The cumulative effect of these exposures has created a large underground market where citizen identity datasets are traded.
7. Criminal syndicates exploit such datasets to conduct sophisticated fraud operations.

BIOMETRIC IDENTITY VULNERABILITY

8. A critical feature of the present crisis arises from the nature of biometric identity systems.
9. Biometric identifiers such as fingerprints and iris scans cannot be reset once compromised.
10. The Applicant submits that once biometric data is leaked, citizens enter a condition where identity misuse becomes permanently possible.
11. The investigation describes this condition as a **Digital Identity Event Horizon**, where identity compromise becomes effectively irreversible.

AI-ENABLED FRAUD

12. The emergence of artificial intelligence technologies has further intensified cybercrime.
13. Criminal networks increasingly use:
- voice cloning systems
 - deepfake video generation
 - automated impersonation tools
14. These tools allow criminals to impersonate law-enforcement officials, bank officers, and government authorities.
15. Such technology is frequently used in **Digital Arrest fraud operations**.

REGULATORY VACUUM

16. The Applicant's investigation reveals a long-standing vacuum in breach response protocols.

17. While legal frameworks exist, including the IT Act and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, there remains no operational mechanism for large-scale remediation of compromised citizen identity data.

This absence of systemic response has allowed cybercrime ecosystems to evolve over a period exceeding fourteen years.

PRAYER

In view of the above it is respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

- a) Permit the Applicant to intervene and place the accompanying research material on record.
- b) Direct the Union of India to conduct a national audit of citizen identity data exposures arising from historical data breaches.
- c) Direct the formulation of a national mechanism enabling citizens to deactivate compromised digital identities.
- d) Issue appropriate directions for regulation of artificial-intelligence-based identity impersonation technologies.
- e) Pass such other orders as deemed appropriate.

Filed By

Nitish Kumar
Applicant-in-Person

DRAWN BY:

Nitish Kumar

FILED BY:



Drawn On: 12.02.2026
Filed On: 12.03.2026



Nitish Kumar
Petitioner in person



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. of 2026

IN
SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025
IN RE: VICTIMS OF DIGITAL ARREST AND ORGANIZED CYBER
CRIME

AFFIDAVIT

I, Nitish Kumar, son of Anita Bala, aged about 32 years, residing at 8206 D2 Eco Floor, Jungian Road, Kharar 140301, Punjab, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:

1. That I am the Applicant-in-Person in the accompanying Interlocutory Application and, in my capacity as a National Cyber Security Scholar, I am fully conversant with the technical facts and forensic circumstances of the present case.
2. That the accompanying application is filed to place on record a Forensic Investigation Report (2012-2026) which establishes a 14year SOP vacuum in data protection and the resulting "Identity Event Horizon" that has left 1.4 billion citizens permanently vulnerable to digital dacoity.
3. That the contents of the Annexures (A-1 to A-4) provided are true and correct representations of my investigation into organized crime syndicates (BNS Section 111) and foreign surveillance networks, including SilverPush and InMobi, which have hijacked Indian digital sovereignty.
4. That I solemnly affirm that since 2016, I have consistently provided this root evidence to the National Security Advisor (NSA), MHA, and MeitY, and the current "Monster" of digital dacoity is a direct result of the state's persistent negligence in acting upon these warnings.
5. That the accompanying application is made bona fide in the interest of National Security and for the protection of the fundamental rights of Indian citizens under Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.



Som m
DEPONENT



Som m

VERIFICATION

I, the above-named deponent, do hereby verify that the contents of paragraphs 1 to 5 of the above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge and belief, based on my forensic research and personal records, and nothing material has been concealed therefrom. Verified at Kharar on this 17th day of February 2026.

Sum m

DEPONENT

Adhar No - 7538-5441-4077



ATTESTED

smf

SURINDER KUMARI SHARMA
Notary, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali)

17/2/26

The contents of this Affidavit/Document has been explained to the deponent/executants. He/She has admitted the same to be correct. The deponent/executant has signed Register at Sr. No. 267 P. No. 72 Date 17/2/26

Certified that the Affidavit/GPA/SPA/ I Bond has been readover & explained to the deponent/executant who seemed to understand the same at the time of signing thereof. I identified the deponent/executant who signed/initials marked in my presence.



Sum m

ANNEXURE A1

INDIA'S DIGITAL DACOITY

THE COMPLETE INVESTIGATION

REPORT

2012 — 2026

*ALL NBFC CATEGORIES · CHINESE LOAN APP NETWORKS · SHELL COMPANY MAPS ·
SILVERPUSH & ADTECH SDKs*

*SERVER INFRASTRUCTURE · DATA PROTECTION LAWS (IT ACT 2000 → DPDPA 2023) ·
DIGITAL ARREST CRIME TIMELINE*

COMPLETE VERIFIED SOURCES · CONNECTION-BY-CONNECTION MAPPING

CLASSIFICATION	
Scope	All India 2012–2026
Chapters	7 Major Parts: NBFC Universe Chinese Networks Shell Companies AdTech Server Infrastructure Data Protection Laws Digital Arrest
Verified Sources	RBI Press Releases ED/PMLA Orders MCA Filings NCRP Data Parliamentary Records Court Orders CARE Ratings FTC Wikipedia IndiaSpend
Date of Report	March 2026

PART 1: INDIA'S NBFC UNIVERSE — COMPLETE REGULATORY LANDSCAPE

1.1 What Is an NBFC? The Legal Foundation

A Non-Banking Financial Company (NBFC) is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 (or 1956) that is engaged in the business of loans, advances, acquisition of shares/stocks/bonds/debentures, leasing, hire-purchase, insurance, or chit business but does NOT hold a banking licence from the RBI. NBFCs cannot accept 'demand deposits' (like savings/current accounts) nor issue cheques. They are regulated by the RBI under Section 45-IA of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 — which requires every NBFC to register with the RBI and maintain a minimum Net Owned Fund.

FIELD	DETAIL
Primary Legislation	Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 — Chapter III-B (Sections 45-I to 45-MB)
Registration Requirement	Section 45-IA: Every NBFC must obtain Certificate of Registration (CoR) from RBI
Minimum Net Owned Fund	Rs. 2 Crore (raised from Rs. 25 lakh in 2019)
Cancellation Power	Section 45-IA (6): RBI can cancel CoR if NBFC violates guidelines, operates against public interest, or fails to comply
Estimated Total Active NBFCs (2024)	~9,500 registered with RBI (approximately 7,000+ currently active)
NBFCs by Asset Size	Base Layer: <Rs. 1,000 Cr Middle Layer: Rs. 1,000–50,000 Cr Upper Layer: >Rs. 50,000 Cr Top Layer: Systemically critical
Digital Lending NBFCs (active, 2024)	1,100+ estimated (RBI Working Group, 2021)
Illegal/Unregistered Loan Apps (2021)	600+ identified by RBI Working Group
Apps Removed from Play Store (2021–23)	4,700+ removed by Google after policy change requiring regulated NBFC backing

1.2 NBFC Categories — All Types Mapped

NBFCs are classified by their activity type and systemic importance. Understanding this classification is essential because predatory digital lenders exploit the most lightly regulated categories:

NBFC TYPE	FULL NAME	ACTIVITY / PRODUCTS	REGULATORY LAYER
NBFC-ICC	Investment & Credit Company	Personal loans, business loans, asset finance — MOST PREDATORY DIGITAL LENDERS ARE THIS TYPE	Base/Middle
NBFC-MFI	Micro Finance Institution	Micro-loans to rural/low-income borrowers ≤Rs. 3 lakh. Interest cap: lower of 22% p.a. or 2.5x avg bank rate	Base/Middle
NBFC-HFC	Housing Finance Company	Home loans, LAP (Loans Against Property)	Middle/Upper
NBFC-IDF	Infrastructure Debt Fund	Long-term infrastructure project refinancing	Upper
NBFC-IFC	Infrastructure Finance Company	Minimum 75% assets in infrastructure	Upper
NBFC-ND	Non-Deposit Taking	Cannot accept public deposits — majority of digital lenders	Base/Middle
NBFC-SI	Systemically Important	Asset size >Rs. 500 Cr — higher capital & reporting requirements	Upper/Top
NBFC-AA	Account Aggregator	Aggregates financial data across institutions — NEW; consent-based data sharing	Special
NBFC-P2P	Peer-to-Peer Lending	Connects lenders to borrowers digitally — 21 licensed P2P NBFCs (2020)	Special
CIC	Core Investment Company	Holds equity of group companies only — no lending to public	Base (exempt)
RNBC	Residuary Non-Banking Company	Collects deposits under misc schemes — strict rules	Base

1.3 RBI-Penalised, Barred, and Cancelled NBFCs: Complete Documented List (2020–2026)

The following table documents every known NBFC enforcement action taken by the RBI from 2020 through early 2026 that has been publicly reported. These are verified from RBI press releases, ED orders, and investigative reporting by The420.in, Medianama, Business Standard, and Moneylife.

1.3.1 The May 2022 Batch: 5 NBFCs — Chinese Loan App Fronts

NBFC NAME	DETAILS OF CANCELLATION & LINKED APPS
Jhuria Financial Services Private Limited (RoC-Shillong)	CoR CANCELLED May 2022. Chinese directors infiltrated (Wang Meng and others per MCA). Apps operated: MoNeed, MoMo, CashFish, Kreditpe, RupeeLand, Rupee Master. MoNeed founded by Fiona (ex-car sales) and Leon (ex-Club Factory), HQ in Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. Android code of MoNeed app contained Chinese domains: momo-activity-h5-prod.moneed.cn, t7.baidu.com, alogsus.umeng.com. Common directorship with Moneed Fintech Private Limited (CIN: U65999DL2019PTC349110).
Chadha Finance Limited (Delhi)	CoR CANCELLED May 2022. Chinese Director: WANG MENG (DIN: 08345726). App operated: WiFi Cash. Android code contained: api.map.baidu.com, api-cn.faceplusplus.com (Chinese face-recognition API), idfp.tongdun.net. The presence of Face++API (a Chinese surveillance-grade facial recognition service used by the Chinese government) in a consumer loan app is a national security concern.
UMB Securities Limited	CoR CANCELLED May 2022. Part of batch with Jhuria and Chadha. Cited: violation of RBI outsourcing guidelines, Fair Practices Code violations in digital lending, excessive interest rates, undue customer harassment.
Anashri Finvest	CoR CANCELLED May 2022. Same batch. Same grounds: digital lending violations, excessive interest, harassment.
Alexcy Tracon	CoR CANCELLED May 2022. Same batch. RBI stated all five cancelled 'on account of violation of RBI guidelines on outsourcing and Fair Practices Code in their digital lending operations undertaken through third party apps which was considered detrimental to public interest.'

1.3.2 Chinese-Linked NBFCs: ED PMLA Investigations (2021–2022)

ENTITY	ED FINDINGS & AMOUNTS ATTACHED
Kudos Finance and Investments Private Limited	ED ATTACHMENT: Rs. 72.32 Crore (Bank and payment gateway accounts). Jan 2022 provisional order under PMLA. Action linked to Telangana Police FIRs for illegal lending and extortionist recovery. 'Flush with investments from China and Hong Kong.'

So am my

Acemoney (India) Limited	ED investigation 2022–2024. Operated 34 apps including ActLoan, CashLender, QuickRupee. RBI eventually cancelled CoR (May 2024). Chinese entities used Acemoney's defunct NBFC licence to operate illegal lending apps. Cited in Inc42 report (2022). Rs. 86.65 Crore total attachment across 155 bank/payment gateway accounts (combined with Rhino Finance etc.).
Rhino Finance Private Limited	ED ATTACHMENT: Part of Rs. 86.65 Crore combined provisional order. Chinese-backed fintech operation using Rhino's dormant NBFC licence.
Pioneer Financial and Management Services Private Limited	ED ATTACHMENT: Part of Rs. 86.65 Crore combined provisional order. Same modus operandi — defunct Indian NBFC licence used by Chinese-funded fintech.
Comein Network Technology Private Limited (and linked NBFCs)	ED FREEZE: Rs. 9.82 Crore (separate action). Described as 'Chinese-controlled entity' operating loan apps Cashhome, Cashmart, Easyloan under service agreements with NBFCs. Case originated from HPZ token/cryptocurrency scheme. Underlying FIR from Kohima Police, Nagaland (Oct 2021).
Chinese-linked payment gateways (Easebuzz, Razorpay, Cashfree, Paytm)	ED FREEZE: Rs. 46.67 Crore frozen at payment gateways. Jan 2022. These were payment processors for Chinese loan apps — not lenders themselves. All cooperated with ED and confirmed funds did not belong to them. Action demonstrated breadth of financial infrastructure involved.

1.3.3 Kudos Finance and Credit Gate: February 2023 Cancellations

ENTITY	DETAIL
Kudos Finance and Investments Private Limited	CoR CANCELLED February 2023 by RBI. Pre-dated by Rs. 72 Crore ED attachment. One of the most documented Chinese-linked NBFC collapses.
Credit Gate Private Limited	CoR CANCELLED February 2023 by RBI — same batch as Kudos. Also operated as front for Chinese-funded instant loan apps.

1.3.4 Acemoney Final Cancellation: May 2024

Entity	Acemoney (India) Limited
CoR Cancelled	May 2024 (final cancellation after years of investigation)
Apps Operated	ActLoan, CashLender, QuickRupee and 31 others (34 total)
Status	NBFC registered as Non-Deposit Taking ICC in 'Base Layer' per 2023 RBI document
Key Finding	Chinese entities used Acemoney's defunct NBFC licence to operate loan apps — confirmed by Medianama and Inc42 reports

So am my

1.3.5 October 2024 Barring Action: 4 Major NBFCs

NBFC	GROUNDS & IMPLICATIONS
Asirvad Micro Finance Ltd (subsidiary of Manappuram Finance)	BARRED from new loans Oct 21, 2024. Grounds: excessive Weighted Average Lending Rate (WALR), household income assessment violations, evergreening of loans. Contributes ~25% of Manappuram Finance's consolidated AUM — direct share price impact.
Arohan Financial Services Ltd	BARRED from new loans Oct 21, 2024. Grounds: usurious pricing, faulty household income assessment, violation of FPC.
DMI Finance Private Limited (backed by MUFG, Japan)	BARRED from new loans Oct 21, 2024. Grounds: excessive interest spread, IR&AC violations, opaque fees. MUFG is one of Japan's largest banks — international backing did not protect against RBI action.
Navi Finserv Limited (founded by Sachin Bansal, ex-Flipkart)	BARRED from new loans Oct 21, 2024. Grounds: excessive WALR, pricing policy violations, non-compliance with prior RBI warnings. High-profile founder did not prevent enforcement.

1.3.6 December 2025: MeitY Bans 87 Apps

Action	Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) banned 87 loan apps from app stores
Date	December 2025
Grounds	Data misuse, fraud, and harassment of borrowers
Significance	Largest single-day app ban related to digital lending in India's history. Demonstrates that RBI cancellation of NBFC licence does not automatically remove apps — MeitY coordination was required.
Status (March 2026)	Per industry observers, hundreds of apps remain operational despite bans — rebranding and re-uploading is common practice

1.4 The MoU Route: How Chinese Operators Hijacked Dormant NBFCs

The single most important structural finding about the Chinese loan app network is what the Enforcement Directorate termed 'the MoU route.' This is the mechanism by which Chinese-funded fintech companies bypassed India's NBFC licensing system entirely:

1. NBFC licensing is not freely available — RBI grants new licences sparingly, requiring Rs. 2 Crore minimum NOF, fit-and-proper criteria for directors, and a track record. Chinese entities in 2019–2021 could not easily get fresh NBFC licences.
2. India has thousands of dormant NBFCs — companies that obtained RBI licences years earlier, are technically active, but conduct zero business. These were available for acquisition via MCA share transfer.

Som

3. Chinese-funded fintech companies (operating from Hangzhou, Shenzhen, Hong Kong) identified dormant Indian NBFCs, acquired them via MCA-registered share transfers and director appointments (adding Chinese nationals or Indian proxies to the board).
4. They then signed an MoU with the now-Chinese-controlled NBFC — officially described as 'the NBFC has hired the fintech company for customer discovery' — but in reality, the fintech company brought the capital, ran the operations, collected the data, and exported the profits while the NBFC provided only regulatory cover.
5. Profits were repatriated to China via hawala networks, cryptocurrency exchanges (Bitcoin/USDT), and shell company bank accounts. ED found Rs. 950 Crore in slush funds generated by Chinese-funded fintechs by 2022.
6. When enforcement action came, the Chinese operators had already exited India — leaving Indian proxies facing prosecution while Chinese principals were beyond reach. Chandigarh Police identified Chinese national Jeffery Jhu as a handler who 'left India in 2020' — now unreachable.

△ KEY NATIONAL SECURITY FINDING: TV Mohandas Pai (Chairman, Aarin Capital Partners) publicly stated that 'in major Chinese companies, the Communist Party has taken position and moved out the founders... there is total government control and takeover and that means the way the data resides, what they do, how they do, is a part of espionage.' The data of 1+ million Indian borrowers — including Aadhaar, PAN, bank statements, contact lists, location data — collected by Chinese loan apps may have been exfiltrated to servers under CCP oversight. This is not a commercial privacy violation; it is a national security concern.

PART 2 — CHINESE LOAN APP NETWORK: COMPLETE CONNECTION MAP

PART 2: CHINESE LOAN APP NETWORK ENTITY-BY-ENTITY MAP

2.1 The Full App Ecosystem — All Documented Chinese-Linked Apps

The following apps have been documented in RBI press releases, ED orders, police FIRs, and investigative reporting as linked to Chinese-funded operations or Chinese-director-infiltrated NBFCs. Source: The420.in forensic analysis, Medianama, Business Standard, Moneylife, Chandigarh Police FIRs, Telangana Police FIRs, ED PMLA statements.

APP NAME	LINKED NBFC	CHINESE INFRASTRUCTURE EVIDENCE	ENFORCEMENT ACTION
MoNeed	Jhuria Financial Services Moneed Fintech Pvt Ltd (CIN: U65999DL2019PTC349110)	Chinese domains: momo-activity-h5-prod.moneed.cn, t7.baidu.com, alogsus.umeng.com (Umeng = Chinese analytics). HQ Hangzhou, Zhejiang. Founders ex-Club Factory (Chinese e-commerce).	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022. App deleted from stores.
MoMo	Jhuria Financial Services	Same Chinese infrastructure as MoNeed. Baidu tracking APIs embedded.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
CashFish	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese API dependencies identified in APK reverse engineering.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
Kreditpe	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese API endpoints in app code.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
RupeeLand	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese server infrastructure.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
Rupee Master	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
FlyCash	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.

So am my

Karna Loan	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
Mr. Cash	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
Kush Cash	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
MRupee	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese infrastructure identified.	Jhuria CoR cancelled May 2022.
WiFi Cash	Chadha Finance Limited (Delhi)	Director Wang Meng (DIN: 08345726) Chinese national. APIs: api.map.baidu.com (Baidu Maps), api-cn.faceplusplus.com (Face++ facial recognition — used by Chinese surveillance), idfp.tongdun.net (Tongdun — Chinese AI risk company).	Chadha Finance CoR cancelled May 2022.
ActLoan / CashLender / QuickRupee (34 total)	Acemoney (India) Limited	Chinese entities acquired dormant NBFC licence. 34 apps operated under one NBFC registration.	Acemoney CoR cancelled May 2024. ED investigation ongoing.
Cashhome / Cashmart / Easyloan	Comein Network Technology Pvt Ltd linked NBFCs	'Chinese-controlled entity' per ED statement. Case originated from HPZ token fraud (Kohima Police FIR Oct 2021).	ED froze Rs. 9.82 Crore. Jan 2024.
Hugo Loan, Coin Cash, AA Loan, AK Loan, Win Credit	PC Finance Gurgaon linked operations	Chandigarh Police identified: Chinese handler Jeffery Jhu (fled India 2020), Chinese national Wang Chengua (arrested), Indian proxies including Parwej Alam (kingpin). Shell pharmaceutical and freight companies created for money laundering. Rs. 50 lakh frozen.	Chandigarh Police arrested 21 suspects. FIR filed. Letters to Google for app deletion.
Flip Cash, ApnaAroham, LoanCube	Various unregistered or shell-backed entities	Widely reported for regulatory violations, lack of NBFC backing, or aggressive recovery. Listed in MeitY 87-app ban (Dec 2025).	MeitY ban Dec 2025.
Timely Cash, Y Cash, Momo, CashBus, Fast Rupee, Robo Cash,	Various Chinese-funded entities	Reached 1+ million Indian borrowers. Named in Telangana Police and Moneylife investigation.	Multiple Telangana Police FIRs. Basis of Rs. 72 Crore ED attachment.

Cash Mama, Loan Time		Led to Telangana Police FIRs (multiple) that triggered ED PMLA investigation.	
Loan Gram, Super Cash, Mint Cash	Investigated by Telangana Police	72+ apps investigated offering loans 'without appropriate authorisation from RBI.' Most found to be Chinese-linked.	Telangana Police investigation 2020-21. Apps removed from Play Store.

2.2 Nepal Axis: The Cross-Border Recovery Infrastructure

One of the most disturbing findings in the Chinese loan app investigation is the use of Nepal as a recovery call centre base. Nepal police raided illegal call centres in Kathmandu and arrested 190 people, including 5 Chinese nationals and 2 Indians, who were running operations targeting Indian borrowers:

- Chinese nationals set up call centres in Kathmandu and other Nepali cities, operating from casino buildings.
- Local Nepali individuals were recruited with high commissions to make abusive recovery calls to Indian borrowers in Hindi and English.
- Nepal intelligence agencies raised formal concerns about 'digital slavery' — young Nepalis recruited into illegal operations by Chinese handlers.
- Nepal has deported 1,500+ Chinese nationals in 7 years for alleged involvement in illegal activities targeting India.
- Indian borrowers receiving calls from +977 (Nepal) country code believed calls were from collection agencies — not aware they were from Chinese-run operations.
- Voice calls were supplemented by WhatsApp harassment, morphed images, and mass contact messaging — all coordinated from Nepal call centres.

⚠ This cross-border structure means that when Indian victims file complaints at cybercrime.gov.in, the perpetrators are physically in Nepal or China — creating immediate jurisdictional barriers to prosecution. Interpol red notices have been issued in some cases, but Chinese principals have largely evaded accountability.

2.3 The Rs. 4,900 Crore Cyber Fraud Fund: ED's 2024 Finding

The Enforcement Directorate's comprehensive 2024 investigation (reported by the Financial Crimes Research Foundation / FCRF) revealed the aggregate scale of Chinese loan app fraud:

So am my

Rs. 4,900 Crore in cyber fraud funds identified across hundreds of mule bank accounts. The money laundering architecture involved:

7. Borrowers paid EMIs and penalties to bank accounts of shell companies registered on fake addresses/documents.
8. Funds aggregated in first-layer mule accounts, then transferred through multiple layers of shell company accounts.
9. Final transfer to cryptocurrency exchanges (Bitcoin, USDT/Tether) — enabling irreversible, borderless transfer.
10. Crypto funds moved to Chinese-controlled wallets, completing the FEMA violation (unauthorized capital repatriation).
11. Hawala networks supplemented crypto for larger amounts: Chinese national Jeffery Jhu managed proceeds through hawala routes (Chandigarh Police findings).

PART 3 — SHELL COMPANY NETWORKS: STRUCTURE & MODUS OPERANDI

PART 3: SHELL COMPANY NETWORKS — HOW NBFC FRAUD IS STRUCTURED

3.1 The Classic Shell NBFC Architecture

The shell company network supporting predatory NBFC lending in India follows a consistent pattern. Understanding this architecture is essential for investigators, journalists, and regulators:

LAYER	ENTITY TYPE & FUNCTION
Layer 1 — The Licence Holder (NBFC)	A dormant RBI-registered NBFC incorporated years earlier, acquired cheaply via MCA share transfer. The legitimate face of the operation. Must have an Indian address, Indian-origin directors (on paper), and a registered CoR. This is the 'clean' entity that appears in loan agreements.
Layer 2 — The LSP (Loan Service Provider)	The operational engine — typically a private limited company with minimal capital (Rs. 1–10 lakh paid up), registered separately from the NBFC, often at the same address or in the same building. The LSP manages the app, data collection, WhatsApp funnel, and lead generation. When enforcement comes, the NBFC says 'the LSP did it'; the LSP says 'the NBFC is responsible.' REGULATORY GAP: Until RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Guidelines, this gap was largely unaddressed.
Layer 3 — Recovery Agencies	Third-party call centres, often in different states (or countries), contracted by the LSP for recovery. These have zero NBFC nexus on paper — making it extremely difficult to trace harassment calls back to the regulated entity. Often operated from Gurugram, Hyderabad, UP, Rajasthan, Nepal, Cambodia.
Layer 4 — Payment Gateway / Wallet Accounts	Multiple payment gateway merchant accounts and digital wallet accounts (Razorpay, Cashfree, Paytm, Easebuzz) used to receive borrower repayments. Funds aggregate here before transfer to shell company bank accounts. ED froze Rs. 46.67 Crore at payment gateways in Jan 2022.
Layer 5 — Shell Company Bank Accounts	50–500 mule bank accounts registered in names of shell companies (often registered on fake addresses, using fake/stolen Aadhaar). Funds layered through multiple accounts before final transfer.
Layer 6 — Exit Layer	Cryptocurrency exchange accounts (Binance, WazirX, etc.) or hawala operators. Final conversion to crypto (Bitcoin/USDT) and transfer to Chinese wallets, or cash hawala payments to Chinese handlers.

3.2 Documented Shell Company Structures from Enforcement Cases

CASE	SHELL STRUCTURE DETAILS
Chandigarh Police Case — Jeffery Jhu Network (Sep 2022)	Chinese handler Jeffery Jhu (fled India 2020) created SHELL PHARMACEUTICAL and FREIGHT COMPANIES. Indian proxy Anshul Kumar was made director of 2 shell companies by Jeffery. These companies received loan repayments and penalty funds. Money transferred to China via hawala network. Chinese national Wang Chengua arrested; Indian kingpin Parwej Alam (aka Jitu Bhadana) arrested. Apps: Hugo Loan, Coin Cash, AA Loan, AK Loan, Win Credit. Communication via GBWhatsApp, DingTalk, WeChat.
ED Investigation — Kudos Finance Network (2021–2023)	Kudos Finance (legitimate dormant NBFC) used as licence shell. Chinese/HK-funded fintech company provided capital, ran operations. Hundreds of mule accounts across multiple states. Rs. 72.32 Crore frozen in Kudos's bank/payment gateway accounts. Multiple Telangana Police FIRs triggered investigation.
PC Finance, Gurgaon — Directors Peter, Tray, Nicolson (Sep 2022)	Company at Gurgaon had foreign nationals 'Peter, Tray and Nicolson' at top positions. Chandigarh Police noted 'we are yet to verify whether these are real names' — suggesting fake identities used. Multiple suspects from this company then moved to Chandigarh loan app operations. Pattern of Chinese operators cycling through multiple shell companies.
Comein Network Technology — HPZ Token / Loan App Convergence	'Chinese-controlled entity' that simultaneously operated cryptocurrency investment scam (HPZ token — fake Bitcoin mining machines) AND loan apps (Cashhome, Cashmart, Easyloan) under service agreements with NBFCs. This convergence of crypto fraud and loan fraud under one network is a documented pattern of Chinese cybercrime operations in India.

3.3 How to Identify a Shell NBFC: The Red Flag Checklist

RED FLAG	EXPLANATION
Free personal email (Gmail/Outlook) as official MCA correspondence	Legitimate NBFCs use corporate domain emails. Example: Del Capital Pvt Ltd uses del.capital@outlook.com.
Zero or minimal paid-up capital (Rs. 1–10 lakh vs. Rs. 2 Crore requirement)	Post-2019, new NBFCs require Rs. 2 Crore. But old NBFCs acquired their licences under earlier Rs. 25 lakh requirement. A company with Rs. 1–10 lakh paid-up but claiming NBFC operations is either grandfathered (old) or undercapitalized.
ZERO employees on MCA record despite claimed revenue	Lenditt Innovations (Mahavira Finlease's LSP) has Rs. 11.5 Crore revenue but ZERO employees on MCA. This is only possible if the company is either a pass-through entity or misreporting.
NIC code mismatch (e.g., 'Air Transport' for a technology company)	Healthfinit Technology Pvt Ltd (director: Yogeshkumar Majithiya, MD of Chinmay Finlease) has NIC code 62 'Air Transport' — with no connection to its stated healthcare/technology purpose.
No AGM records or AGM date shown as '01 Dec 0001'	MCA anomaly for dormant shelf companies — Healthfinit Technology shows AGM date as '01 Dec 0001.'

Foreign director with generic English/Western name	'Peter', 'Tray', 'Nicolson' at PC Finance Gurgaon. 'Wang Meng' at Chadha Finance. These are red flags of Chinese operator infiltration.
Explosive revenue growth in year 1–3 of operation	Normal financial institutions grow gradually. Chinese-linked app operations show 400–1000% revenue growth in first 2 years, then sudden collapse.
Cryptocurrency or hawala-adjacent payment patterns	Shell companies receiving funds from multiple small PayTM/UPI accounts, immediately transferring to crypto exchanges.

PART 4 — SILVERPUSH, INMOBI & ADTECH SDK SURVEILLANCE

PART 4: ADTECH SDKs IN LOAN APPS — SILVERPUSH, INMOBI & THE SURVEILLANCE ECONOMY

4.1 SilverPush: India's Most Controversial AdTech Company

SilverPush is a Gurgaon-based (with San Francisco presence) advertising technology company founded by Hitesh Chawla (CEO, IIT-Delhi alumnus) and Mudit Seth (CMO). It develops cross-device tracking technology and has been at the centre of multiple international privacy controversies. Understanding SilverPush is critical to the digital lending investigation because its SDK was embedded in apps that tracked users without their knowledge.

FIELD	DETAIL
Full Name	SilverPush Technologies Pvt Ltd
Headquarters	Gurgaon, Haryana (near Delhi) + San Francisco, California
Founded	~2012–2013
Founders	Hitesh Chawla (CEO, IIT-Delhi), Mudit Seth (CMO)
Funding History	\$1.5M seed (2014: Global Super Angels, 500 Startups, IDG Ventures, Unilazer/Ronnie Screwvala) \$5M Series B (Feb 2019: FreakOut Holdings, Japan) \$12M Series C (Nov 2022)
Global Presence	16+ offices in Americas, MENA, Asia
Current Products	Mirrors (AI video context ad targeting) Parallels (real-time moment marketing) Trend Intelligence Platform (launched 2025-26)
Tech Stack (per G2/Crunchbase)	Amazon Route 53 (DNS) iPhone/Mobile Compatible
Key Clients (historical)	Domino's India, Airtel, Aircel, Toyota, Olay, Rosetta Stone
Profile Size (2014)	300 million mobile device profiles across US and India based on advertising exchange data (PubMatic/Smaato)
Key Privacy Controversy	Ultrasonic Audio Beacon Technology (2014–2016) — devices with SilverPush SDK listened for inaudible TV ad beacons, allowing cross-device tracking without user knowledge

4.2 The Ultrasonic Beacon Technology: Technical Deep Dive

SilverPush's most controversial technology was its 'Unique Audio Beacon' (UAB) — a cross-device tracking method that worked without cookies, logins, or user consent:

12. Television advertisements were embedded with near-ultrasonic audio signals in the 18kHz–19.95kHz range (inaudible to humans).
13. Mobile apps containing the SilverPush SDK were programmed to listen for these signals using the device's microphone — continuously, in the background, even when the app was not actively open.
14. When the SDK detected a signal, it sent the device's IMEI number, location data, operating system version, and potentially the device owner's identity to SilverPush's remote servers.
15. This allowed SilverPush to link a television viewer (identified by the TV ad's audio beacon) to their mobile device — achieving cross-device identity matching.
16. SilverPush claimed in April 2015 that 67 apps were using its SDK code. Researchers at Brunswick Technical University (Germany, 2017) identified 234 Android apps employing the technology.
17. FTC issued warning letters to 12 app developers in March 2016 — stating apps 'were capable of listening in the background and collecting information about consumers without notifying them.' FTC Director Jessica Rich: 'These apps were capable of listening in the background and collecting information about consumers without notifying them.'
18. SilverPush officially ended UAB service following FTC pressure. However, as of March 21, 2016, UAB was still being advertised on its website.

△ LOAN APP RISK: The 234 Android apps identified by Brunswick researchers as using SilverPush ultrasonic tracking technology have not been publicly enumerated. Given SilverPush's strong presence in India, its use by Indian lending/financial apps would represent a covert surveillance mechanism inside apps that already collect extreme amounts of personal financial data. The combination of financial data (bank statements, income, contact lists) with cross-device TV-viewing behavior and continuous microphone access is an unparalleled surveillance capability.

4.3 InMobi: India's AdTech Giant and Its Privacy Controversies

InMobi is a Bengaluru-based mobile advertising network founded in 2007 by Naveen Tewari. It is one of India's largest independent AdTech companies, with global operations:

FIELD	DETAIL
Full Name	InMobi Pte Ltd (Singapore HQ) / InMobi Technology Services Pvt Ltd (India operations)
Founded	2007 — originally as mKhoj
Headquarters	Bengaluru, India + Singapore + San Francisco
Founder	Naveen Tewari
Revenue	\$300M+ annually (est.)
FTC Action (2013)	FTC fined InMobi \$950,000 in June 2016 for tracking location of 100 million+ mobile users WITHOUT CONSENT — including minors. InMobi collected location data even when users denied location permission by exploiting WiFi network data. InMobi installed as SDK in apps; users of those apps had their location tracked for ad targeting regardless of their privacy settings.
Privacy Mechanism Exploited	WiFi network scanning: Even when users denied location permission, InMobi's SDK scanned visible WiFi networks and used a WiFi geolocation database to determine the user's precise location.
Affected Users	100 million+ globally, including children on apps directed to minors — FTC found InMobi violated COPPA (Children's Online Privacy Protection Act)
Settlement	\$950,000 civil penalty (June 2016) 20-year privacy monitoring order
Loan App Connection	InMobi SDK embedded in thousands of Indian mobile apps, including financial apps, to monetize free apps through targeted advertising. Apps that integrated InMobi for ad revenue may have inadvertently enabled InMobi's location tracking of their users.

4.4 The AdTech SDK Risk in Loan Apps: How It Works

The intersection of AdTech SDKs and loan apps creates a compounded data surveillance risk that most borrowers and regulators are unaware of:

RISK MECHANISM	EXPLANATION
Monetization SDKs in 'Free' Loan Apps	Many loan apps (especially from less capitalized operations) monetize through advertising in addition to interest revenue. This requires integrating AdTech SDKs (SilverPush, InMobi, Google AdMob, etc.) into the app. Once integrated, these SDKs can collect data independently of the app's own privacy policy.
SDK Data Collection vs. App Data Collection	The loan app's privacy policy governs what the APP collects. But SDKs have their own data collection — governed by their own policies. A borrower who reads the loan app's privacy policy will not find disclosure of the SDK's separate data collection.

Third-Party Data Brokers	AdTech SDKs sell aggregated device data to third-party data brokers. This creates a secondary market for loan applicant device profiles — completely outside the borrower's knowledge or consent.
Cross-App Device Fingerprinting	SilverPush's core technology links a user's device across different apps. A borrower's device that has interacted with a loan app SDK is therefore identifiable across ALL apps on the device — including banking apps, health apps, messaging apps.
Post-Repayment Tracking	Even after a loan is repaid and the borrower deletes the app, device-level identifiers (IMEI, advertising ID) collected by SDKs remain in AdTech databases — enabling continued targeting.

4.5 What App-Based Evidence Should Be Examined

Investigators and regulators examining loan apps for covert data collection should look for:

- Presence of SilverPush SDK code in the APK (Android Package) — identifiable by package name com.silverpush or reverse-engineered microphone access patterns
- InMobi SDK integration — package name com.inmobi.ads or similar
- Baidu SDK components (com.baidu.* packages) — strong indicator of Chinese server infrastructure as documented in Jhuria/Chadha Finance apps
- Face++ API calls (api-cn.faceplusplus.com) — Chinese surveillance-grade facial recognition
- Tongdun API (idfp.tongdun.net) — Chinese AI risk scoring company
- Umeng Analytics (alogsus.umeng.com) — Chinese analytics platform, data flows to China
- UMeng/Alibaba Cloud endpoints — further Chinese infrastructure indicators
- Aggressive permission requests: READ_CONTACTS, READ_CALL_LOG, READ_SMS, ACCESS_FINE_LOCATION, RECORD_AUDIO

PART 5 — NBFC SERVER INFRASTRUCTURE & DATA FLOWS

PART 5: WHERE IS THE DATA? NBFC SERVER INFRASTRUCTURE MAP

5.1 The Indian vs. Chinese Server Question

The question of where NBFC and loan app data is stored is one of the most critical — and most under-investigated — aspects of India's digital lending crisis. RBI's Data Localisation circular (April 2018) required payment system operators to store payment data exclusively in India. The DPDPA 2023 (not yet fully operational) will restrict cross-border data transfers. But in the 2019–2023 period when Chinese loan apps flourished, there was no effective enforcement of data localisation for NBFC operations.

CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURE TYPE	ASSESSMENT FOR INDIAN NBFCs
AWS (Amazon Web Services) — Mumbai Region	Most RBI-compliant Indian NBFCs and fintechs use AWS Mumbai (ap-south-1). CARE-rated entities like Chinmay Finlease and their LSPs likely use AWS or Azure Mumbai for data localisation compliance. AWS maintains data in India unless cross-region replication is configured.
Microsoft Azure — India Central (Pune) / India South (Chennai)	Second most common for Indian financial entities. DMI Finance, some RBI-regulated NBFCs use Azure. MUFG-backed entities prefer Azure for compliance alignment.
Google Cloud Platform — Mumbai	Used by some Indian fintechs, particularly those with Google Pay / Firebase integration. Less common for core lending systems.
Chinese Cloud Infrastructure (Alibaba Cloud / Tencent Cloud / Huawei Cloud)	DOCUMENTED IN CHINESE LOAN APPS: Baidu, Umeng (Alibaba subsidiary), Face++ all use Chinese cloud infrastructure with servers physically located in China. RBI data localisation requirement was therefore violated for all borrower data collected by Jhuria/Chadha Finance apps. Alibaba Cloud has India region (Mumbai) but Chinese loan app operators used Chinese data centres for cost/control reasons.
Shared/VPS Hosting (DigitalOcean, Vultr, Hetzner)	Common for unregistered or less-capitalized loan apps. Server location determined by cheapest available option — often Germany, Netherlands, US, Singapore. No data localisation compliance.
Physical Server Architecture of Chinese Apps	Per reverse engineering of MoNeed and Chadha Finance apps: primary API endpoints pointed to Chinese servers (.cn domains, Baidu infrastructure). Indian-facing apps with Chinese backends = data exfiltration to China.

5.2 Data Flow Architecture for a Typical WhatsApp Loan App

When a borrower completes a loan application through a WhatsApp link-to-app funnel, the following data flows occur — each with its own server destination:

19. WhatsApp Click → App Download: App Store / Play Store servers (Apple/Google, US-based). Download data logged by Google/Apple.
20. App Registration → KYC Upload: User uploads Aadhaar, PAN, selfie, bank statement → These files are transmitted to the NBFC/LSP's primary API server. If stored on AWS Mumbai — compliant. If stored on Chinese infrastructure — FEMA violation.
21. Contact List Upload: Per Credit4Sure's own T&C admission, contact list is uploaded to Credit4Sure servers via API. Server location not publicly disclosed.
22. Credit Bureau Pull: App transmits PAN to CIBIL, Experian, Equifax, or CRIF HighMark → credit score returned. Bureau servers in India. This transmission is RBI-compliant.
23. SMS/OTP Reading: If READ_SMS permission granted, device SMS data sent to app server → includes OTPs, bank balance alerts, other loan notifications. Server location = app operator's server.
24. AdTech SDK Data: Simultaneously, SilverPush/InMobi SDKs if present transmit device advertising ID, location, app usage patterns to AdTech servers (SilverPush: Gurgaon / San Francisco; InMobi: Singapore / Bengaluru).
25. Recovery Phase: Upon default, contact list (already stored on server) used by recovery agents. If contacts stored on Chinese server → Chinese operators have access to Indian citizens' personal networks.

⚠ DATA LOCALISATION VIOLATION SCALE: Every Chinese loan app that stored Indian borrower data (Aadhaar, PAN, contacts, bank statements) on servers outside India violated: (1) RBI Data Localisation Circular (April 2018) applicable to payment system operators; (2) FEMA (Foreign Exchange Management Act) provisions on data as a form of capital; (3) Aadhaar Act Section 29 (Aadhaar data must be stored in UIDAI-controlled infrastructure). The scale of this violation — 1+ million borrowers, data exfiltrated to China — has never been publicly quantified by any Indian enforcement agency.

PART 6 — DATA PROTECTION LAWS: COMPLETE TIMELINE 2000–2026

PART 6: INDIA'S DATA PROTECTION JOURNEY — COMPLETE LEGISLATIVE TIMELINE 2000–2026

6.1 The Complete Legislative Timeline

YEAR / DATE	LAW / EVENT / SIGNIFICANCE
2000	IT ACT 2000 (Information Technology Act, 2000): India's first cyberlaw. Sections 43 (unauthorized computer access), 43A (data protection obligation for 'body corporates' handling sensitive personal data), 66 (computer-related offences), 66C (identity theft), 66D (cheating by personation), 66E (violation of privacy). IT Act did NOT create a comprehensive data protection framework — it addressed cybercrime and e-commerce. No right to erasure, no data minimisation, no consent framework.
2008	IT (Amendment) Act 2008: Strengthened Section 66 offences. Added Section 66A (later struck down by Supreme Court in Shreya Singhal v. Union of India, 2015 — 66A was overbroad and violated free speech). Added provisions for cyberterrorism (Section 66F). Introduced intermediary liability framework (Section 79).
2011	IT (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011 (SPDI Rules): First substantive data protection rules under IT Act Section 43A. Defined 'sensitive personal data' (financial information, passwords, health data, sexual orientation). Required: (1) body corporates to have a privacy policy; (2) obtain consent before collecting sensitive data; (3) ensure data accuracy; (4) allow review/amendment of data. CRITICAL LIMITATION: Applied only to 'body corporates' (Indian companies handling data electronically). Did NOT cover foreign companies processing Indian data from abroad. No right to erasure. Minimal enforcement mechanism.
2012	Cybercrime incidents begin rising. NCRB records fewer than 10,000 cyber offences annually. RBI begins issuing guidelines on mobile banking security. This marks the start of the 2012-2026 documentation scope.
2014	SilverPush audio beacon controversy — cross-device tracking without consent, originating from an India-based company, demonstrates India's data protection gap.
2017 — Aug	Puttaswamy Judgment: Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India [WP 494/2012]. Constitutional bench of 9 judges UNANIMOUSLY holds that the RIGHT TO PRIVACY is a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. This is the constitutional anchor for all subsequent data protection legislation. Justice Chandrachud's concurring opinion specifically identifies 'informational privacy' as a component of the right — individuals have a right to control their personal data.
2017 — Jul	MeitY sets up Expert Committee on Data Protection, chaired by Justice B.N. Srikrishna (retired Supreme Court Judge).
2018 — Jul/Aug	Srikrishna Committee Report and Personal Data Protection Bill, 2018 (Draft): Comprehensive framework modelled on EU GDPR. Included: right to portability, right to be forgotten, purpose limitation, data minimisation, independent regulator (Data Protection Authority of India — DPA).

	Justice Srikrishna later criticized the 2023 final version as potentially creating an 'Orwellian State' due to government exemptions.
2018 — Apr	RBI Data Localisation Circular: Required payment system operators to store all payment data exclusively in India within 6 months. This is the first explicit data localisation mandate for the financial sector — directly applicable to NBFCs and payment processors.
2019	Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 tabled in Lok Sabha (Dec 11, 2019). Referred to Joint Parliamentary Committee (JPC). Chinese loan app proliferation begins. First wave of predatory app complaints in Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka.
2020	COVID-19 pandemic: Explosion in digital loan demand. Chinese loan apps proliferate (MoNeed, CashFish, Timely Cash, hundreds of others). Jhuria Financial Services and Chadha Finance acquire Chinese directors. RBI issues first digital lending-specific guidelines. P2P NBFC regulations tightened. ED begins PMLA investigations.
2021	RBI Working Group on Digital Lending (Nov 2021): Identified 600+ illegal lending apps. Recommended comprehensive digital lending guidelines, LSP accountability, data protection for borrowers. Google removes thousands of apps from Play Store following policy change. ED PMLA investigations escalate — multiple FIRs from Telangana Police.
2022 — May	5 NBFCs with Chinese links: RBI cancels CoR (Jhuria Financial, Chadha Finance, UMB Securities, Anashri Finvest, Alexcy Tracon). Kudos Finance Rs. 72 Crore ED attachment. JPC withdraws 2019 Bill — recommended revised legislation.
2022 — Aug	RBI DIGITAL LENDING GUIDELINES (2022): Landmark regulation. Mandated: Key Facts Statement (KFS) before loan disbursal; NBFC responsible for ALL conduct of its LSPs; LSPs cannot access borrower data beyond their stated function; contact list access prohibited except where strictly necessary; all loan-related transactions through NBFC's own regulated account. THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT RBI REGULATION FOR BORROWER DATA PROTECTION — but enforcement has been inconsistent.
2022 — Nov	Draft Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2022 released for public consultation. Significant changes from 2019 Bill — removed data portability, removed 'right to be forgotten' (replaced with simpler 'right to erasure'), created government exemptions.
2023 — Feb	Kudos Finance CoR cancelled. Credit Gate CoR cancelled.
2023 — Aug 11	DIGITAL PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION ACT, 2023 (DPDPA) — Presidential assent on August 12, 2023. India's first comprehensive data protection law. KEY RIGHTS CREATED: (1) Right to Access Information; (2) Right to Correction and Erasure — request deletion of data no longer necessary; (3) Right to Grievance Redressal; (4) Right to Nominate. KEY OBLIGATIONS on Data Fiduciaries: Free, specific, informed, unconditional, unambiguous consent required; data erasure upon consent withdrawal or expiry of stated purpose; data breach notification to Data Protection Board of India (DPBI); appoint Data Protection Officer (for 'Significant Data Fiduciaries'). PENALTIES: Rs. 50 Crore to Rs. 250 Crore. NOTE: NOT YET OPERATIONAL AS OF MARCH 2026 — rules pending final notification.
2023 — Aug (RBI)	RBI Penal Charges Circular (RBI/2023-24/53, Aug 2023): Prohibits NBFCs from charging 'excessive' or 'usurious' penal charges on loan defaults. Directly targeted at Rs. 70/day penalty structures documented in predatory digital loans.
2023 — Dec	Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023 enacted. Replaces IPC from July 1, 2024. Updated provisions for cheating (S.316-319), criminal intimidation (S.351-353), extortion (S.308-310), defamation (S.356), identity theft (S.319), sexual harassment (S.74-76).
2024 — May	Acemoney (India) Limited CoR cancelled. Ed arrests in multiple Chinese loan app cases.
2024 — Oct	4 NBFCs barred from new loans: Asirvad, Arohan, DMI Finance, Navi Finserv.



2025 — Jan	Draft Digital Personal Data Protection Rules, 2025 released for public consultation. Consultation closed April 2025. As of July 2025 — rules NOT YET NOTIFIED.
2025 — Nov	DPDP Rules, 2025 formally published by MeitY on November 13, 2025 — but implementation date still not set.
2025 — Dec	MeitY bans 87 loan apps for data misuse, fraud, harassment.
2025 — Late	Supreme Court of India directs pan-India probe into digital arrest scams. Orders CBI to coordinate investigations. Asks RBI why AI/ML fraud detection not implemented. Directs all states to establish Cybercrime Coordination Centres. Directs telecom companies to share traffic data for investigation.
2026 (Ongoing)	DPDPA still not fully operational — Data Protection Board of India not yet constituted as of March 2026. Draft Rules under review. India remains in regulatory limbo: comprehensive data protection law exists on paper but lacks enforcement mechanism. Predatory loan apps continue operating under new brand names.

6.2 The Right to Data Erasure: What It Means for Loan Borrowers

Under the DPDPA 2023, once it is fully operational, borrowers will have the following rights against NBFCs and loan apps regarding their personal data:

RIGHT	WHAT IT MEANS IN THE LOAN CONTEXT
Right to Erasure (S.12)	After loan is fully repaid and account closed, borrower can request DELETION of all their personal data (Aadhaar copy, PAN copy, bank statements, selfie, KYC documents) from NBFC's systems. NBFC must delete within specified timeframe. Any data no longer needed for the lending purpose must be deleted even without specific request ('data minimisation' + 'purpose limitation').
Right to Withdrawal of Consent (S.13)	Borrower can withdraw consent for data processing. After withdrawal: NBFC must stop processing data for that purpose. NOTE: This does not apply retroactively to lawfully completed transactions — a loan that was already disbursed cannot be undone by withdrawing consent.
Right to Correction (S.12)	Borrower can request correction of inaccurate personal data — important for credit bureau corrections.
Right to Grievance Redressal (S.13)	Every Data Fiduciary (NBFC) must appoint a Data Protection Officer and establish a grievance mechanism. Unresolved grievances can be escalated to Data Protection Board of India.
Contact List Data — Third-Party Persons	DPDPA creates a right for EVERY DATA PRINCIPAL — including people in a borrower's contact list. Those persons did NOT consent to their data being collected by Credit4Sure/Mahavira Finlease. Under DPDPA, they can demand: (a) what data was collected; (b) deletion of their data; (c) cessation of all contact made using their data.
Current Status (March 2026)	DPDPA NOT YET FULLY OPERATIONAL. Data Protection Board not constituted. Rules published Nov 2025 but implementation timeline unclear.

	Borrowers currently rely on: RBI CMS Ombudsman, IT Act S.43A/SPDI Rules 2011, Consumer Protection Act 2019, and criminal IT Act provisions.
--	---

PART 7 — DIGITAL DACOITY TIMELINE: CYBER CRIME YEAR-BY-YEAR 2012–2026

PART 7: COMPLETE CYBER CRIME & DIGITAL DACOITY TIMELINE 2012–2026

7.1 What Is 'Digital Dacoity'? Defining the Phenomenon

'Digital Dacoity' — a term derived from the Hindi/Urdu word 'dacoity' (armed robbery by a group) — describes the systematic digital extraction of money and personal data from Indian citizens through technologically enabled fraud, predatory lending, and psychological coercion. Unlike traditional dacoity, digital dacoity requires no physical presence — it operates through WhatsApp messages, fake government portals, fraudulent loan apps, and social engineering via video call. The term encompasses: (1) Chinese loan app predation; (2) digital arrest scams; (3) investment fraud; (4) SIM swap fraud; (5) data theft through predatory NBFC data collection.

7.2 Year-by-Year Digital Dacoity Timeline — Key Cases, Laws, Incidents

YEAR	KEY EVENTS, CASES & STATISTICS
2012	NCRB: India records fewer than 10,000 cyber offences annually (pre-digital-boom baseline). Key events: IT (Amendment) Act 2008 now fully operational. Section 66A of IT Act used against social media criticism — later struck down. Rudimentary NBFC digital lending begins. SilverPush founded (Gurgaon). First mobile banking malware cases documented.
2013	Cybercrime accelerates with smartphone adoption. SIM cloning and OTP interception become widespread. InMobi FTC investigation begins (concluded 2016). Aadhaar biometric data collection begins under UIDAI. First cases of loan recovery through contact list messaging documented (informal moneylenders adopting smartphone tactics).
2014	SilverPush Controversy: TechCrunch reports (July 2014) that SilverPush SDK is listening for ultrasonic TV ad beacons in background of mobile apps. 67 apps using the code. Privacy implications in India and globally. SilverPush raises \$1.5M funding. India's cybercrime cases rise to ~9,000 reported. RBI begins discussing digital payment guidelines.
2015	Center for Democracy and Technology (CDT) raises SilverPush cross-device tracking concerns with FTC (Oct 2015). India cybercrime: ~11,000 registered cases (NCRB). Rise in 'Jamtara-style' SIM swap fraud targeting OTP-based banking. First Chinese-owned fintech companies begin scouting Indian NBFC licences.
2016	FTC warning letters to 12 SilverPush SDK app developers (March 2016). InMobi fined \$950,000 by FTC for tracking 100M+ users without consent including children (June 2016). In India: Section 66A of IT

	Act struck down by Supreme Court (Shreya Singhal). NCRB: ~12,000+ cyber offences registered. UPI launched (April 2016) — creates new vector for payment fraud.
2017	234 Android apps found using SilverPush ultrasonic tracking (Brunswick Technical University). Puttaswamy Judgment (Aug 9, 2017): Supreme Court 9-judge bench unanimously holds right to privacy as fundamental right under Article 21. Srikrishna Committee formed. India cybercrime: 21,796 registered cases (NCRP data indicates rapid rise in reporting). First 'digital loan shark' apps documented in Telangana targeting rural borrowers.
2018	Srikrishna Committee releases Draft Personal Data Protection Bill (July 2018). RBI Data Localisation Circular (April 2018) — payment data must stay in India. InMobi begins Indian fintech SDK integrations. Chinese loan apps begin entering India via MoU route with dormant NBFCs. Aadhaar Act amended (Sept 2018) after Supreme Court Aadhaar judgment limits mandatory linking. Personal loan apps proliferate — multiple suicide cases in Telangana linked to harassment.
2019	Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 tabled (Dec 11). Multiple loan app harassment suicides: 6 deaths in Hyderabad documented (2019-2020) linked to Chinese loan apps. ED begins initial investigations. Moneed Fintech Private Limited incorporated in Delhi (CIN: U65999DL2019PTC349110) — the Indian entity linked to Chinese app MoNeed. Chadha Finance limited — Wang Meng appointed director (DIN: 08345726). PC Finance operates in Gurgaon with Chinese 'Peter', 'Tray', 'Nicolson' at top.
2020	COVID-19 triggers mass unemployment. Chinese loan apps find 'product-market fit' targeting desperate borrowers. Major apps: MoNeed, MoMo, CashFish, Kreditpe, Timely Cash, Y Cash, CashBus, Fast Rupee, Robo Cash, Cash Mama, Loan Time. Jhuria Financial Services and Chadha Finance: Chinese directors fully installed. MCA records: Chinese nationals acquiring small NBFC companies. Nepal: Chinese call centres for Indian loan harassment established. Chandigarh Police: Chinese handler Jeffery Jhu actively managing Indian operations (fled India 2020 before arrest). NCRP (National Cybercrime Reporting Portal): 4.52 lakh complaints in 2021 (trend accelerating from 2020). Vishal Bhati appointed Director of Mahavira Finlease (Aug 2020) — digital pivot to Credit4Sure app.
2021	RBI Working Group Report on Digital Lending (Nov 2021): 600+ illegal apps identified. First wave of state-level loan app bans. Telangana Police FIRs (multiple) against Chinese loan apps → ED investigation begins. ED attaches Rs. 72.32 Crore from Kudos Finance (Jan 2022 provisional order — investigation commenced 2021). Google removes 4,700+ illegal loan apps following policy change. Lenditt Innovations & Technologies incorporated (Aug 2020; Aug 2021 per some records) — Chinmay Finlease's LSP partner. Del Capital Private Limited incorporated (March 2020) — distressed loan buyer model emerges. Digital arrest scam concept emerges: 39,925 incidents reported on NCRP in 2022 (acceleration began late 2021).
2022	May 2022: RBI CANCELS 5 NBFC CoRs (Jhuria Financial, Chadha Finance, UMB Securities, Anashri Finvest, Alexcy Tracon) — landmark enforcement action. ED: Rs. 46.67 Crore frozen at payment gateways (Jan 2022). ED: Rs. 86.65 Crore attached from Kudos, Acemoney, Rhino, Pioneer (July 2022). Chandigarh Police: 21 arrested (Sept 2022) including Chinese national Wang Chengua; Chinese handler Jeffery Jhu identified as overseas mastermind. Nepal: 190 arrested in Kathmandu loan harassment call centre raid (5 Chinese nationals). JPC withdraws 2019 PDP Bill. NCRP: 15 lakh total complaints in 2023 (trend dramatically rising). NCRB: Cybercrime up 24.38% vs 2021 to 52,974 registered cases.
2023	Feb 2023: Kudos Finance and Credit Gate CoRs cancelled. Digital arrest scams: NCRP records 15 lakh complaints for full year. Oct 2023: Consumer complaint filed against Chinmay Finlease (Credit Court) — Rs. 10k loan → Rs. 16,300 demanded. NCRB registered cases: 86,420 cyber offences (all India). Key documented digital arrest cases: Faridabad woman (23) — fake customs official; Rs. 2.81 Crore doctor scam (Lucknow). Digital arrest losses: Rs. 91 Crore losses reported (NCRP data). RBI Digital Lending Guidelines (2022) now in force — NBFC compliance mixed. Aug 2023: DPDPA 2023 receives Presidential assent. RBI Penal Charges Circular issued (Aug 2023).
2024	ED: Rs. 4,900 Crore Chinese loan fraud funds identified (FCRF report). May 2024: Acemoney CoR cancelled. MeitY: 1,700+ Skype IDs blocked, 59,000 WhatsApp accounts used for digital arrest blocked. 6,000+ reports of digital arrest fraud in 2024, 3.25 lakh bogus bank accounts frozen, 6 lakh suspect phone numbers blocked. NCRP: 7.4 lakh complaints in first 4 months of 2024 alone. Digital arrest losses: Rs.

	1,935 Crore (2024). Notable cases: S P Oswal (MD Vardhman Group, 82 years old) defrauded Rs. 7 Crore (Aug-Sept 2024). Software engineer Bengaluru defrauded Rs. 11.8 Crore. Dr. Ruchika Tandon (SGPGIMS) defrauded Rs. 2.81 Crore. October 2024: RBI bars 4 NBFCs (Asirvad, Arohan, DMI Finance, Navi Finserv). Credit4Sure/Mahavira Finlease complaints surge (Nov 2024 onwards). Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre (I4C) I4C portal: Rs. 4,386 Crore saved from 1.4 million complaints (cumulative to date).
2025	PM Modi addresses digital arrest scams on Mann Ki Baat — 'Stop, Think, Act.' Supreme Court (late 2025): Pan-India probe directed. CBI coordination ordered. All states to establish Cybercrime Coordination Centres. Court asks RBI to implement AI/ML fraud detection. NCRP: 2.27 million incidents for full year 2024 (nearly 5x the 2021 level). Incidents in first half 2025: 1.25 million (on track to exceed 2024). Maharashtra: 303,000 complaints (highest). UP: 301,000. Karnataka: 169,000. Gujarat: 168,000. Delhi: 153,000. Digital arrest incidents: 123,672 in 2024 (up from 39,925 in 2022). Losses from digital arrest: Rs. 1,935 Crore in 2024 (up from Rs. 91 Crore in 2022 — 21x increase in 2 years). Nov 2025: DPDP Rules 2025 published by MeitY. Dec 2025: MeitY bans 87 loan apps. Credit4Sure harassment complaints documented through July 2025.
2026 (to date)	Supreme Court: Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI (July 2025 order): Criminal procedure safeguards in digital arrest context. Jan 2026: Digital arrest scam Wikipedia article updated with Supreme Court pan-India probe direction. As of March 2026: DPDPA Data Protection Board NOT YET CONSTITUTED. Rules published but implementation timeline unclear. Hundreds of new loan apps emerging under new brand names post-87-app ban. Digital dacoity continues unabated — now estimated Rs. 2,000+ Crore annual consumer harm from digital arrest alone, additional Rs. 5,000+ Crore from predatory loan apps.

7.3 Summary Statistics: The Scale of Digital Dacoity

METRIC	VERIFIED DATA & SOURCE
NCRP Cybercrime Complaints: 2021	4.52 lakh (452,000) — Source: NCRP/I4C
NCRP Cybercrime Complaints: 2024	2.27 million (23x increase from 2021) — Source: IndiaSpend analysis
Digital Arrest Incidents: 2022	39,925 — Source: NCRP
Digital Arrest Incidents: 2024	1,23,672 (3x increase in 2 years) — Source: NCRP
Digital Arrest Financial Losses: 2022	Rs. 91 Crore — Source: Ministry of Home Affairs
Digital Arrest Financial Losses: 2024	Rs. 1,935 Crore (21x increase in 2 years) — Source: NCRP
Chinese Loan App Slush Funds (ED, 2022)	Rs. 950 Crore — Source: ED/Business Standard
Total Chinese Cyber Fraud Funds (ED, 2024)	Rs. 4,900 Crore — Source: FCRF/ED investigation
Money Saved by I4C Portal (cumulative to 2024)	Rs. 4,386 Crore from 1.4 million complaints — Source: I4C/MHA Parliament reply
Illegal Loan Apps Identified (RBI WG, 2021)	600+ — Source: RBI Working Group Report Nov 2021
Apps Removed by Google (2021-2023)	4,700+ — Source: Google policy change implementation

Apps Banned by MeitY (Dec 2025)	87 — Source: MeitY official order
NBFCs with CoR Cancelled (2022-2024, documented)	10+ entities — Source: RBI press releases
NBFCs Barred from New Loans (Oct 2024)	4 (Asirvad, Arohan, DMI Finance, Navi Finserv) — Source: RBI press release
Skype IDs Blocked for Digital Arrest (by 2024)	1,700+ — Source: I4C/MHA press release
WhatsApp Accounts Blocked for Digital Arrest (by 2024)	59,000+ — Source: I4C/MHA press release
Cybercrime Financial Fraud: Cases Rs. 1L+ (FY2023-24)	29,082 cases involving Rs. 1,457 Crore — Source: RBI Annual Report

EVIDENCE DOSSIER

Aadhaar Biometric Fraud & Digital Dacoity

PART 8 — EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & SCOPE

This dossier constitutes a structured evidentiary compilation for submission before a competent judicial authority in proceedings related to Aadhaar-linked digital fraud, biometric cloning, and systematic financial dacoity. All incidents and technical findings cited herein are sourced from: (a) official government advisories and parliamentary records, (b) First Information Reports (FIRs) and police chargesheets, (c) verified investigative journalism, and (d) internationally recognised cybersecurity research bodies.

1.1 Scale of the Problem — Key Statistics

Metric	Documented Figure / Source
Citizens' Aadhaar data exposed (2018)	1.1 billion records — WEF Global Risks Report 2019; Avast Security Report
Dark Web breach (2023) — records sold	815 million PII records incl. Aadhaar + passport — Resecurity (USA) Report, Oct 2023
AePS fraud share of all financial cybercrime (2023)	11% of all cyber-enabled financial fraud — I4C CEO, Annual Conference 2024
Total cybercrime complaints (2023)	13,10,329 complaints — National Cybercrime Helpline (1930) + cybercrime.gov.in
Primary states of origin for AePS fraud	Bihar and Jharkhand — I4C, MHA Annual Report 2024
Max daily AePS withdrawal (national)	Rs 10 billion (Rs 1,000 crore) per day — NPCI data 2023
Govt websites accidentally exposing Aadhaar data (2018)	200+ official websites — University of Washington Cybersecurity Report, 2019
Govt officials blocked for unauthorized access	5,000+ officials — UIDAI internal action, reported 2018
Cloned fingerprints seized (Hyderabad, 2022)	2,500 cloned fingerprints — AP Cybercrime Police, Hyderabad

So am my

2.1 Aadhaar Act, 2016 — Relevant Penal Provisions

The Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016 prescribes the following offences directly relevant to the instant matter:

Section	Offence	Punishment	Relevance
Sec. 29	Unauthorised use/disclosure of identity information and biometric data	Imprisonment up to 3 years + fine up to Rs. 10,000 (individual) / Rs. 1 lakh (company)	Core offence in all AePS fraud cases
Sec. 37	Impersonation of an Aadhaar number holder — changing/attempting to change biometric/demographic info	Imprisonment up to 3 years + Rs. 10,000 fine	Biometric cloning & fake Aadhaar use
Sec. 38	Pretending to be an agency authorised to collect identity information	Up to 3 yrs. / Rs. 10,000 (individual); Rs. 1 lakh (company)	Fake enrollment operators
Sec. 39	Disclosure of identity information in contravention of any agreement/arrangement	Up to 3 yrs. or Rs. 10,000 fine	Portal/registry data leaks
Sec. 40	Unauthorised access to the CIDR (Central Identities Data Repository); hacking	Imprisonment up to 10 years + minimum Rs. 10 lakh fine	Database breach; WhatsApp access selling

2.2 Information Technology Act, 2000 — Relevant Provisions

Section	Offence	Punishment
Sec. 43	Unauthorised access to computer systems; data theft; introduction of malicious code	Civil liability — compensation (no cap)
Sec. 66	Computer-related offences (criminal version of Sec. 43)	Imprisonment up to 3 years + fine up to Rs. 5 lakh
Sec. 66C	Identity theft — fraudulently using someone's unique identification feature (e-signature, password, biometric)	Imprisonment up to 3 years + fine up to Rs. 1 lakh
Sec. 66D	Cheating by personation using computer resource	Imprisonment up to 3 years + fine up to Rs. 1 lakh
Sec. 72A	Disclosure of information in breach of lawful contract — by service provider	Imprisonment up to 3 years + fine up to Rs. 5 lakh

2.3 Indian Penal Code (IPC) — Concurrent Charges

- **Section 378 / 379 — Theft:** Stealing biometric/financial data from digital systems.
- **Section 420 — Cheating:** Fraudulently inducing banks/systems to part with money via spoofed biometrics.
- **Section 468 — Forgery for fraud:** Creating silicon fingerprint molds/clones to falsely authenticate.
- **Section 471 — Using forged documents:** Using cloned fingerprints/Aadhaar copies as genuine.
- **Section 120B — Criminal Conspiracy:** Organised inter-state gang operations documented in police chargesheets.

2.4 Constitutional & Supreme Court Precedents

Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017) — 9-Judge Bench

The Supreme Court unanimously declared the Right to Privacy a fundamental right under Articles 14, 19, and 21 of the Constitution, explicitly overruling M.P. Sharma and Kharak Singh. This judgment establishes the constitutional bedrock for all data protection proceedings and requires proportionality in any state intrusion into biometric data.

| *Source: Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, AIR 2017 SC 4161 — Nine-judge Constitution Bench*

Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2018) — Aadhaar Judgment

The five-judge Constitutional Bench upheld Aadhaar with partial modifications, notably striking down its mandatory use for bank accounts and mobile SIMs under Section 57. The Court held that private entities cannot compel Aadhaar-linked authentication. This judgment is critical to establish that any unauthorised use of Aadhaar data by private actors or rogue gangs is per se unconstitutional in addition to being criminal.

| *Source: Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2019) 1 SCC 1 — Five-judge Constitution Bench*

DOCUMENTED DATA BREACHES: CHRONOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

Each incident below is independently sourced and documented through government records, police FIRs, parliamentary proceedings, or internationally verified journalism. These constitute the factual substrate of the digital dacoity pattern.

INCIDENT 1: The Tribune Investigation — January 2018

Field	Details
Date	January 3, 2018 (breach ongoing since mid-2017)
Source	The Tribune (Chandigarh) — Investigative journalist Rachna Khaira; UIDAI FIR; WEF Global Risks Report 2019
Nature of Breach	Anonymous operators on WhatsApp sold 'gateway' credentials to UIDAI portal for Rs 500 via Paytm. Access allowed retrieval of any Aadhaar holder's name, address, photo, phone, email. Additional Rs 300 unlocked software to print fake Aadhaar cards.
Scale	1.1 Billion records accessible. Over 1 lakh Village-Level Enterprise (VLE) operators suspected to have acquired illegal access.
Technical Vector	Credential abuse — compromised 'agent' logins; unauthorised access to aadhaar.rajasthan.gov.in; remote software installation via TeamViewer to evade detection.
Government Response	UIDAI filed FIR against The Tribune reporter. UIDAI Additional DG Sanjay Jindal confirmed: 'Anyone else having access is illegal, and is a major national security breach.'
International Verification	WEF Global Risks Report 2019 cited this as the world's largest data breach. Avast Security ranked it Top 10 Biggest Data Breaches in 2018.
Legal Provisions	Aadhaar Act Sec. 40 (CIDR hacking); IT Act Sec. 66 & 66C; IPC Sec. 120B (conspiracy)

Primary source: The Tribune, 'Rs 500, 10 minutes, and you have access to billion Aadhaar details', Rachna Khaira, January 3, 2018 — tribuneindia.com

Corroborating source: WEF Global Risks Perception Survey Report 2019, World Economic Forum, Davos

INCIDENT 2: 200+ Government Websites Data Exposure — 2018

Date: March–April 2018 (discovered)

Source: University of Washington (JSIS) Cybersecurity Analysis; UIDAI internal action records

So am my

Nature: Approximately 200 official government websites accidentally published Aadhaar data publicly. Thousands of government databases with confidential information were findable via ordinary Google searches. 70+ subdomains under a Government of India website exposed an unsecured API allowing anyone to verify any Aadhaar number, name, gender, and date of birth without authentication — a direct violation of the Aadhaar Act, 2016.

Action Taken: UIDAI blocked 5,000+ government officials for unauthorised access. Indane/LPG (state utility) portal allowed download of names and Aadhaar numbers for all registered consumers without any login requirement.

Source: JSIS University of Washington, 'The Aadhaar Card: Cybersecurity Issues with India's Biometric Experiment', 2019 — jsis.washington.edu

INCIDENT 3: ICMR/Dark Web Breach — October 2023

Date: October 2023

Source: Resecurity Inc. (American Cybersecurity Firm); Drishti IAS Analysis; Parliamentary Debate

Nature: Personally Identifiable Information (PII) of 815 million Indian citizens, including Aadhaar numbers and passport details, was listed for sale on the Dark Web. Threat actors claimed the data was sourced from the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). ICMR was subjected to over 6,000 cyberattack attempts in 2022 alone. A second threat actor, using the handle 'Lucius', claimed access to 1.8 terabyte data leak from an unnamed Indian law enforcement agency. Data samples reviewed by researchers contained direct references to UIDAI and Aadhaar card details, as well as voter identity cards.

Verification: Resecurity researchers confirmed data samples matched UIDAI-linked records. Voter ID and Aadhaar card scans were confirmed in the leaked dataset.

Source: Resecurity Inc. Threat Intelligence Report, October 2023 — resecurity.com; Drishti IAS 'Massive Aadhaar Data Breach' Analysis — drishtiiias.com

INCIDENT 4: State Portal API Exposure — 2024

Date: 2024 (reported)

Source: Fair Observer analysis, December 2025; UIDAI Compliance Audit

Nature: A state government portal was found exposing Aadhaar-linked beneficiary data. Multiple organisations also found to expose Aadhaar numbers, dates of birth, and addresses through fragile/unsecured API endpoints, despite UIDAI enforcing strict compliance requirements. Aadhaar Authentication for Good Governance Amendment Rules, 2025, extended authentication rights to private entities — raising fresh concerns documented by legal scholars about expanded risk surface.

Source: Fair Observer, 'Aadhaar: A Better Digital Identity and the Peril of Cybercrime', December 19, 2025 — fairobserver.com

BIOMETRIC CLONING & AePS FRAUD: CASE-BY-CASE EVIDENCE

The following cases are documented through police FIRs, press conferences by senior police officers, court-produced chargesheets, and verified investigative journalism. These cases collectively establish a pattern of organised digital dacoity using Aadhaar biometric data as the attack vector.

4.1 MHA/I4C Official Advisory — February 21, 2023

[Government of India — Primary Official Source]

The Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre (I4C) — MHA's nodal cybercrime agency — issued an official advisory dated February 21, 2023 to all State and Union Territory governments. The letter, verified by ThePrint, confirmed the following:

- **Method confirmed by MHA:** Cybercriminals were 'cloning' biometric fingerprint data uploaded on state property registry websites (sale deeds and registration agreements).
- **Purpose:** The cloned biometric data was being used to conduct unauthorised withdrawals through the Aadhaar Enabled Payment System (AePS).
- **MHA Direction:** All states directed to instruct Revenue and Registration Departments to 'mask' fingerprints on documents uploaded on registry websites.
- **Additional Directions:** Investigate complaints, sensitise victims, run awareness campaigns.

Source: I4C/MHA Advisory dated February 21, 2023 — reported by ThePrint, 'Cybercriminals cloning Aadhaar biometric data to commit fraud: MHA nodal agency to states', March 4, 2023

4.2 Parliamentary Record — Contradiction Between UIDAI and Police Evidence

A critical evidentiary contradiction exists in the parliamentary record, directly relevant to establishing institutional negligence:

July 2023: Minister of State for Finance Dr. Bhagwat Karad told Parliament, in response to questions about rising AePS fraud: 'UIDAI has apprised that no incident of cloning of Aadhaar data has been reported.'

This statement is directly contradicted by documented police investigations in Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana, Karnataka, Telangana, and West Bengal — all revealing inter-state gangs cloning Aadhaar-linked fingerprints. CPI(M) MP John Brittas formally wrote to the Prime Minister urging government to take cognisance of the rising AePS fraud linked to biometric cloning.

Source: MediaNama, 'AePS Frauds Contributed to 11% of Financial Frauds: I4C Data', January 5, 2024; Lok Sabha Records, July 2023

4.3 The Modus Operandi — Technical Process (As Established in Court-Produced Police Records)

Based on chargesheets and police commissioner press conferences from Mangaluru, Bengaluru, Hyderabad, and Kolkata cases, the following technical chain has been judicially established:

Step	Action	Technical Detail (from Police Records)
1	Data Acquisition	Fraudsters register fake credentials on state property portals (Kaveri/K-2 Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh Registration Portal). Submit online applications for certified copies of randomly selected registration numbers.
2	Document Download	PDF copies of registered documents (sale deeds) are downloaded. These legally filed documents contain clear photographs of fingerprints/thumb impressions as required by the Registration Act.
3	Fingerprint Selection	Documents sorted — those with sharp, clear fingerprint images selected. Mangaluru police recovered 1,000+ Karnataka documents and 300+ Andhra Pradesh documents in a single case.
4	Physical Replication	Fingerprint image transferred to butter paper/acetate. Silicon/rubber compound mold created — image adjusted to exact thumb size. The mold replicates the ridge pattern of the victim's fingerprint.
5	AePS Authentication Bypass	The silicon mold is placed on an AePS-enabled biometric device (STQC-certified fingerprint scanner operated by bank Business Correspondent). Victim's Aadhaar number entered. System authenticates — matching clone to UIDAI database. Authentication succeeds due to absence of liveness detection.
6	Withdrawal Execution	Maximum Rs 10,000 per transaction withdrawn. Daily limit: Rs 25,000 per account. Transactions executed remotely — 200+ km from victim's location. Multiple accounts targeted per device per day.
7	Laundering	Proceeds distributed across multiple bank accounts under false credentials. Devices and SIM cards discarded. Gang cells operate independently — arrests of one team do not disrupt others.

Sources: Mangaluru Police Commissioner Press Conference, October 29, 2023; The Hindu, 'How fraudsters stole money using Aadhaar numbers and fingerprints', December 15, 2023; Deccan Herald, November 7, 2023

INDIVIDUAL CASE RECORDS (FIR-LINKED)

CASE A: Mangaluru AePS Gang — Karnataka (October 2023)

Element	Detail
Accused (Arrested)	1. Deepak Kumar Hembram, 33, Bihar 2. Vivek Kumar Biswas, 24, Bihar 3. Madan Kumar, 23, Bihar Arrested: Purnia District, Bihar — October 22, 2023
Arresting Authority	Mangaluru City Police — Special Team under DCPs Sidharth Goyal, Dinesh Kumar BP, ACP Parameshwar Hegde
FIRs Registered	10 FIRs at CEN (Cyber Economic and Narcotic Crime) Station, Mangaluru. Total complaints: 60+ in Mangaluru. 116 cases registered in Bengaluru. 300+ frauds across India revealed during interrogation.
Data Source Exploited	Kaveri-2 (K-2) Software — Karnataka Stamps and Registration Department portal (kaveri.karnataka.gov.in)
Documents Recovered	1,000+ Karnataka registered documents (PDFs) 300+ Andhra Pradesh registered documents + Documents from other states
Assets Seized	Rs 3.6 lakh frozen across 10 bank accounts; Laptops; Printers; Fingerprint scanners; Mobile phones (sent for forensic analysis)
Victim Profile	Property owners who registered documents at Mangaluru Sub-Registrar Office. Losses ranged from Rs 2,000 to Rs 1 lakh per victim. Victims from Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, and Telangana.
Status	Accused remanded to judicial custody. Kingpin still at large. 3-4 gangs identified as active in Bihar by police. Panchanama conducted at Bihar location.
Source	The Hindu (Dec 15, 2023); Deccan Herald (Nov 7, 2023); Mangalorean.com (Nov 12, 2023); Commissioner Press Conference October 29, 2023

CASE B: Bengaluru Northeast CEN Division Case (October–January 2024)

Accused Arrested: 1. Abuzar, 28; 2. Parvez — arrested Araria, Bihar. Additional: Rehman, Abuzar (2nd), Arif, and Nasir Ahamed — 4 additional Bihar-based accused in subsequent FIRs.

FIRs Registered: 4 separate FIRs at CEN Police Station, Northeast Division, Bengaluru. 116 total AEPS cases registered across Bengaluru.

SIT Constituted: Special Investigation Team led by DCP (Northeast) Laxmi Prasad formally constituted.

Losses: Rs 1.05 lakh recovered. Rs 10,000 deducted from Yelahanka woman. Rs 60,000 deducted from CRPF personnel.

Modus Operandi: Accused operated as 'Customer Service Point' (CSP) operators — licensed bank agents — to obtain and misuse AePS biometric devices. Used Karnataka Revenue Department portal to download land documents containing fingerprints.

Sources: ETV Bharat, January 17, 2024; Inkl/The Hindu, October 31, 2023

So am my

CASE C: Hyderabad Gang — Andhra Pradesh Registration Portal (June 2022)

Date: June 2022

Location: Hyderabad, Telangana

Data Source: Official website of Andhra Pradesh Registration and Stamps Department

Scale: 149 victims. Rs 14.64 lakh total fraud amount.

Evidence Seized: 2,500 cloned fingerprints. Various biometric device-related equipment. This is the largest single seizure of cloned biometric fingerprints documented in India to date.

Significance: Establishes the industrial-scale nature of this fraud — 2,500 pre-prepared clones in a single gang's possession demonstrates pre-planned, organised criminal enterprise, not opportunistic crime.

Source: LinkedIn Independent Research Report, 'Gaps in AEPS Exploited by Scammers', September 2023 (citing Hyderabad police records)

CASE D: Kolkata — West Bengal Property Portal (2023–2024)

Source: Kolkata Police initial investigation reports; MediaNama January 2024

Nature: Kolkata Police investigation revealed fraudsters downloaded biometric details from West Bengal's state property registration website (land deeds). Same Kaveri-style modus operandi applied to the Bengal registration portal.

Significance: Establishes the fraud's national spread — not confined to Karnataka/Bihar corridor. Pattern is replicable across any state that uploads documents with visible fingerprints.

CASE E: The RS Sharma Precedent — Identity Replication from Aadhaar Number (2018)

In a landmark demonstration of system vulnerability with documented evidence, RS Sharma — then Chairman of TRAI and founding Director General of UIDAI — publicly tweeted his own Aadhaar number as a test. The outcome, as documented in academic and journalistic records:

- **PII Extraction:** Multiple individuals obtained his full personal information using only the publicly shared Aadhaar number.
- **Identity Fraud Executed:** One individual successfully created a fake Aadhaar card in Sharma's name, which was accepted as genuine by Amazon and Facebook advertising services, and used to initiate commercial services.

This event is significant as evidence because it: (a) was conducted publicly with the participation of the UIDAI's own founding head; (b) demonstrates real-world exploitability of the Aadhaar ecosystem; (c) establishes that Aadhaar number alone is sufficient to initiate identity fraud chains.

Source: University of Washington JSIS Report, 2019; multiple contemporaneous media reports, July 2018

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS: WHY AADHAAR BIOMETRICS ARE VULNERABLE

This section documents the publicly established technical vulnerabilities — as confirmed by government bodies, not speculative — that enabled the documented frauds.

6.1 AePS System Architecture Vulnerability

- **No OTP/PIN required:** AePS transactions require only (a) bank name, (b) Aadhaar number, and (c) fingerprint. Neither UIDAI nor NPCI specify whether AePS is enabled by default — the MeitY-managed Cashless India website confirms no activation is needed as long as the account is Aadhaar-linked.
- **No liveness detection (pre-October 2023):** AePS biometric scanners did not verify whether the finger presented was from a live human. This was the primary technical gap exploited. UIDAI had promised liveness detection rollout by March 2023 but missed the deadline. It was eventually pushed via software update in October 2023.
- **Weak access management:** UIDAI's own Additional DG confirmed that the organisation's official portal had access credentials held by thousands of unauthorised VLE operators — fundamental IAM failure.
- **Unsecured API endpoints:** 70+ government subdomains exposed authentication APIs allowing anyone to query the Aadhaar database with only basic demographic information (name, gender, DOB, Aadhaar number).

6.2 The Registry Portal Attack Surface

- **Legal requirement creates vulnerability:** Indian property registration law requires submission of fingerprints/thumb impressions as part of document execution. This is a statutory requirement under the Registration Act, 1908.
- **State digitisation exposes statutory biometrics:** When states digitised registry records and made certified copies available online (for transparency/RTI purposes), they inadvertently created a publicly accessible repository of biometric data.
- **Kaveri/K-2 (Karnataka), AP Registration Portal, Bengal Land Records:** All found to provide downloadable certified copies with clear fingerprint images. No masking applied pre-2023 advisory.
- **Post-MHA Advisory (February 2023):** Karnataka's Stamps and Registration Department modified Kaveri-2 to (a) provide only the first page of documents online and (b) require documents to show only the last 4 digits of Aadhaar (XXXX-XXXX format). However, a large volume of pre-2023 records remain available/cached.

6.3 AI & Deepfake Threat — Emerging 2025-2026 Dimension

As documented in the Fair Observer analysis (December 2025) and UIDAI's own Aadhaar Authentication for Good Governance Amendment Rules, 2025, AI poses a new escalating threat layer:

- **Synthetic biometric generation:** AI models can now generate synthetic fingerprint and iris scan images that pass some biometric scanners, documented in academic cybersecurity literature.
- **Synthetic identity creation:** Complete digital identity profiles — with AI-generated face images, synthesised voice, and cloned demographic data — are documentably achievable using leaked Aadhaar-linked datasets.
- **SIM swap vector:** Indian School of Business study found fraudulent SIM cards are primarily issued using fake/morphed Aadhaar documents. Fraudulent SIMs enable OTP bypass, banking credential theft, and UPI fraud — extending the damage chain far beyond AePS.

Source: Fair Observer, December 19, 2025; ISB Study on SIM Fraud and Aadhaar Verification, 2025

INSTITUTIONAL FAILURES & SYSTEMIC NEGLIGENCE

The following documented institutional failures are relevant to any claim of negligence, systemic failure, or regulatory dereliction in the instant proceedings.

7.1 UIDAI'S Pattern of Denial vs. Ground Evidence

A pattern of official denial contradicted by documented evidence is established across multiple years:

Date	Official UIDAI / Government Statement	Contradicting Evidence
November 2017	UIDAI: 'Aadhaar data is fully safe and secure and there has been no data leak or breach at UIDAI.'	Tribune investigation published January 2018: database fully accessible for Rs 500
January 2018	UIDAI files FIR against Tribune journalist who exposed breach, denying misreporting	WEF confirmed it as world's largest data breach; Avast corroborated findings
July 2023	MoS Finance: 'UIDAI has apprised that no incident of cloning of Aadhaar data has been reported.'	MHA/I4C had already issued advisory in February 2023 confirming biometric cloning across states. Police chargesheets in AP, UP, MP, Haryana confirmed gang operations.

7.2 UIDAI'S Failure to Implement Promised Security Measures

- **Liveness Detection Promise (March 2023):** UIDAI promised liveness detection for all AePS fingerprint devices by March 2023. Missed. Implemented October 2023 — after hundreds of documented fraud cases using the gap.
- **Biometric Lock Awareness:** UIDAI's own mAadhaar app has a 'Biometric Lock' feature that can render biometric authentication inactive. Mass awareness was not conducted. Millions of victims were unaware this protective measure existed.
- **Ex-employee Access Not Revoked:** The Tribune reported 100,000+ ex-employees of MeitY retained free access to the UIDAI system after separation — fundamental access management failure.
- **Private Agency Enrolment Risk:** In 2010, UIDAI contracted private agencies for Aadhaar enrolment. Mindtree developed ECMP (Enrolment Client Multi-Plataforma) software installed on thousands of private computers — each a potential exfiltration point. Enrolment operators used their own fingerprint/iris as login — creating an untraceable authentication chain.

Source: Medium, 'Aadhaar Data Breach — How Sensitive Data of 1.3 Billion Indians Was Compromised', December 2022; University of Washington JSIS, 2019

So am my

LEGAL ANALYSIS: APPLICABLE REMEDIES

8.1 Criminal Liability — Accused Gangs

1. IT Act Section 66C — Identity Theft using biometric data (proven in multiple FIRs)
2. IT Act Section 66D — Cheating by personation through AePS device
3. Aadhaar Act Section 29 — Unauthorised use of biometric/identity information
4. IPC Section 420 — Cheating (financial deception of banks and victims)
5. IPC Section 120B — Criminal conspiracy (established through inter-state gang structure)
6. IPC Section 468/471 — Forgery/use of forged biometric artifacts

8.2 State Liability — Portal Operators

The Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh state governments, as operators of Kaveri and AP Registration portals respectively, may face civil liability under:

- **Aadhaar Act Section 39:** Disclosure of identity information in contravention of obligations.
- **IT Act Section 43A:** Failure to implement 'reasonable security practices and procedures' for sensitive personal data.
- **Constitutional Tort (Post-Puttaswamy):** Violation of the fundamental right to privacy through inadequate data security of government-collected biometric data.

8.3 UIDAI Regulatory Accountability

- **Failure to implement liveness detection timely:** Documented 7-month delay from promised date (March 2023 to October 2023) during which documented mass fraud occurred.
- **Parliamentary misrepresentation:** July 2023 statement denying Aadhaar data cloning incidents — contradicted by the MHA's own February 2023 advisory issued five months earlier.
- **Access management failures:** 100,000+ ex-official logins not revoked; 5,000+ officials blocked only after breach was publicly exposed.

MASTER CITATION & EVIDENCE INDEX

#	Source	Nature	Date	Admissibility
1	The Tribune — Rachna Khaira Investigation	Investigative Journalism; FIR on record	Jan 3, 2018	High — admitted in SC
2	WEF Global Risks Report 2019	International Organisation Report	Jan 2019	High
3	I4C/MHA Advisory to States	Official Government Advisory	Feb 21, 2023	Primary — Govt Record
4	Mangaluru Commissioner Press Conference	Official Police Statement (FIR basis)	Oct 29, 2023	Primary — Police Record
5	The Hindu — Mangaluru Fraud Investigation	Verified Journalism	Dec 15, 2023	High
6	Deccan Herald — AEPS Fraud Report	Verified Journalism	Nov 7, 2023	High
7	I4C CEO Statement — Annual Conference	Official Government Statement	Jan 2024	Primary
8	Resecurity Inc. — Dark Web Analysis	Cybersecurity Research Report	Oct 2023	Expert Evidence
9	Lok Sabha Records — MoS Finance Statement	Parliamentary Record	Jul 2023	Primary — Hansard
10	Puttaswamy v. UoI — SC 9-Judge Bench	Supreme Court Judgment	2017	Binding Precedent
11	Puttaswamy v. UoI — Aadhaar Judgment	Supreme Court Judgment (5-Judge)	2018	Binding Precedent
12	MediaNama — AePS Fraud Analysis	Policy Journalism with Primary Sources	Jan 5, 2024	Secondary
13	UIDAI — Penalties for Fraud (Official FAQ)	Official UIDAI Website — Government Record	Current	Primary
14	Fair Observer — AI Threat to Aadhaar	Academic Policy Analysis	Dec 19, 2025	Expert Evidence
15	ETV Bharat — Bengaluru AePS Arrests	Verified Journalism (Police Source)	Jan 17, 2024	Secondary
16	Biometric Update — AePS Fraud Report	Industry Research Publication	Jan 2024	Secondary
17	Wikipedia — Data Breaches in India	Aggregated Reference (tertiary)	Jan 2026	Reference Only

So am my

CLOSING DECLARATION

This dossier has been compiled exclusively from publicly documented, independently verified, and government-acknowledged sources. It does not contain or suggest methods for committing fraud — rather, it documents established judicial, investigative, and governmental records of fraud that has already occurred, for the purpose of informing judicial proceedings.

The documented incidents collectively establish:

- **Pattern (Section 300 IEA):** A consistent and replicable modus operandi across multiple states, gangs, and victims — establishing a systemic, organised criminal enterprise rather than isolated incidents.
- **Institutional knowledge (for negligence claims):** UIDAI, state governments, and MHA were on notice of these vulnerabilities from as early as 2018, yet remedial action was delayed or resisted.
- **Scale of harm:** From 1.1 billion records exposed in 2018 to 815 million PII on dark web in 2023 — the scale warrants treatment as a national-level organised criminal operation.

Submitted for: Exclusive use in judicial/quasi-judicial proceedings

Date of Compilation: March 2026

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL — LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

So am my

CONCLUSIONS: THE ARCHITECTURE OF DIGITAL DACOITY

India's digital dacoity is not a collection of isolated incidents — it is a systemic, layered criminal and quasi-criminal ecosystem that has exploited four structural vulnerabilities simultaneously:

26. **REGULATORY ARBITRAGE:** The gap between NBFC registration requirements and actual operational oversight allowed dormant licence holders to be acquired as shells, and allowed LSPs to operate outside direct regulatory reach. The RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Guidelines addressed this — but implementation compliance remains mixed.
27. **DATA COLLECTION WITHOUT ACCOUNTABILITY:** The absence of a fully operational comprehensive data protection law (DPDPA passed in 2023 but not yet effective as of March 2026) created a 14-year window (2009–2026) during which loan apps, NBFC LSPs, and AdTech SDKs collected vast amounts of sensitive personal data with minimal legal accountability for misuse, cross-border transfer, or failure to delete.
28. **CROSS-BORDER IMPUNITY:** Chinese operators structured their operations to ensure Indian proxies bore prosecution risk while Chinese principals — physically outside India — extracted data and profits. Nepal call centres, Chinese cloud servers, cryptocurrency exits, and hawala routes all served to place real perpetrators beyond the reach of Indian law enforcement.
29. **PSYCHOLOGICAL EXPLOITATION INFRASTRUCTURE:** The combination of contact list access, morphed images, fake legal notices, and now digital arrest impersonation of CBI/ED officials creates a 'fear economy' that extracts money through psychological coercion rather than legal process. The scale — Rs. 1,935 Crore in digital arrest losses in 2024 alone — demonstrates how profitable this is.

The same infrastructure that drives predatory loan harassment is the same infrastructure that enables digital arrest scams: WhatsApp for impersonation, contact list data for leverage, cryptocurrency for money laundering, Chinese operators for direction and profit. Understanding that these are manifestations of the same systemic problem — rather than separate types of fraud — is essential for effective policy response.

India's path forward requires: full operationalization of DPDPA with a constituted Data Protection Board; mandatory APK-level transparency audits for all loan apps; RBI supervisory technology (SupTech) capable of real-time monitoring of LSP data practices; international cooperation frameworks with Nepal and China for cross-border cybercrime; and — fundamentally — financial literacy programs that equip the most vulnerable borrowers to recognise and resist the entry points of digital dacoity.

So am m

FORENSIC INVESTIGATION REPORT

MODUS OPERANDI: FROM JAMTARA TO DIGITAL ARREST

*A Complete Forensic Chain-of-Evidence Analysis of India's Organized Cybercrime Ecosystem
(2010–2026)*

**Classification: ORGANIZED CRIME under BNS Section 111 | BSA 2023 Compliant
Evidence**

Prepared by: Nitish Kumar | National Cyber Security Scholar | RRU-ISAC Cert. No. 00112

So am my

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This forensic investigation report establishes, with admissible evidence under the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (BSA) 2023 and prosecutable framework under Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023, the complete modus operandi chain of India's organized cybercrime ecosystem. The chain begins with low-tech voice phishing in Jamtara (2010), evolves through SIM swapping, ATM cloning, Chinese predatory loan apps, and Telegram job scams, and culminates in the sophisticated 'Digital Arrest' template — a crime model now characterized by this Hon'ble Court as 'Digital Dacoity' causing ₹54,000 crore in documented losses.

The core forensic finding of this report is that the criminal evolution was not organic. It was enabled — deliberately and systematically — by: (1) unregulated data harvesting by foreign AdTech companies (SilverPush, InMobi, Meta, Google); (2) unregulated Indian technology companies registered as shells; (3) Chinese-operated criminal networks using Indian dummy directors; (4) the metadata made freely available by 'Accept All' consent fatigue on Indian citizens' devices; and (5) the 14-year SOP vacuum in state data protection. The Intervenor has warned of each of these enabling factors since 2016.

Key Statistic	Figure	Source
Total documented cyber fraud losses (2024-25)	₹54,000 crore	Supreme Court, Feb 2026
Share of cybercrimes from Mewat region	54.1%	MHA Data 2024
Mewat daily fraud cases (peak)	500+ cases/day	Rajasthan Police, 2024
Top 10 districts contributing to national cybercrime	80%	FCRF/IIT Kanpur 2023
Chinese loan app money laundered (single case)	₹719 crore	ED, Feb 2025
Mule accounts used in Chinese loan app cases	500+ accounts	ED Press Release, Nov 2024
Android apps with ultrasonic audio beacons	234 apps	German UBEAC Research
Aadhaar records exposed (2018 breach)	1.1 billion citizens	Tribune Investigation 2018
Telecom subscriber data sold (dark web, 2023)	750 million records / 1.8 TB	FCRF 2023
Digital arrest losses Q1 2024 alone	₹120 crore	MHA I4C Report

So am my

PART I: THE COMPLETE CRIME ECOSYSTEM — FORENSIC MAP

1.1 The Five Enabling Pillars

Before tracing the modus operandi chain chronologically, this Court must understand the five structural pillars that make each stage of cybercrime possible. These pillars are not incidental — they are the infrastructure of organized crime.

PILLAR 1 — METADATA AS THE CRIMINAL RAW MATERIAL

Every stage of the cybercrime chain runs on data and metadata. The criminal ecosystem depends on four categories of citizen data, each harvested through a different mechanism:

Data Category	Source of Harvest	Criminal Use
Identity Data (Aadhaar, PAN, DOB, address)	Government KYC databases, breached portals, dark web purchase for ₹500/record	Target verification, SIM swapping, mule account opening
Financial Data (bank a/c, IFSC, UPI ID, card details)	NACH system breaches, phishing, ATM skimming, loan app data theft	Direct financial extraction, RTGS fraud
Behavioral Metadata (location, TV viewing, browsing)	SilverPush audio beacons, InMobi SDK, Google/Meta ad tracking	Psychological profiling for targeted scam scripts
Social Graph (contacts, family network, employer)	NBFC loan apps permission harvest, WhatsApp data scraping	Coercion, social shaming, authority impersonation scripts
Biometric Data (fingerprint, iris, face)	UIDAI CIDR database, e-KYC service providers	Biometric spoofing, digital identity cloning

FORENSIC FINDING: The 'Accept All' button on cookie/permission prompts — present on every Indian app, website, and digital service — functions as a mass consent instrument that legally transfers behavioral metadata to foreign AdTech networks. Indian courts and regulators have never adjudicated whether 'Accept All' under conditions of informational asymmetry constitutes valid consent under Article 21. This is the foundational legal gap the Intervenor brings before this Court.

PILLAR 2 — UNREGULATED INDIAN SHELL COMPANIES

Chinese criminal networks have systematically incorporated Indian shell companies to launder money and provide a legal façade for criminal operations. ED investigations (2020–2025) reveal a standardized pattern:

- A Chinese national (or Singaporean proxy) identifies economically vulnerable Indians to serve as 'dummy directors.'
- Shell company registered with MCA (Ministry of Corporate Affairs) — often within 48 hours using online filing.
- Company receives GST registration, opens bank accounts, and applies for RBI NBFC registration or simply operates as an unregistered lending entity.

So am m

- App deployed on Google Play Store / Apple App Store using Indian company credentials.
- Data harvested from Indian users; money extracted; proceeds routed to Singapore or Hong Kong via SWIFT, crypto (WazirX), or NIUM India Pvt. Ltd. disguised as 'software imports.'
- When ED investigation begins, dummy directors bear all criminal liability. Chinese principals remain insulated.

CASE EVIDENCE: In the ₹719 crore case (ED, Feb 2025): shell companies linked to suspects funnelled ₹170 crore to Chinese operators via Singapore in 2023. In the ₹49.2 crore case (ED, Nov 2024): Chinese nationals Xiao Ya Mao and Wu Yuanlun arrested in Tamil Nadu; Indian employees made dummy directors by 'forcing them to sign documents.' WazirX crypto accounts used to receive ₹3.54 crore, converted to INR for lending, proceeds sent back to Hong Kong.

PILLAR 3 — FOREIGN ADTECH SURVEILLANCE INFRASTRUCTURE

SilverPush (Singapore HQ, Gurgaon ops), InMobi (Singapore HQ, Bangalore ops), Meta (Ireland), and Google (Delaware) operate behavioral surveillance infrastructure in India that provides the 'targeting layer' for organized cybercrime. Their SDKs embedded in Indian apps collect:

- Precise GPS coordinates updated every 15 minutes (InMobi — basis of \$950,000 FTC penalty, 2016).
- Ultrasonic cross-device tracking — correlating TV viewership with phone usage without user knowledge (SilverPush — 234 apps identified by UBEAC research).
- Complete social graph, browsing history, app usage patterns (Meta Pixel / Google Analytics).
- Voice pattern data collected via ambient audio harvesting (SilverPush Audio Beacon).

This data is transmitted to Singapore and Ireland servers, beyond Indian jurisdictional reach. It is available through data broker networks and dark web channels to criminal syndicates for as little as ₹500 per enriched citizen profile.

PILLAR 4 — TELECOM REGULATORY FAILURE

The SIM card is the master key of the Indian cybercrime ecosystem. Every fraud variant — from Jamtara phishing to digital arrest — requires either a fraudulent SIM, a SIM swap, or a VoIP number. The regulatory failures enabling this are:

- Pre-activated SIM cards supplied in bulk from West Bengal (Murshidabad) to Jamtara; from Assam and Telangana to Mewat; 14,000+ fake numbers identified in single Mewat investigation (Haryana Police, 2023).
- Common Service Centres (CSC) — Modi government's Digital India flagships — have been used by their own employees (notably in Mewat investigation: Canara Bank employee Mukesh, arrested 2023) to activate fake SIMs using forged Aadhaar.
- KYC agents appointed by telecom companies (Airtel, Jio, BSNL) can activate SIMs using biometric authentication — but when Aadhaar biometric data is compromised (as it is for 1.1 billion citizens), any criminal with the right fingerprint clone can activate a SIM.

- DoT has no real-time SIM-fraud detection system. TRAI's Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) for SMS only prevents promotional spam — it does not detect criminal misuse of voice calls.

PILLAR 5 — PLATFORM NON-COMPLIANCE (META/GOOGLE/TELEGRAM)

The Supreme Court has already found WhatsApp non-compliant (IDC meeting, Jan 6, 2026).

The forensic significance:

- WhatsApp is used simultaneously as: (a) the primary distribution channel for loan app APKs bypassing Google Play Store; (b) the criminal marketplace for leaked KYC databases (₹500/Aadhaar record); (c) the coordination tool for 'callers,' 'data brokers,' 'money mules,' and 'handlers' within crime syndicates; and (d) the platform for digital arrest video calls.
- Telegram is used for: job scam recruitment, online trading scam scripts, sale of hacking tools (SpyLoan SDKs), and cryptocurrency mixing instructions.
- Google Play Store hosted 4,700+ illegal loan apps over two years despite having a policy requiring apps to be associated with regulated lenders — because verification relies on self-declared Indian company registration (which shell companies satisfy).
- Meta's 'Pixel' tracking installed on Indian government websites (a 2022 Markup investigation finding) means that even citizens visiting government portals are having their behavioral data transferred to Meta's Ireland servers without consent.

PART II: CHRONOLOGICAL MODUS OPERANDI CHAIN (2010–2026)

2.1 STAGE 1: THE JAMTARA GENESIS (2010–2016) — Voice Phishing & OTP Fraud

Geographic Profile

- Jamtara district, Jharkhand — population ~790,000; ~50% below poverty line; 70% youth unemployment.
- Adjacent hubs: Karmatand, Nawadih, Deoghar, Dumka, Pakur, Godda, Sahebganj.
- Cyber Police Station established only in 2018 — 8 years after criminal operations began.

Modus Operandi — Stage 1

1. DATA ACQUISITION: Criminals purchase bulk mobile number lists from telecom dealers, obtain leaked bank customer lists from insider bank employees (paid ₹2,000–10,000 per list).
2. CALLER IDENTIFICATION: 'Callers' (18–30 year old youth) assigned 50–100 numbers/day. Script: impersonate SBI/HDFC/ICICI customer care, claim KYC expiry or card block.
3. OTP EXTRACTION: Victim panicked by false urgency — 'your account will be frozen in 2 hours.' Victim divulges OTP + PIN + card number.
4. FUND TRANSFER: Caller immediately transfers to pre-arranged mule accounts. Mule account holders paid 5–50% commission. Accounts opened using forged/stolen ID documents.
5. CASH WITHDRAWAL: Cash withdrawn via ATM within 30 minutes of OTP extraction — before victim can raise complaint. Often done by a separate 'ATM runner' in another city.
6. SIM-BASED VARIANTS: When victim is bank account holder with registered mobile, criminals perform 'SIM swap' — convincing telecom retailer using forged Aadhaar to issue duplicate SIM. Once new SIM active, criminal receives all OTPs.

Technology Tools — Stage 1

- Cheap smartphones (₹2,000–5,000); basic call spoofing apps (Indian caller ID shows as 'SBI HELPLINE').
- Screen mirroring/remote access: AnyDesk, QuickSupport, TeamViewer — installed on victim's phone under pretext of 'resolving KYC issue.' Criminal gains full remote access without needing OTP.
- Pre-activated bulk SIM cards — sourced from Murshidabad (West Bengal) distributors, 12,500 SIM cards seized in single Delhi Police raid.

Criminal Structure — Jamtara Model

Role	Function	Payment
Caller / Scammer	Makes voice calls, social engineering	₹1,000–5,000/successful fraud
Data Broker	Buys/sells leaked bank customer lists	₹2,000–10,000 per list

So am my

Role	Function	Payment
SIM Procurer	Sources bulk pre-activated SIM cards	₹200–500 per SIM
Mule Account Holder	Rents bank account for receiving funds	5–50% commission of fraud amount
ATM Runner	Withdraws cash within 30 mins of transfer	10–20% of withdrawn amount
Trainer	Teaches new recruits phishing scripts	Fixed fee or percentage cut

BNS CHARGE: Section 111 (Organized Crime) — all elements satisfied: criminal syndicate, continuing unlawful activity, economic offense. Section 317 (Cheating by Impersonation). IT Act Section 66C (Identity Theft), Section 66D (Cheating by Personation using Computer Resource).

2.2 STAGE 2: MEWAT/BHARATPUR EVOLUTION (2018–2022) — Sextortion, OLX Fraud & SIM Swap Escalation

Geographic Profile

- Mewat region: Bharatpur & Alwar (Rajasthan) + Nuh (Haryana) + Mathura (UP) — tri-state jurisdiction enabling easy evasion of police raids.
- FCRF/IIT Kanpur study (2023): Top 10 districts account for 80% of India's cybercrime. Bharatpur #1 (18%), Mathura #2 (12%), Nuh #3 (11%).
- MHA data: 54.1% of all cybercrime in India now originates from Mewat region — surpassing Jamtara.
- Rajasthan Police: 7.5 lakh complaints in 2024 alone; Mewat criminals defrauded people across India AND internationally.

New Modus Operandi Variants — Stage 2

VARIANT A: SEXTORTION

7. Criminal creates fake Facebook/Instagram profile with attractive female photo.
8. Befriends victim (male, age 25–60) over days/weeks, builds trust.
9. Initiates video call — an obscene video plays on criminal's side; call is recorded.
10. Manipulated video sent to victim with threat: 'Pay ₹50,000 or this goes to your wife/employer.'
11. If victim blocks number, criminal switches to new SIM and continues. Final escalation: impersonates 'Delhi Police Cyber Crime Cell' officer — threatens criminal case for 'distributing pornography.'
12. THIS IS THE PROTOTYPE for the digital arrest script — sextortion created the 'fake law enforcement pressure' template later professionalized.

VARIANT B: OLX / MARKETPLACE FRAUD

13. Fake Army officer profile lists 'AC, refrigerator, motorcycle' for sale at below-market price — citing transfer to another city.
14. Sends forged Army ID card via WhatsApp. Accepts 'advance token' via UPI.

So am my

15. Disappears. Military Intelligence (Pune) traced criminals in Bharatpur posing as Army officials.
16. Common Service Centre (CSC) employees in Mewat providing fake ID fabrication services — CSC in Tirwada village (14 members arrested).

VARIANT C: ATM/CARD SKIMMING ESCALATION

17. Skimming devices installed on ATMs in urban areas by Mewat-linked gangs.
18. Card data cloned; PIN captured via hidden camera or shoulder surfing.
19. Cloned cards used in different cities within 2 hours.
20. SIM swap variant: Missed calls to victim's phone (4–6 missed calls in rapid succession) trigger IVR OTP delivery — criminal intercepts using SIM swap. South Delhi director lost ₹50 lakh via this method; no OTP was asked for — SIM swap captured the RTGS IVR.

The Khan Brothers & Organized Crime Network

Multiple Khan-family networks across Mewat villages have been documented in successive raids (Nuh Police, 2023–2024). Key arrests include Deen Mohammad, Asif, Arif, Sarfaraz, Saqib, Ijaz, and Munajir. The pattern:

- Family-based crime cells — 3–4 persons per cell, operating from home.
- Centralized service providers in each village: fake SIM supplier, bank account opener, POS machine operator, social media advertiser.
- Commission structure: 5–50% of fraud amount, depending on role.
- January 2023 mega-raid: 500 cops, 102 teams, 320 locations across 14 villages — recovered 166 fake Aadhaar, 128 ATM cards, 66 phones, 99 SIMs, 5 POS machines, 219 bank accounts, 140 UPI accounts — linked to 28,000 cases across India totalling ₹100 crore.
- July 2023: Islamist mob attacked and ransacked Nuh Cyber Police Station — later confirmed to be pre-planned to destroy evidence. Court-confirmed finding.

BNS CHARGE: Section 111 (Organized Crime Syndicate — multi-state, continuing unlawful activity). Section 152 (Acts Endangering Sovereignty — evidence destruction attempt targeting state law enforcement). Additionally: PMLA 2002 for money laundering through POS machines and mule accounts.

2.3 STAGE 3: CHINESE LOAN APP CRIMINAL NETWORK (2019–2025) — Data as Weapon

The Chinese Connection Architecture

Chinese criminal syndicates identified India as a high-value target market due to: (1) massive unbanked/underbanked population needing micro-credit; (2) smartphone penetration without digital literacy; (3) absence of an operational data protection board until 2027; (4) ease of incorporating Indian shell companies remotely within 48 hours.

Modus Operandi — Chinese Loan App Model

Step	Action	Criminal Purpose
1. Incorporation	Register Indian Pvt. Ltd. via Chinese proxy/dummy Indian director	Legal façade; Google Play Store access
2. App Development	Develop loan app — often using open-source SpyLoan SDK with surveillance modules	One codebase reused across hundreds of apps
3. Deploy on Play Store	Submit app under Indian company credentials	Evades country-of-origin restrictions
4. Permission Harvest	App demands: contacts, photos, videos, call logs, location — ostensibly for 'KYC'	Build extortion arsenal before loan disbursed
5. Loan Disbursement	Disburse ₹5,000–10,000; deduct 20–30% as 'processing fee' upfront	Net disbursement ₹3,500–8,000
6. Immediate Default	Loan tenure 7–15 days; interest rate exploitative (sometimes 200–500% annualized)	Engineered default — victim can't repay
7. Data Weaponization	Contact list used to send morphed explicit images to victim's family/employer	Coercion without needing further evidence
8. Debt Trap	'Repay old loan by taking new loan from our partner app' — circular debt trap	Sustained cash extraction
9. Money Laundering	Proceeds → Mule accounts → Singapore (SWIFT/NIUM) → Hong Kong (Crypto/WazirX)	Funds exit India within 48 hours
10. Corporate Dissolution	Shell company wound up before ED can attach assets	Criminal principals remain insulated

Documented Cases

- ₹719 crore case (ED, Feb 2025): Sayid Muhammad & Varghese TG arrested Kerala — 500 mule accounts, 26 WazirX crypto accounts, ₹115.67 crore sent offshore. Shell companies funnelled ₹170 crore to Chinese operators via Singapore (2023).
- ₹49.2 crore case (ED, Nov 2024): Chinese nationals Xiao Ya Mao & Wu Yuanlun arrested Tamil Nadu — created dummy Indian directors; ₹3.54 crore through WazirX converted to INR, ₹5.02 crore collected from coerced borrowers, sent to Hong Kong.
- ₹230.92 crore case (ED, Jan 2025): 4 arrested; on instructions of Singaporean citizen; 400+ mule accounts.

So am my

- ₹4,900 crore case (ED, Jan 2025): Two accused arrested; largest single Chinese loan app case.
- Government banned 138 betting apps + 94 loan lending apps with Chinese links; Google removed 4,700+ illegal loan apps from Play Store over two years.
- 12 million+ users affected by 18 deceitful loan apps on Google Play (SpyLoan variant, Dec 2023).

The Data-to-Digital-Arrest Link

Chinese loan app operations created the critical infrastructure that later powered digital arrests:

- Data weaponization template: criminal contacts list + morphed images = psychological coercion. This became the psychological warfare toolkit of digital arrest.
- Mule account networks: 500+ mule accounts per operation — the same networks were later repurposed for digital arrest money receipt.
- Remote access tools: loan apps normalized victims downloading AnyDesk/remote access software — same tools used in digital arrest for 'verification.'
- Shell company/dummy director model: perfected in loan app era, deployed in digital arrest operations.

BNS CHARGE: Section 111 (Organized Crime — international syndicate). Section 152 (Acts Endangering Sovereignty — Chinese state-linked financial warfare). PMLA 2002. IT Act Section 43A (Failure to protect sensitive personal data). Section 72A (Disclosure of information in breach of contract). Companies Act 2013 Section 447 (Fraud) for dummy directors.

2.4 STAGE 4: TELEGRAM JOB SCAM & ONLINE INVESTMENT FRAUD (2021–2024)

How Telegram Replaced WhatsApp as Criminal Infrastructure

After WhatsApp introduced message traceability (January 2021), organized criminal networks migrated coordination to Telegram. Telegram's design features make it ideal for criminal use: no phone number required for encrypted groups, 200,000-member supergroups, bot automation, anonymous channels, and self-destructing messages.

Job Scam Modus Operandi

21. **TARGET:** Unemployed youth (18–35), housewives, students. Lured via Google/Facebook/Instagram ads: 'Work from home, earn ₹5,000/day, just 2 hours per day.'
22. **CHANNEL:** Victims directed to WhatsApp first, then migrated to Telegram 'work group.'
23. **TASK PROGRESSION:** Week 1 — simple tasks (like YouTube videos, rate apps). Victim actually receives small payment (₹200–500). Trust established.
24. **INVESTMENT PHASE:** Victim assigned to 'trading group.' Told: 'To unlock higher earnings, invest ₹5,000 in our platform.' Fake trading platform shows guaranteed 30% returns.
25. **PROFIT MIRAGE:** Platform shows profit accumulating. Victim encouraged to invest more (₹50,000 → ₹5 lakh → ₹50 lakh). Actual criminals are monitoring victim's social graph to calibrate maximum extractable amount.
26. **WITHDRAWAL BLOCK:** When victim tries to withdraw, platform demands 'tax clearance fee,' 'GST deposit,' 'verification fee' — each a fresh extraction.
27. **EXIT:** Platform disappears. Telegram group deleted. VPN-masked server leaves no recoverable trace.

The Metadata Connection

Victims are selected for Telegram job scams based on behavioral metadata purchased from AdTech networks:

- Google/Meta ad targeting: 'unemployed + job-seeking behavior + age 18-35 + India' — a standard targeting parameter available to any advertiser.
- This means criminal syndicates buy targeted ad placements on legitimate platforms to reach ideal victims — Google and Meta receive advertising revenue from criminal operations.
- InMobi's precise geolocation SDK can identify which mobile users are at employment offices or job fair venues — allowing ultra-targeted criminal recruitment.

LEGAL FINDING: Meta and Google are knowingly profiting from criminal advertising under the present unregulated regime. Neither platform has a mandatory pre-screening system for criminal intent in ad targeting parameters. This is a violation of Section 79 of the IT Act (intermediary liability) when read with the 2021 IT (Intermediary Guidelines) Rules — which require 'due diligence' to prevent misuse of platforms for criminal purposes.

2.5 STAGE 5: DIGITAL ARREST — THE CULMINATION (2023–2026)

The Forensic Architecture of Digital Arrest

Digital arrest is not a simple scam — it is a highly engineered psychological operation that synthesizes every technique from Stages 1–4 and adds a new layer: state authority impersonation with deepfake technology.

Complete Modus Operandi — Digital Arrest

Phase	Action	Tools Used	Data Required
Phase 1: Target Selection	Pull victim profile from leaked KYC databases. Identify income bracket from NACH data, behavioral data from AdTech. Select victims with maximum extractable amount.	Dark web databases; AdTech behavioral data	Aadhaar, PAN, bank account, phone, behavioral profile
Phase 2: Initial Contact	WhatsApp/Telegram call. Claim: 'A parcel in your name has been seized at Mumbai Customs containing drugs/counterfeit currency/porn.' Creates immediate fear.	VOIP numbers; WhatsApp Business API	Victim's phone number
Phase 3: Authority Transfer	'Transfer you to CBI/ED/Supreme Court officer for verification.' New person joins — wearing fake uniform, fake seal visible in background.	Pre-made backdrop props; uniform; forged ID badges	Victim's name, photo (from Aadhaar)
Phase 4: Deepfake Amplification	In sophisticated variants: deepfake video of real Supreme Court judge/IPS officer shown on video call. Forged Supreme Court arrest warrant displayed with real SC seal.	DeepFaceLab / FaceSwap AI; Photoshop; real SC seal images	Biometric photo of victim (from Aadhaar); judge's public photo
Phase 5: Digital House Arrest	'Do not leave home, do not call anyone, do not disconnect — this is a digital arrest. Violation = immediate physical arrest.' Victim monitored on video 24/7.	Video call (WhatsApp/Skype/Zoom); multiple devices	Victim's home address (from Aadhaar/social media)
Phase 6: Financial Extraction	'To clear your name, transfer ₹X to RBI Escrow Account / Supreme Court Registry Account.' Amounts escalate. Multiple RTGS/NEFT transfers demanded.	Mule accounts (from Chinese loan app networks); UPI IDs	Victim's bank account (from NACH/KYC breach)

Phase	Action	Tools Used	Data Required
Phase 7: Money Laundering	Received funds immediately dispersed across 15–20 mule accounts → cryptocurrency → Singapore/Hong Kong.	WazirX/Binance P2P; hawala networks; shell companies	None — technical operation

Case Evidence — Digital Arrest Operations

- Ambala couple: ₹1.05 crore extracted via forged Supreme Court arrest warrant on WhatsApp — the triggering case for SC SMW 3/2025.
- Senior government official: ₹2.3 crore transferred via deepfake video call impersonating Supreme Court Justice.
- UP STF arrested mastermind behind ₹33 lakh digital arrest fraud syndicate (Jan 2025).
- Indians lost ₹120 crore to digital arrest in Q1 2024 alone — the earliest documented period. Full year 2024 estimates range ₹500–800 crore.
- Jamtara Police busted an interstate gang using malicious APKs AND ChatGPT-generated malware for digital arrest operations (Jan 2025) — confirming AI tools are now integrated into the crime chain.

The Deepfake-Aadhaar Connection

The most forensically significant finding: high-quality deepfake video impersonation of Supreme Court judges is only possible because:

28. Victim's complete facial profile is available from Aadhaar photo database (compromised in 2018 breach — 1.1 billion citizens).
29. Victim's voice sample is available from audio beacon harvesting (SilverPush SDK — 234 apps).
30. AI tools (DeepFaceLab, FaceSwap, ElevenLabs voice cloning) are freely available, unregulated in India.
31. There is NO Indian regulatory framework for AI deepfake detection or criminal deepfake prosecution — the IT Act's Section 66E (privacy violation) covers only original photographs, not AI-generated synthetic media.

LEGAL GAP: The IT Act 2000 has no provision criminalizing deepfake impersonation of judicial officers. BNS Section 69 (Deceitful Identity Use) may cover this, but it has not been tested in court. This is a legislative gap that this Court can address through judicial directions pending legislative action.

PART III: THE DATA PIPELINE — HOW METADATA BECOMES THE CRIME WEAPON

3.1 The 'Accept All' Doctrine — Legal Analysis

Every app on every Indian's smartphone presents a 'Cookie Consent' or 'App Permissions' screen. The design of these screens — confirmed by dark pattern research — is deliberately engineered to maximize 'Accept All' clicks:

- 'Accept All' is green, large, and prominently placed. 'Manage Settings' is grey, small, and requires 3–4 additional clicks.
- User sees this as a binary choice: accept or lose app functionality.
- In reality, 'Accept All' transfers: location data, audio data, behavioral data, social graph, browsing history, and purchase behavior to: the app owner, their 3rd-party SDK providers (SilverPush, InMobi, Google, Meta), and any party those providers sell to.
- Indian courts have never ruled on whether 'Accept All' under these conditions constitutes 'free, informed, and unambiguous consent' as required under the DPDP Act 2023.

The forensic consequence: every time an Indian user clicks 'Accept All,' they are contributing to the metadata pool that criminal syndicates purchase through data brokers to profile and target victims. The user is not giving consent — they are feeding a criminal intelligence operation, without knowing it.

3.2 The Metadata → Criminal Profile Pipeline

Metadata Source	Platform/SDK	Data Collected	Criminal Use in Digital Arrest
'Accept All' on banking app	Google Analytics / Firebase	Account balance range, transaction frequency	Determines victim's maximum extractable amount
GPS from cab/food delivery app	InMobi SDK	Precise home + work address; daily routine	Digital arrest: 'We know you are at [address]'
TV watching + phone in same room	SilverPush Audio Beacon	Program preferences, viewing time, household demographics	Script personalization: 'You watched [program] last night'
WhatsApp contact sync	Meta (WhatsApp)	Complete social graph — family, colleagues, employer	Coercion: 'We will call your employer/wife'
LinkedIn profile	Microsoft LinkedIn	Job title, income bracket, employer details	Target prioritization: senior officials targeted for ₹1 crore+ frauds
Facebook/Instagram ad behavior	Meta Pixel	Political views, health concerns, financial anxiety	Psychological profiling for sextortion, investment fraud

Metadata Source	Platform/SDK	Data Collected	Criminal Use in Digital Arrest
E-commerce browsing	Amazon/Flipkart + Meta Pixel	Purchase power, product interests	Income estimation for fraud amount calibration
Government portal visits	Meta Pixel on govt websites	Legal/tax concerns, pending cases, health issues	Triggers for specific fraud scripts (customs, tax, health)

3.3 The Unregulated AI Layer — 2024–2026

By 2024, AI tools were fully integrated into India's cybercrime ecosystem. Unlike in the European Union (EU AI Act, 2024) or the United States (NIST AI RMF), India has no AI regulation, no AI use-case prohibition list, and no criminal liability framework for AI-assisted crimes.

AI Tools Used in India's Cybercrime Chain

AI Tool	Criminal Application	Regulatory Status in India
ChatGPT / Claude / Gemini	Generate phishing scripts, fake legal notices, fake arrest warrants in perfect legal Hindi/English	No regulation — free public access
DeepFaceLab / FaceSwap	Deepfake video of Supreme Court judges, IPS officers for digital arrest video calls	No specific criminal prohibition in IT Act
ElevenLabs / Murf.ai	Voice cloning of police officers, bank officials for phone fraud	No regulation
Midjourney / Stable Diffusion	Generate fake uniform photos, fake ID cards, fake court seal documents	No regulation
ChatGPT-generated malware (Jamtara, Jan 2025)	AI-written APK malware for data extraction, deployed via WhatsApp	No AI-specific malware provision in BNS/IT Act
Automated dialing bots (Telegram bots)	Mass-call thousands of victims simultaneously with pre-recorded 'customs officer' script	No automated calling regulation in India
GhostGPT (dark web AI)	Uncensored AI chatbot providing malware code, phishing scripts without safety filters	Not accessible to Indian law enforcement

LEGAL FINDING: India's IT Act 2000 and BNS 2023 have no AI-specific provisions. The DPDP Act 2023 has no AI governance framework. The Ministry of Electronics and IT's (MeitY) Advisory on AI (March 2024) was withdrawn after industry pushback. India is the only G20 nation with no binding AI regulation — creating a criminal exploitation vacuum. This Court can direct MeitY to implement interim AI use-case prohibitions pending legislation.

So am m

PART IV: COMPLETE LEGAL FRAMEWORK & CHARGES

4.1 Criminal Charges — BNS 2023

BNS Section	Offense	Application to Crime Chain	Max Penalty
Section 111	Organized Crime — Cybercrime Syndicate	Entire Jamtara→Mewat→Chinese App→Digital Arrest chain constitutes one continuing criminal enterprise	Death/Life Imprisonment + fine
Section 111(2)	Continuing Unlawful Activity	14-year pattern from 2012; victims across all states	Minimum 5 years; up to life
Section 152	Acts Endangering Sovereignty	Chinese app networks extracting national wealth; foreign intelligence potential of AdTech data	Life Imprisonment
Section 69	Deceitful Identity Use	Deepfake of SC judges; fake police/CBI impersonation	Imprisonment + fine
Section 317	Cheating by Impersonation	All digital arrest and phishing variants	7 years
Section 308	Extortion	Digital arrest money demand under threat	14 years
Section 351	Criminal Intimidation	'Your family will be arrested if you do not pay'	7 years
Section 61	Abetment of Offense	Platform non-compliance (WhatsApp, Telegram) enabling crime	Punishment = abetted offense
Section 106	Culpable Homicide by Negligence	Suicide cases resulting from loan app harassment	2–5 years

4.2 IT Act 2000 Charges

IT Act Section	Offense	Application
Section 43A	Failure to protect sensitive personal data (corporate)	SilverPush, InMobi, Chinese loan apps — all failed data protection duty
Section 66C	Identity theft using computer resource	SIM swapping, Aadhaar-based mule account creation
Section 66D	Cheating by personation using computer resource	All digital arrest and phishing operations
Section 66E	Privacy violation — publishing private images	Sextortion morphed image distribution
Section 72A	Disclosure of information in breach of lawful contract	Telecom company insiders selling customer data
Section 79 r/w Rule 4, IT Rules 2021	Intermediary liability for failure of due diligence	WhatsApp, Telegram, Google Play — allowing criminal operations

4.3 PMLA 2002

- All digital arrest and Chinese loan app proceeds constitute 'proceeds of crime' under Section 2(1)(u) PMLA.
- Mule account operations, cryptocurrency routing via WazirX, NIUM-based Singapore transfers — all constitute 'money laundering' under Section 3 PMLA.
- Shell companies used as conduits are 'property derived from criminal activity' under Section 5 for attachment.
- Chinese principals directing Indian dummy directors are 'persons who project proceeds of crime as untainted property' — Section 3 applies extraterritorially.

4.4 Constitutional Violations — Article 21

Constitutional Right	Violation	Causation
Right to Privacy (Puttaswamy 2017)	Mass behavioral surveillance by SilverPush, InMobi, Meta without valid consent	AdTech surveillance infrastructure
Right to Life — Economic Dignity	₹54,000 crore extracted; victims lose life savings, homes, businesses	Digital arrest + Chinese loan app operations
Due Process — Right to Know Accuser	Citizens have no mechanism to know if their Aadhaar data is compromised	14-year SOP vacuum
Right to Erasure (emerging right)	No mechanism to 'reset' compromised biometric identity	DPDP Act rules not yet operational
Freedom from Coercion	Digital arrest victims kept in 24-hour video surveillance under threat	State failure to prosecute under existing law
Equal Protection (Article 14)	Citizens with compromised biometric data permanently disadvantaged — no remedy	Identity Event Horizon: no recovery mechanism

4.5 Key Precedents

Case	Principle	Application
K.S. Puttaswamy v. UoI, (2017) 10 SCC 1	Privacy = fundamental right; informational self-determination	AdTech surveillance without consent = constitutional violation
Shreya Singhal v. UoI, (2015) 5 SCC 1	Intermediaries must comply with court orders; Section 79 IT Act intermediary liability	WhatsApp non-compliance already in contempt territory
Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan, (1997) 6 SCC 241	SC can issue binding guidelines pending legislation	SC can direct AI regulation, AdTech oversight, SIM fraud controls
Nilabati Behera v. State of Orissa, (1993) 2 SCC 746	Art. 32 compensation for constitutional tort	State liable for digital arrest losses caused by SOP negligence
State of Jharkhand v. Ankit Sharma (2021)	Swift inter-state coordination required for Jamtara cybercrime	CBI pan-India mandate supported

Case	Principle	Application
Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI (2025)	WhatsApp/video process cannot replace formal BNS arrest procedure	Digital arrest = per se illegal — no legal basis exists
MC Mehta v. UoI (Oleum, 1987)	Absolute liability for ultra-hazardous activities	Biometric data collection = ultra-hazardous; State bears absolute liability for breach
Rudul Shah v. State of Bihar, (1983) 4 SCC 141	SC can award compensation for fundamental rights violations under Art. 32	Victims of digital arrest caused by state negligence entitled to compensation

PART V: REGULATORY GAPS — THE LEGAL VACUUM ENABLING CRIME

5.1 Gap Matrix

Domain	Gap	Criminal Exploitation	Recommended Direction
AI Regulation	No binding AI law; MeitY March 2024 advisory withdrawn	ChatGPT malware, deepfake SC judges, AI phishing scripts	Direct MeitY: implement interim AI use-case prohibitions (deepfake of officials, AI malware)
Deepfake Crimes	IT Act has no deepfake provision; BNS 69 untested	Supreme Court judge deepfakes in digital arrest	Direct Law Ministry: amend IT Act Section 66E to include synthetic media; interim judicial direction
SIM Card Fraud	No real-time SIM fraud detection; KYC agent fraud undetected	12,500 pre-activated SIMs per gang; SIM swap enables RTGS fraud	Direct DoT: mandatory SIM activation verification via Aadhaar OTP + biometric dual-factor
AdTech Regulation	No requirement for foreign AdTech SDKs to disclose data collection to Indian users	SilverPush, InMobi: behavioral surveillance without consent	Direct MeitY/DPBI: mandatory SDK disclosure registry; ban ultrasonic tracking SDKs
Shell Company Abuse	MCA company registration in 48 hours with minimal verification; Chinese dummy directors evade detection	₹719 crore laundered; ₹4,900 crore laundered via Indian shells	Direct MCA: mandatory PAN-Aadhaar-Criminal Record Check before company registration
Crypto Money Laundering	WazirX, Binance P2P — used for ₹115+ crore offshore transfer in single case	Criminal proceeds exit India within 48 hours via crypto	Direct FIU-IND and SEBI: mandatory real-time reporting of all crypto transactions above ₹1 lakh
Data Protection Enforcement	DPBI not fully operational until May 2027; maximum penalties unenforced	Foreign AdTech continues unchecked; Chinese apps continue	This Court: invoke Art. 32 jurisdiction to fill regulatory vacuum pending DPBI operationalization
Platform Liability	WhatsApp non-compliant with SC orders; Telegram unregulated	Criminal recruitment, malware distribution, KYC data sale — all via platforms	Direct platforms: 24-hour compliance cell with I4C; auto-block accounts distributing loan APKs
NBFC Loan App Regulation	RBI: much digital lending 'outside its purview'	Chinese loan apps operating without NBFC license	Direct RBI: ban any loan disbursement app not appearing on pre-approved whitelist

Domain	Gap	Criminal Exploitation	Recommended Direction
Inter-State Jurisdiction	Tri-state criminals cross borders to evade raids Mewat:	28,000 cases traced to single Mewat network	Direct CBI: permanent Mewat-Jamtara joint task force with dedicated MLAT desk for Singapore/HK

PART VI: CHAIN OF EVIDENCE — BSA 2023 COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION

6.1 Evidence Categories and BSA Admissibility

Evidence Type	BSA 2023 Section	Source	Admissibility Status
SIM card seizure records (Jamtara raids)	Section 61 — Electronic Records as primary evidence	CBI/Jharkhand Police FIRs 2018-2024	Admissible — police records
Dark web database purchase records (₹500/Aadhaar)	Section 61 + Section 63 Certificate	Tribune investigation + UBEAC research	Admissible with Section 63(4) certificate
ED press releases + charge sheets (Chinese loan apps)	Section 61 + Section 45 Expert Opinion	ED Hqrs. press releases, 2020-2025	Admissible — government records
FTC enforcement orders (InMobi \$950,000 penalty)	Section 45 — Foreign Expert Opinion	FTC.gov official press release, 2016	Admissible as foreign regulatory determination
German UBEAC research (234 SilverPush apps)	Section 45 — Expert Opinion	Peer-reviewed IEEE EuroS&P paper	Admissible as published expert research
Supreme Court IDC status reports (WhatsApp non-compliance)	Section 61 — Court Records	SC SMW 3/2025 — January 6, 2026 order	Admissible — court's own records
FCRF/IIT Kanpur cybercrime hotspot study	Section 45 — Expert Opinion	Published research, IIT Kanpur incubated startup	Admissible as expert analysis
Kolkata Police FIR analysis (610 FIRs, 2021-2024)	Section 61 — Police Records	Joint CP (Crime) statement + FIR data	Admissible — law enforcement records
Aadhaar database breach (Tribune investigation 2018)	Section 61 + Section 45	Tribune newspaper, UIDAI acknowledgment	Admissible with authentication
NACH system exposure (UpGuard, 2025)	Section 45 — Expert Opinion	UpGuard breach report + AMPCUS Cyber analysis	Admissible with cyber forensic certification

6.2 Chain of Custody Declaration

The Intervenor, Nitish Kumar, hereby declares under the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam 2023 that:

- All digital evidence referenced in this report has been documented with timestamps, source URLs, and digital fingerprints (SHA-256 hash where applicable).



33. Copies of all primary source documents referenced herein have been preserved in digital evidence storage compliant with CERT-In digital forensics guidelines.
34. The Intervenor's own representations to NSA, MHA, and MeitY (2016–2026) are preserved as email records, postal acknowledgments, and RTI responses — constituting direct evidence of state knowledge.
35. Section 63(4) electronic evidence certificates can be provided for all electronically generated evidence upon court direction.

PART VII: CONSOLIDATED PRAYERS — FORENSIC RECOMMENDATIONS AS JUDICIAL DIRECTIONS

7.1 Immediate Directions (Within 30 Days)

Direction	Target Authority	Forensic Basis	BNS/Constitutional Basis
Freeze and audit all WazirX P2P accounts used for cross-border transfers above ₹1 lakh — pending MLAT to Singapore/Hong Kong	FIU-IND + SEBI + WazirX	₹115+ crore single-case crypto laundering documented	PMLA Section 5; BNS Section 111
Mandatory 24-hour compliance desk at WhatsApp India office with dedicated I4C hotline for crime evidence requests	Meta/WhatsApp India	SC's own finding of non-compliance (Jan 6, 2026)	IT Act Section 79; BNS Section 61 (Abetment)
Emergency audit of all Google Play Store apps using SpyLoan SDK — immediate removal and criminal referral to ED	Google India / MeitY	12 million victims; 18 identified apps; 4,700+ removed over 2 years without systematic audit	IT Act Section 43A; BNS Section 111
Publish list of all known data breaches 2012–2026 on UIDAI + CERT-In websites — allow citizens to check Aadhaar exposure status	UIDAI + CERT-In + MeitY	14-year SOP vacuum; citizens currently cannot know if their data is compromised	Article 21 — Right to Know

7.2 Structural Directions (Within 90 Days)

36. Direct MeitY to publish a mandatory AI Use-Case Prohibition List including: (a) deepfake generation of judicial officers, police, military; (b) AI-generated malware distribution; (c) AI-generated fraudulent legal documents — pending Parliamentary legislation.
37. Direct DoT to mandate dual-factor SIM activation (Aadhaar OTP + biometric) for all new SIM issuances, and to create a real-time SIM fraud flag system accessible to all state police cyber cells.
38. Direct MCA to implement mandatory criminal background check + physical address verification before company registration when directors are first-time registrants — to break the dummy director model.
39. Direct RBI to maintain and publish a pre-approved lending app whitelist — any app not on the whitelist is presumptively illegal; Google/Apple directed to delist unlisted apps within 48 hours of notice.
40. Direct the Data Protection Board of India (DPBI), despite Phase 1 operationalization, to register SilverPush and InMobi as Significant Data Fiduciaries under DPDP Act Section 10 — imposing full compliance obligations immediately given documented national security implications.

S. Anand

7.3 Long-Term Directions

41. Direct the Law Commission to submit within 6 months: (a) Draft AI Regulation Bill incorporating criminal liability for AI-assisted crimes; (b) Draft Digital Identity Insurance Bill for state-backed compensation of biometric identity theft victims.
42. Direct CBI to establish a permanent Tri-State Cybercrime Task Force covering the Mewat corridor (Haryana/Rajasthan/UP border) with powers equivalent to MCOCA/UAPA for organized cybercrime syndicates.
43. Direct MEA to file MLAT requests within 30 days with Singapore, Hong Kong, and USA for corporate records of SilverPush Global Pte. Ltd., InMobi Singapore, and Chinese loan app shell company principals.
44. Direct NCC/NCERT to integrate 'Digital Rights and Cybercrime Awareness' as a compulsory module in Class 9-12 curriculum — targeting the 250 million youth identified as primary victims of Telegram job scams and digital arrests.

CONCLUSION

This forensic investigation report has established a complete, evidence-backed chain of criminal evolution from Jamtara phishing (2010) to the Digital Arrest template (2023–2026). The chain is not a series of isolated criminal innovations — it is an organized criminal ecosystem, evolving in direct response to regulatory inaction and enabled by systematic data harvesting through unregulated platforms and AdTech infrastructure.

The root cause, as established throughout this report, is DATA — specifically the metadata harvested from 1.4 billion Indian citizens through 'Accept All' consent obtained under conditions of informational asymmetry, flowing through unregulated foreign AdTech networks, pooled in compromised government databases, and purchased by criminal syndicates to construct the psychological targeting profiles that make every stage of cybercrime possible.

The Intervenor's 14-year documentation of these specific failures — provided repeatedly to NSA, MHA, and MeitY — constitutes direct evidence that the state had constructive knowledge of every enabling factor documented here. The state's failure to act is not mere negligence: it is the proximate cause of ₹54,000 crore in losses and the permanent compromise of the digital identities of 1.4 billion Indian citizens.

This Hon'ble Court, exercising its Article 32 jurisdiction and invoking the principle of Vishaka (binding guidelines pending legislation), is the only constitutional authority with the power and the urgency to address this ecosystem comprehensively before it inflicts further irreversible harm.

PREDATORY DIGITAL LENDING IN INDIA

So am m

WhatsApp-Based Data Collection, Short-Term Loan Fraud & Borrower Harassment by NBFCs and Unregistered Lenders in India

Focus Regions: Gujarat | Delhi | Gurugram | Ahmedabad | Jammu

A Comprehensive Research Report | Updated to March 2026

Modus Operandi | Case Studies | Regulatory Framework | Victim Rights

Report Category	Financial Crime & Consumer Protection
Coverage Period	2019 - March 2026
Geographic Focus	Gujarat, Delhi, Gurugram, Ahmedabad, Jammu
Classification	STRICTLY FOR RESEARCH & AWARENESS USE ONLY

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This report is compiled solely for research, awareness, regulatory advocacy, and consumer protection purposes. All information is derived from publicly available sources including RBI circulars, government press releases, court records, journalism, and cybercrime reports. The mention of any company, individual, or entity in this report does not constitute a legal finding of guilt. Victims of loan harassment are strongly encouraged to file complaints with the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (cybercrime.gov.in), the RBI Ombudsman, and local police.

ANNEXURE A-2

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF DIGITAL LOAN FRAUD IN INDIA

1.1 Overview: The Rise of Digital Lending

India's financial inclusion drive, accelerated by the Jan Dhan Yojana, Aadhaar-based KYC, and UPI ecosystem, created fertile ground for digital lending to flourish. Between 2018 and 2025, the number of digital lending applications operating in India grew from a few hundred to over 1,100 active platforms. A substantial subset of these operates in regulatory grey zones, exploiting loopholes in NBFC licensing, data protection gaps, and the lack of financial literacy among target demographics.

The Reserve Bank of India's own 2022 Working Group on Digital Lending documented that India has more digital loan apps than any other country in the world. While many of these are legitimate, a significant and growing fraction operate predatorily — collecting personal data through WhatsApp links, charging usurious interest rates, and resorting to criminal harassment tactics when borrowers struggle to repay.

1.2 The WhatsApp Loan Link Phenomenon

The most insidious evolution in predatory lending has been the weaponization of WhatsApp as the primary channel for both customer acquisition and data extraction. Unlike conventional apps that require Play Store installation, WhatsApp-based lenders disseminate clickable links that redirect borrowers to data submission portals or install lightweight data-collection agents onto their devices. The borrower — often financially desperate — clicks the link, submits their PAN card, Aadhaar, bank statement, selfie with ID, contact list access, and gallery permissions. Within hours, a small loan of Rs. 2,000 to Rs. 30,000 is disbursed. This ease of access is deliberately engineered to minimize friction and maximize personal data capture.

The Letter of Authorization (LOA) that borrowers digitally sign through these WhatsApp flows is frequently crafted to grant the lender — or associated third-party recovery agents — sweeping rights over personal data, including permission to contact all phone contacts. This is the cornerstone of what this report terms the 'LOA Trap.'

1.3 Scale of the Problem in India

According to the Enforcement Directorate (ED) and Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology (MeitY), enforcement actions in 2024 and 2025 uncovered over 500 fraudulent or predatory loan apps operating across India. Google removed more than 4,700 illegal loan applications from the Play Store over a two-year period following policy changes in 2022. Despite this, as of early 2026, hundreds of such apps continue to operate through sideloaded APKs, WhatsApp links, and social media channels.

The National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (NCRP) received over 1.5 lakh complaints related to digital loan fraud in 2024 alone. The RBI's Consumer Education and Protection Department reported a record influx of digital lending-related grievances through 2024 and 2025, with harassment complaints constituting the largest single category.

1.4 Research Objectives and Methodology

This report sets out to accomplish five primary research objectives:

1. Identify and profile NBFCs and unregistered lenders who use WhatsApp links to collect personal data, KYC documents, and LOAs for loan processing.
2. Map the full modus operandi of these entities, from customer acquisition through disbursement to harassment-based recovery.
3. Conduct regional deep dives into Gujarat (including Ahmedabad), Delhi, Gurugram, and Jammu to identify local patterns, actors, and enforcement responses.
4. Analyse the interest rate structures, hidden fee mechanisms, and debt-trap dynamics these entities deploy.
5. Document harassment methodologies, their psychological and socioeconomic impact, and legal remedies available to victims.

The research methodology incorporates analysis of RBI circulars, court judgments, Enforcement Directorate press releases, MCA filings, cybercrime complaint data, victim testimony documented in investigative journalism, and consumer forum records. Specific entities are named where sufficient documentary evidence exists in the public domain.

ANATOMY OF WHATSAPP-BASED LOAN SCAMS — THE FULL MODUS OPERANDI

2.1 Stage 1 — Customer Targeting and Acquisition

Predatory WhatsApp-based lenders operate with sophisticated targeting strategies. Their primary acquisition channels include:

2.1.1 WhatsApp Broadcast Lists and Forwarded Messages

Lenders purchase mobile number databases from grey-market data brokers, telecom employees, and third-party aggregators. These numbers are uploaded to WhatsApp business accounts, and loan offer messages are broadcast in bulk. A typical message reads: 'Get Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 50,000 loan INSTANT in your bank account! No office visit. Just send your Aadhaar + PAN to this link. Money in 30 minutes!' The link embedded in the message leads to a data collection page or a WhatsApp form that requests all personal and financial information.

2.1.2 WhatsApp Group Networks and Viral Referrals

A second channel involves the infiltration of community WhatsApp groups — residential society groups, trader associations, job seeker groups, and religious community networks — particularly in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities of Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Jammu. A planted agent poses as a member of the community and posts the loan link, often accompanied by fabricated testimonials. Existing borrowers are incentivized with referral commissions to forward the link further, creating a viral chain of victim recruitment.

2.1.3 Fake Advertisements on Social Media

Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube are used extensively for paid advertising that mimics the branding of legitimate NBFCs or banks. These ads use terms like 'RBI-approved,' 'zero processing fee,' and 'instant approval for all credit scores.' The landing pages these ads point to are often mirror copies of legitimate lender websites, with altered contact information and data collection forms.

2.2 Stage 2 — Data Collection and the LOA Trap

Once a prospective borrower clicks a WhatsApp link, they enter a carefully engineered data extraction funnel. The sequence typically proceeds as follows:

6. The link opens a mobile-optimized webpage or a WhatsApp Business flow requesting the applicant's full name, father's name, date of birth, and city.
7. The next screen requests documents: a selfie holding the Aadhaar card, PAN card photo, last 3 months' bank statement, and employer ID or salary slip.
8. A digitally signed 'Loan Application Form' and 'Letter of Authorization' (LOA) are presented. These documents, written in dense legal language, include clauses authorizing the lender to access and retain all submitted data, contact all persons in the borrower's phonebook, and share data with 'affiliated recovery partners.'
9. If the borrower has been directed to install an APK (Android application), the app requests access to: contacts, call logs, SMS, camera, gallery/storage, location, and device identifiers. These permissions are granted in bulk in the excitement of receiving quick money.
10. The complete data package — KYC documents, financial records, and device data — is transmitted to servers that, in many documented cases involving Chinese-linked operations, are located outside India.

The LOA signed in this process is frequently designed to be deliberately vague, providing legal cover for data misuse that the borrower does not anticipate. RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines (2022) explicitly prohibit the collection of data beyond what is necessary for credit assessment, but these entities either operate without NBFC registration or use shell NBFC partnerships to evade direct scrutiny.

2.3 Stage 3 — Loan Disbursement and Immediate Fee Extraction

Once data is collected and the LOA signed, a loan amount is disbursed — but this amount is almost always significantly less than what was promised or applied for, after aggressive fee deductions. A borrower who applied for Rs. 10,000 may receive only Rs. 6,500 to Rs. 7,000, with the following deductions applied upfront:

Fee Type	Typical Deduction (on Rs. 10,000 loan)
Processing Fee	Rs. 1,500 - Rs. 2,000
GST on Processing Fee	Rs. 270 - Rs. 360

So am my

Insurance Premium (forced)	Rs. 300 - Rs. 500
Platform/Tech Fee	Rs. 200 - Rs. 400
First Week's Interest (pre-deducted)	Rs. 300 - Rs. 600
ACTUAL AMOUNT RECEIVED	Rs. 6,500 - Rs. 7,500
REPAYMENT DEMANDED	Rs. 10,000 + additional interest

The annualized interest rate on such a loan, when all fees are included, routinely ranges from 200% to 600% per annum — far exceeding any threshold of fairness and often exceedingly even the criminal usury thresholds recognized in Indian legal precedent.

2.4 Stage 4 — The Repayment Pressure Cycle

Loan tenures in these schemes are deliberately set to be extremely short — commonly 7 days, 14 days, or at most 30 days. This is not accidental. Short tenures ensure maximum rollover frequency, with each rollover attracting fresh processing fees and penalty charges. A borrower who cannot repay in 7 days is offered a 'rollover' or 'refinancing' option — which merely restarts the fee extraction cycle.

Documented cases show borrowers who initially took Rs. 5,000 loans ended up with cumulative outstanding amounts exceeding Rs. 30,000 to Rs. 50,000 after 3-4 months of rollovers, as each extension added fresh charges. This manufactured debt spiral is a deliberate feature of the business model, not an anomaly.

2.5 Stage 5 — Systematic Borrower Harassment

When a borrower misses a payment — even by a single day — the pre-collected personal data and device access are weaponized in a coordinated harassment campaign. The harassment follows a predictable escalation pattern:

11. Automated WhatsApp and SMS messages threatening immediate legal action, credit score destruction, and 'recovery team visits.'
12. Phone calls from multiple numbers — often spoofed local numbers or international numbers — using abusive, threatening, and often sexually explicit language.
13. Mass messaging of the borrower's contact list, sending messages accusing the borrower of fraud, theft, or criminal behaviour.

So am my

14. Creation of WhatsApp groups with the borrower's family members, employers, and social contacts, where the borrower is named and shamed publicly.
15. Use of morphed images — the borrower's selfie taken during KYC is digitally altered and sent to contacts with defamatory captions.
16. Threatening messages demanding the borrower commit to repayment under threat of filing false FIRs, reporting to 'income tax,' or posting morphed images on social media.
17. In extreme cases documented in Gujarat and Delhi, physical visits by 'recovery agents' wielding threats and occasionally violence.

This harassment model has been directly linked to documented suicides across India. In Hyderabad alone, six suicides were linked to digital loan harassment in 2021. Similar incidents have been reported in Gujarat (Surat, March 2025), Delhi, and Karnataka. The psychological devastation caused by these tactics — combining financial ruin with social humiliation — constitutes a form of organized criminal extortion under Indian law.

PROFILE OF KEY NBFCS AND ENTITIES UNDER SCRUTINY

3.1 Classification of Problem Entities

The entities operating predatory WhatsApp-based loan schemes in India fall into three primary categories, each with distinct risk profiles:

Category A: Legitimate RBI-Registered NBFCS with Predatory Practices

These are NBFCS holding valid RBI registration (under Section 45-IA of the RBI Act, 1934) but which have adopted aggressive and non-compliant lending and recovery practices. Their NBFC status is real, but their operations violate the RBI's Fair Practices Code, Digital Lending Guidelines (2022), and Master Directions on KYC.

Category B: Shell NBFC-Fronted Digital Lenders (Often Chinese-Linked)

These entities acquire dormant or newly incorporated NBFCS (often for sums between Rs. 10 lakh and Rs. 2 crore) and use them as regulatory fronts for predatory digital lending apps. The actual operational control lies with fintech operators who build the app, collect data, and operate recovery. The NBFC is a legal shield only.

Category C: Fully Unregistered Illegal Lenders

These operate with no RBI registration whatsoever. They may falsely claim to be 'RBI-approved' in marketing materials. They operate through WhatsApp exclusively, have no physical address, and use mule bank accounts for disbursements and collections.

3.2 Chinmay Finlease Limited — In-Depth Profile (Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

Chinmay Finlease Limited (CFL) is one of the most thoroughly documentable examples of an RBI-registered NBFC whose digital lending operations raise significant consumer protection concerns. Below is a full forensic profile compiled from RBI records, MCA filings, CARE Ratings credit reports, consumer complaints, app store data, and published court records.



3.2.1 Corporate Identity & Regulatory Standing

FIELD	VERIFIED DETAIL
Full Legal Name	Chinmay Finlease Limited
CIN (Company Identification No.)	U67120GJ1996PLC031275
RBI NBFC Registration No.	B.01.00558
Date of Incorporation	11 December 1996 (under Companies Act, 1956)
Registered Address	3rd & 4th Floor, House No. 14, Times Corporate Park, Opp. Copper Stone Flats, Thaltej-Shilaj Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 380059
Status	Active (RBI registered; MCA compliant as of last filing date 31 March 2023)
Authorized Share Capital	Rs. 5,00,00,000 (Rs. 5 Crore)
Paid-Up Capital	Rs. 2,00,00,000 (Rs. 2 Crore)
Founder / Promoter	Chinubhai Manilal Majithiya
Directors (as on record)	Yogeshkumar Chinubhai Majithiya, Chinubhai Manilal Majithiya, Hasmukhlal Manilal Thakkar, Namra Kautilyabhai Parikh, Uday Surendra Ranpara, Rajnikant Bhagirathbhai Trivedi, Abhinav Anand Kumar Malaviya
Credit Rating (CARE Ratings)	BBB- / Stable (upgraded, March 2025)
Customer Support Email	support@chinmayfinlease.com
Collections/Recovery Email	collections@chinmayfinlease.com
Grievance Redressal Officer	Mr. Satvinder Singh Huda grievance@chinmayfinlease.com Ph: 07948519054
Support Phone Numbers	7600012589 7984479612
App Available On	Google Play Store (com.chinmay.io) and Apple App Store (ID: 6476261363)
Claim	Trusted by 7 lakh+ salaried young professionals (as per company marketing)

3.2.2 Operational History and Growth Trajectory

Chinmay Finlease Limited, despite being incorporated in 1996, remained a relatively dormant or low-activity NBFC for over two decades. Its transition into active digital lending operations began only in 2019, with a specific focus on small-ticket unsecured personal loans to salaried professionals launched in 2021. This pattern — a long-dormant NBFC suddenly pivoting to aggressive digital lending — is a common red flag identified by the RBI's 2022 Working Group,

as it may indicate either the company being acquired or revitalized specifically to front digital lending operations.

Per the CARE Ratings credit report published on March 20, 2025, CFL's disbursements grew from Rs. 128.14 crore in FY2022-23 to Rs. 329.62 crore in FY2023-24, and further reached Rs. 346.58 crore by December 31, 2024. This represents a 170%+ growth in loan disbursements in two years — an extremely aggressive expansion for a company that only began digital operations in 2019. CFL's loan portfolio expanded from Rs. 27.24 crore (March 2023) to Rs. 97.40 crore (December 2024) — a 257% increase in 21 months.

The company developed its proprietary digital lending suite — including a Loan Origination System (LOS), Loan Management System (LMS), and its app 'CHINMAY' — in October 2023, replacing an earlier arrangement with Lending Service Provider 'Lenditt.' As of the 2025 CARE report, 60% of CFL clients are sourced through the CHINMAY app, with the remaining 40% through third-party lead sourcing partners. This heavy reliance on digital channels and LSP lead partners is the gateway for the WhatsApp-link data collection practices documented in consumer complaints.

3.2.3 Loan Product Structure and True Cost Analysis

Chinmay Finlease offers the following product categories: unsecured personal loans (Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 3,00,000), consumer durable loans, and emergency loans. The stated tenure is 6 to 12 months. The company's own published APR disclosure on the Google Play Store listing provides a revealing window into its true cost structure:

COMPONENT	VERIFIED FIGURES (Rs. 30,000 loan example, 180 days)
Loan Amount Applied	Rs. 30,000
Annual Interest Rate (stated)	28% per annum
Total Interest (180 days)	Rs. 4,104
Processing Fee	5% of loan = Rs. 1,500
Documentation Charges	1% of loan = Rs. 300
Online Convenience Fee	Included in above
GST on all fees (18%)	Rs. 324 (on Rs. 1,800 in fees)
Total Upfront Deductions	Rs. 2,124 - Rs. 2,360 (deducted before disbursal)

So am my

Net Amount Actually Received	Rs. 27,640 - Rs. 27,876
Total Amount to Repay	Rs. 34,104
EFFECTIVE COST OF CREDIT (APR)	Approx. 42-48% per annum (including all fees)
For Rs. 10,000 loans (short tenure)	Effective APR can reach 80-120% when fees dominate

This is the company's own disclosed minimum-case scenario. For smaller loan amounts (Rs. 10,000-Rs. 20,000) where the fixed processing and documentation fees represent a higher percentage of the principal, the effective annual percentage rate is significantly higher. Consumer complaints confirm this — a borrower who took Rs. 10,000 received only Rs. 8,500 and was charged Rs. 70 per day in cumulative penalties upon default, causing the outstanding to balloon to Rs. 16,300 within weeks (documented complaint, Consumer Complaints Court, October 2023).

3.2.4 WhatsApp and Digital Data Collection Practices

CFL's privacy policy, published on its official website (chinmayfinance.com/privacypolicy), explicitly states that the company communicates with borrowers via 'SMS, emails, WhatsApp, and RCS messages.' The privacy policy further states:

- The company collects 'Credit reports, transaction history, device/login info, and third-party profile data' in addition to standard KYC documents.
- Under the loan agreement, 'the App Platform is authorized to collect, store, verify, and share your personal information as required for loan processing.'
- 'Any communication or content shared by you through these services (including text, images, audio, financial information, and feedback) will be considered non-confidential.' This clause is particularly alarming as it could be interpreted to strip privacy protections from submitted documents including Aadhaar, PAN, and selfies.
- The company reserves the right to assign all worldwide intellectual property rights in any feedback submitted by borrowers.

The Apple App Store privacy disclosure for the CHINMAY app lists multiple data categories collected and linked to the user's identity, including data that 'may be used to track you across apps and websites owned by other companies.' This cross-app tracking capability — combined with WhatsApp-based marketing that delivers loan offer links directly to borrowers' phones — creates the complete data funnel described in Chapter 2.

Critically, 40% of CFL's clients are sourced through 'lead sourcing partners' — third-party entities who are not directly subject to RBI oversight. These LSPs are the likely conduit through which WhatsApp broadcast link distribution occurs, creating a regulatory accountability gap: the NBFC (CFL) benefits from the leads, but the WhatsApp link distribution and initial data collection is handled by the partner, who operates in a grey zone.

3.2.5 Documented Consumer Complaints

Multiple consumer complaints against Chinmay Finlease Limited have been documented across public platforms:

- Consumer Complaints Court (October 2023): 'Chinmay Finlease Ltd is a fraud loan app, they charge very high interest. Took Rs. 10,000 loans, got only Rs. 8,500 in account. Now by charging every day [Rs. 70/day interest] it has reached Rs. 16,300. I am ready to pay their actual amount, but they are charging Rs. 70 interest per day which is very high and destroyed the loan NBFC system.'
- Google Play Store: Multiple reviews report surprise at upfront deductions, difficulty reaching customer support, and aggressive recovery messaging after missed EMIs.
- Court record: CHINMAY FINLEASE LIMITED vs. MOTHUKURI VIKAS VARDHAN — Case CC 60073/2023, C.J.M. Court, Ahmedabad City, Gujarat (hearing pending as of June 2025), indicating the company uses criminal courts for debt recovery — an unusual and aggressive approach for consumer personal loan defaults.

3.2.6 Regulatory Risk Factors Flagged by CARE Ratings

In its March 2025 credit rating report, CARE Ratings flagged several risk factors for CFL that directly relate to the concerns documented in this research:

- 'Concentrated geographical presence' — CFL's exposure is heavily weighted toward Tamil Nadu (25%), Karnataka (18%), Maharashtra (17%), and Andhra Pradesh (8%), with its operational headquarters in Gujarat but its lending concentrated in southern and western India, suggesting remote/digital-only borrower relationships with no local relationship management.
- 'Regulatory risks associated with adverse changes in regulations' — explicitly cited as a rating constraint, suggesting the company's current model has regulatory exposure.
- 'Inherent risks associated with unsecured lending and high gross NPA' — the GNPA (Gross Non-Performing Assets) was 26% as of March 2023, reducing to 8% in March 2024 and 4.60% in December 2024. A GNPA of 26% in 2023 is dramatically above industry averages, suggesting a high proportion of borrowers were defaulting — consistent with unaffordable loan terms.
- 'Limited operating history in digital lending' — despite the 1996 incorporation, CARE notes CFL's digital lending history is short, constraining assessment of its long-term operational resilience.

3.3 Mahavira Finance and Similar Gujarat-Based Entities

Entities operating under names like 'Mahavira Finance,' 'Mahaveer Finance,' 'Mahavira Financial Services,' and similar variations are a documented category of small-to-medium unregistered or partially registered lenders operating extensively in Gujarat, Rajasthan, and Jammu. Several entities using variants of this name have been identified in MCA records, police FIR registrations, and consumer complaints across these states.

Unlike large registered NBFCs, entities in this category typically operate as proprietorships or private limited companies with minimal capital. They exploit the NBFC registration threshold requirement of Rs. 25 lakh net-owned funds (under Section 45-IA of the RBI Act) by either operating below this threshold without registration, claiming exemptions, or using the identity of registered entities fraudulently. Their loan products are characterized by extreme short tenures (7-21 days), interest rates of 3-7% per week (equivalent to 156-364% per annum), and near-total absence of regulatory compliance.

3.4 Chinese-Linked Shell NBFC Operations

One of the most alarming discoveries from RBI enforcement actions between 2020 and 2025 is the systematic infiltration of India's NBFC ecosystem by Chinese-controlled or Chinese-funded entities. The RBI cancelled the licenses of five NBFCs in 2020-21 specifically citing Chinese director infiltration. The loan apps associated with these NBFCs — including MoNeed, MoMo, CashFish, Kreditpe, RupeeLand, Rupee Master, FlyCash, Karna Loan, Mr. Cash, Kush Cash, and MRupee — operated aggressively across all major Indian cities.

The modus operandi involved the appointment of Chinese nationals as directors of formerly dormant Indian NBFCs, combined with WhatsApp-based mass marketing. Recovery operations were managed from call centers reportedly located in Gujarat, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, and in some cases China, using VoIP numbers. Data collected from Indian borrowers was transmitted to servers in China, creating a national security dimension to what might otherwise be treated as a mere consumer protection issue.

The Enforcement Directorate investigation (2021-2024) into Chinese loan apps uncovered a laundering ecosystem that used mule bank accounts (numbering in the hundreds), shell companies, and cryptocurrency exchanges to repatriate Indian consumer funds to China. The total quantum of funds involved in this operation has been estimated at thousands of crores of rupees.

3.5 RBI-Penalized NBFCs: October 2024 Action

In a landmark enforcement action on October 17, 2024, the RBI barred four registered NBFCs from sanctioning and disbursing new loans, citing excessive interest rates and non-compliance with fair lending practices. These entities serve as a template for understanding how even formally registered NBFCs can engage in predatory behaviour:

NBFC Name	Backing/Promoter	Violation Found
Asirvad Micro Finance Ltd	Manappuram Finance (~25% of consolidated AUM)	Excessive WALR, non-compliance with income assessment norms, evergreening of loans
Arohan Financial Services Ltd	Arohan Financial Services (MFI)	Usurious pricing, faulty household income assessment for microfinance
DMI Finance Pvt Ltd	Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (MUFG)	Excessive interest spread, IR&AC norm violations, opaque fee structures
Navi Finserv Ltd	Sachin Bansal (Flipkart co-founder)	Excessive WALR, pricing policy violations, non-compliance with RBI warnings

3.6 Additional Flagged Entities Operating in Target Regions

Beyond the entities named above, the following types of operators have been documented in Gujarat, Delhi, Gurugram, and Jammu through cybercrime FIRs, consumer complaints, and investigative journalism:

- Unregistered partnership firms and proprietorships operating under names like '[City] Finance,' '[Deity Name] Finance,' or '[Community Name] Financial Services' in Gujarat and Jammu
- WhatsApp-only lenders operating from Haryana and UP call centers targeting Gujarat borrowers, documented in multiple FIRs in Ahmedabad and Surat

- Gurugram-registered fintech companies using lending service provider (LSP) status with minimal NBFC oversight, operating recovery through outsourced call centers with no IBA certification
- Jammu-based community lenders leveraging informal havala-adjacent networks combined with digital disbursement for maximum anonymity
- Delhi NCR-based digital platforms operating through multiple NBFC partnerships simultaneously to diversify regulatory exposure

REGION-WISE DEEP ANALYSIS

4.1 Gujarat: The Epicentre of Digital Loan Predation

Gujarat presents one of India's most acute concentrations of predatory lending activity. The state's high rate of SME entrepreneurship, relatively high financial aspirations across economic strata, and the cultural reluctance to approach formal financial institutions for personal finance emergencies creates a uniquely vulnerable borrower population. The Gujarat Money Lender Act, 2011 (GMLA) caps interest rates at 12% per annum for secured loans and 15% for unsecured loans — yet documented interest charges from WhatsApp lenders routinely range from 10-51% per month.

4.1.1 Ahmedabad

Ahmedabad is both the commercial capital of Gujarat and the primary hub for NBFC registration in the state. Hundreds of NBFCs are registered with the RBI's Ahmedabad Regional Office. This high density of registered entities creates a cover opportunity for predatory operators, who can create the impression of legitimacy through proximity to genuine institutions.

The Ahmedabad City Cybercrime Cell reported a 340% increase in digital loan harassment complaints between 2021 and 2024. The police's special anti-loan-shark drive of June-July 2023 resulted in over 500 arrests and 134 FIRs filed across Gujarat, with a concentration in Ahmedabad. However, investigators noted that lending continued even after arrests, as operations were structured to function independently of any single individual.

A landmark case involved the fraudulent use of a Rajkot NBFC's name and a 14-year-old schoolboy's email account to launch the 'CandyCash' app — a fake loan app that caused documented harassment and at least one suicide threat among its victims in Gujarat. This case exemplified how criminal actors exploit legitimate NBFC identities for fraudulent loan operations.

4.1.2 Surat and Beyond

Surat, Gujarat's commercial diamond and textile hub, has seen particularly acute loan shark activity. On March 9, 2025, Bharat Sasangiya (52), a diamond industry worker, died by suicide

along with his wife Vanita and son Harsh, leaving a note documenting Rs. 20 lakh borrowed at 20% monthly interest in 2018 for a medical emergency. Despite repaying Rs. 30 lakh by December 2024, the harassment and demands for additional payments proved overwhelming. An FIR was filed against moneylenders Hitesh and Raju in Surat. This case was documented in detail by The Federal in March 2025 and represents the ultimate human cost of predatory lending.

Assistant Commissioner of Police Kansara confirmed to journalists: 'These loan sharks have apps or network via WhatsApp to lure clients. Most of them aren't registered under the GMLA. Besides, a bank charges 10-12 per cent interest annually while private lenders charge anything between 10 to 51 per cent per month.'

4.2 Delhi: The Capital's Digital Loan Shadow Economy

Delhi and its satellite territories present a distinct pattern of predatory lending. The capital's dense informal labour sector, high proportion of migrant workers, and large lower-middle-income population make it fertile ground for WhatsApp loan operations. Delhi Cybercrime registered thousands of digital loan harassment complaints annually between 2022 and 2025.

Delhi-based predatory lenders typically operate with greater institutional sophistication than their Gujarat counterparts. They commonly maintain Gurugram or Noida registered addresses, use corporate-sounding names, and operate a semi-professional call center infrastructure for recovery. The recovery calls targeting Delhi borrowers are often outsourced to call centers in Haryana, UP, or Rajasthan, creating deliberate geographic distance between the NBFC and its harassment operations.

A key finding in Delhi is the co-location of predatory NBFC operations with the city's grey-market data economy. Personal data of loan applicants — collected through WhatsApp links — feeds into wider databases that are used for loan offer targeting, identity theft, and SIM swap fraud. Multiple FIRs filed with Delhi Police Economic Offences Wing between 2023 and 2025 link loan app operations to organized data broker networks.

4.3 Gurugram: The Fintech Hub's Dark Underside

Gurugram (formerly Gurgaon) is one of India's premier fintech hubs, housing legitimate digital lending companies alongside predatory operators. The presence of a large corporate workforce,

easy NBFC incorporation environment, and sophisticated tech talent creates a unique ecosystem where predatory digital lending can operate with a veneer of legitimacy.

Gurugram-based predatory lenders typically present as technology companies that 'partner with NBFCs' rather than as direct lenders. This Lending Service Provider (LSP) structure, while technically regulated under RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Guidelines, has been exploited to create accountability gaps. When harassment occurs, the LSP claims responsibility lies with the NBFC partner; the NBFC claims the LSP is responsible. Borrowers are caught in this regulatory ping-pong while harassment continues.

Documented Gurugram-based operations include fintech startups that built WhatsApp loan funnels explicitly targeting daily wage earners, gig economy workers, and domestic workers in Delhi NCR. Interest rates on these products, when fully computed including all fees, have been documented by consumer advocates at between 180% and 480% per annum. Recovery practices included mass WhatsApp messaging of employers and family members, use of fake 'legal notices' claiming imminent arrest and coordinated social media shaming campaigns.

4.4 Jammu: The Northern Frontier of Loan Fraud

Jammu presents a distinct and underreported dimension of the WhatsApp loan fraud problem. The city's position as a commercial gateway to the Jammu & Kashmir UT, combined with lower levels of formal banking penetration in surrounding areas, makes its population particularly susceptible to predatory lending.

The Jammu landscape is characterized by a mix of informal community lenders who have adopted WhatsApp as their primary operating channel, and external operators from Delhi, Gurugram, and Gujarat who target J&K residents remotely. Local community lenders often leverage trust-based community networks — particularly within trader, migrant worker, and small business communities — to extend and collect loans informally.

Jammu Cybercrime Police reported a significant increase in digital loan harassment complaints from 2022 onwards, with victims spanning government employees (targeted due to guaranteed salaries), traders, and daily wage workers in the seasonal economy. The remoteness of many borrowers from formal complaint mechanisms further compounds the problem: many victims in Jammu's peri-urban areas are unaware of the RBI Ombudsman or the NCRP cybercrime portal.

An additional dimension in Jammu is the use of hawala-adjacent informal finance networks combined with digital WhatsApp disbursement. This hybridization creates products that are nearly impossible to regulate: the initial agreement and LOA are transmitted digitally via WhatsApp (creating a record), but cash disbursement occurs through informal channels (eliminating traceability). Recovery, however, is wholly digital — through WhatsApp harassment campaigns.

DATA HARVESTING, PRIVACY VIOLATIONS AND THE LOA TRAP

5.1 The Letter of Authorization: Legal Cover for Exploitation

The Letter of Authorization (LOA) — or its equivalent digital consent document — is the linchpin of the predatory lending data extraction model. When a borrower clicks a WhatsApp link and applies for a loan, they digitally sign an LOA that they have typically not read and cannot easily review on a mobile screen. These documents, when examined, routinely contain clauses that:

- Authorize the lender and its agents to access, retain, and process all data submitted during the application, including documents, selfies, and device data, indefinitely.
- Permit contact with all persons in the borrower's phonebook for purposes including 'verification,' 'fraud prevention,' and 'loan recovery.'
- Allow sharing of all borrower data with 'affiliated partners,' 'recovery agencies,' and 'service providers' without further consent.
- Waive the borrower's right to object to data processing or seek deletion of data prior to full loan repayment.
- Grant permission for 'automated decision-making' including credit scoring and default characterization without human oversight.

The Digital Lending Guidelines issued by RBI in August 2022 explicitly require that data collection be proportionate to the lending need, that borrowers be provided a Key Facts Statement (KFS) in plain language, and that data be retained only for the period necessary. However, entities operating through WhatsApp links, particularly unregistered lenders, routinely violate all these requirements. Even registered NBFCs have been found to embed consent within dense legal documents that effectively negate informed consent.

5.2 App Permissions: The Digital Surveillance Toolkit

For lenders who direct borrowers to install an APK rather than merely submitting a WhatsApp form, the data collection scope is vastly expanded. Documented app permission requests from predatory lending apps include:

Permission Requested	Stated Purpose	Actual Use in Predatory Schemes
READ_CONTACTS	Reference verification	Mass messaging of all contacts during recovery; social shaming
READ_CALL_LOG	Fraud detection	Identifying employer/family from call frequency; targeted harassment
READ_SMS	Income verification via bank SMS	Access to OTPs, bank balance data, existing loan information for exploitation
CAMERA + GALLERY	KYC selfie capture	Morphing of images for blackmail; sending edited intimate photos to contacts
LOCATION	Address verification	Tracking movements; threatening to 'send recovery agents to your location'

5.3 Data Exfiltration and Secondary Markets

The personal data collected through WhatsApp loan funnels does not remain solely within the predatory lending ecosystem. Multiple documented cases and cybercrime investigations reveal a thriving secondary market for loan applicant data. This data — including PAN, Aadhaar, selfies, bank statements, contact lists, and employment information — is sold to:

- Other predatory lenders seeking pre-qualified prospects for loan targeting
- Identity fraud networks using KYC data for SIM swap attacks and account takeover
- Grey-market telemarketing and insurance selling operations
- Dark web data brokers in markets accessible to international criminal networks

The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 (DPDPA), which received Presidential assent, but whose full implementation rules were still being finalized as of 2025-2026, creates a new regulatory framework for data fiduciaries. However, wholly unregistered entities — which constitute a large proportion of WhatsApp loan operators — operate outside even this framework's reach until enforcement mechanisms mature.

INTEREST RATE STRUCTURES AND PREDATORY PRICING MECHANICS

6.1 The Interest Rate Landscape for Short-Term Digital Loans

The RBI does not mandate an interest rate cap for NBFCs, unlike the ceiling that applies to microfinance institution (MFI) loans, which is set at the lower of 22% per annum or 2.5 times the average base rate of the five largest commercial banks. This regulatory gap has been exploited systematically by predatory lenders who are technically NBFCs but whose interest charges routinely reach usurious levels.

For the specific category of short-tenure WhatsApp-based loans (7-30 days), the effective annual interest rate computation reveals the true predatory character of these products:

Loan Amount	Amount Received	Repayment Due (7 days)	Effective Rate	Annual	Rollover (months)	Debt (3)
Rs. 4,000	Rs. 2,285	Rs. 5,712 (documented)	~390% p.a.		Rs. 35,000+	
Rs. 10,000	Rs. 6,500-7,000	Rs. 11,500-13,000	~200-280% p.a.		Rs. 60,000-80,000	
Rs. 20,000	Rs. 13,000-15,000	Rs. 23,000-27,000	~180-240% p.a.		Rs. 1,20,000+	

6.2 Hidden Fee Architecture

The stated interest rate in predatory digital loans is invariably misleading. The true cost architecture includes multiple layers of hidden or undisclosed charges:

- Processing fees (deducted upfront from disbursement, often 15-25% of loan amount)
- GST on all fees (18%)
- Forced insurance premiums for 'loan protection insurance' that provide no real coverage
- Platform or technology fees (a second extraction layer on top of processing fees)
- Per-day overdue charges that activate immediately on missed payment date (often Rs. 100-500 per day)
- 'Restructuring fees' charged every time a loan is rolled over or tenure extended
- 'Legal notice fees' charged even before any actual legal action is taken

So am my

The RBI's Key Facts Statement (KFS) requirement under Digital Lending Guidelines (2022) mandates that all fees be disclosed upfront in a standardized format before loan disbursement. However, WhatsApp-based lenders and many app-based operators provide this information only after disbursement or embed it in terms so lengthy that meaningful review is impossible on a mobile device.

6.3 The Debt Trap Design: Engineered Default

The economic model of predatory short-term digital lenders is paradoxical: their profitability peaks precisely when borrowers default and enter the rollover cycle. This creates a deliberate incentive structure to engineer unaffordable loan terms from the outset. Multiple consumer advocates and economists have described this as 'manufactured default' — loan products designed not to be repaid in the first tenure, ensuring a perpetual cycle of fee extraction.

Evidence for this engineered default design includes: the universal restriction on repayment tenure below 7 days for initial loans (insufficient for most borrowers to arrange funds); the immediate imposition of penalty charges from day 1 of delay; the aggressive marketing to borrowers who have already demonstrated credit stress (those who approach informal lenders typically do so after bank rejections); and the systematic absence of any grace period or hardship accommodation mechanism.

BORROWER HARASSMENT — METHODS, CASES AND PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT

7.1 Taxonomy of Harassment Methods

The harassment tactics deployed by predatory digital lenders constitute a coordinated system of psychological, social, and financial coercion. This report identifies eight primary harassment modalities:

Modality 1: Automated Message Blasting

WhatsApp, SMS, and voice calls are deployed through automated systems that can send hundreds of messages per day. Typical messages include false claims of police complaint filing, threats of property attachment, claims of 'arrest warrants' being issued, and demands for immediate payment. These messages violate the RBI's Fair Practices Code, which prohibits contact outside 8 AM-7 PM hours and mandates civil communication.

Modality 2: Contact List Mass Messaging

Using the contact list data extracted from the borrower's device, recovery agents send WhatsApp messages to the borrower's entire contact list — family members, colleagues, employers, and even casual acquaintances. These messages typically characterize the borrower as a 'fraudster,' 'cheat,' or 'criminal' and demand the contact to 'pressure them to repay.' Supreme Court jurisprudence (Article 21 — right to privacy) explicitly prohibits this practice, but its digital implementation in WhatsApp group creation and mass messaging is difficult to immediately stop.

Modality 3: Employer Targeting

Using employer information provided during KYC or extracted from call logs and SMS, recovery agents contact the borrower's employer directly. This typically involves calling the office landline, messaging on LinkedIn, or visiting the physical workplace. Messages to employers characterize the borrower as a defaulter or fraud risk. This tactic is designed specifically to create career risk, maximizing psychological pressure. RBI norms explicitly prohibit contacting employers or third parties not named in the loan agreement.

Modality 4: WhatsApp Group Creation and Social Shaming

A particularly vicious modality involves creating WhatsApp groups that include the borrower's family, friends, and contacts, and then using the group to publicly shame and defame the borrower. In documented cases, these groups are named with the borrower's name followed by 'Cheater,' 'Fraud,' or similar defamatory characterizations. This tactic leverages the social visibility of WhatsApp groups to create maximum reputational damage. A prominent documented case involved Rajesh Kumar (reported in National Herald India), where operators 'accessed my contacts, created WhatsApp groups with all of my contacts' and proceeded to send threatening messages.

Modality 5: Morphed Image Distribution

The KYC selfie provided during loan application is used to create morphed or defamatory images. In the most extreme documented cases, these images are edited to appear as intimate or compromising photographs and distributed to the borrower's contacts. The Enforcement Directorate explicitly noted that some operators 'threatened to share morphed images of victims' in its public communications about Chinese loan app operations. This constitutes both blackmail (Section 384, IPC) and cybercrime under the Information Technology Act, 2000, but victims are frequently too ashamed to file FIRs.

Modality 6: False Legal Threat Fabrication

Predatory recovery agents routinely send fabricated 'legal notices' on official-looking letterheads, claiming that FIRs have been filed, warrants have been issued, or that the borrower's bank accounts have been 'frozen' or will be 'attached.' These fabrications are designed to create panic and immediate payment without legal recourse. The notices falsely cite NBFC regulations, court orders, and RBI circulars to appear authoritative. Consumer advocates note that financially unsophisticated borrowers — particularly in smaller cities like Jammu, Surat, and secondary towns in Gujarat — are particularly susceptible to these false legal threats.

Modality 7: Physical Intimidation

In cases where borrowers are local to the lender (common in Gujarat and Delhi), physical 'recovery teams' are deployed to the borrower's home or workplace. These visits involve intimidation, verbal abuse, and — in documented cases involving moneylenders in Gujarat's

Surat and Ahmedabad — physical coercion. The Gujarat Police's 2023 anti-loan-shark drive found that 343 of the 500+ arrested lenders had engaged in physical violence including assault, with FIRs citing Sections 341, 323, 504, and 506 of the IPC.

Modality 8: SIM Swap and Account Compromise

The most sophisticated tier of harassment involves using the PAN, Aadhaar, and bank account information collected during KYC to facilitate SIM swap attacks or fraudulent account access. This allows recovery agents to intercept OTPs, access banking applications, and directly extract funds from borrower accounts. While less common than other harassment modalities, cases of this nature have been documented by Delhi Cybercrime and CERT-In advisories between 2022 and 2025.

7.2 Documented Case Studies

Case Study 1: Bharat Sasangiya, Surat (March 2025)

Bharat Sasangiya (52), a diamond industry worker in Surat, borrowed Rs. 20 lakhs in 2018 from an informal moneylender at 20% monthly interest for his mother's medical treatment. After repaying over Rs. 30 lakhs across six years, he was informed in December 2024 that the outstanding balance had grown and demanded immediate payment of Rs. 1 lakh additional interest, or sale of his 2-bedroom apartment at a distressed price. On March 9, 2025, Bharat, his wife Vanita, and son Harsh consumed pesticide and died. The suicide notes explicitly documented the harassment and impossibility of escaping the debt spiral. An FIR was filed against moneylenders Hitesh and Raju. This case, reported by The Federal, represents the irreversible human cost of unregulated lending in Gujarat.

Case Study 2: The Candy Cash Operation, Gujarat

A cybercriminal syndicate used the identity of Dealing Beneficial Financial Services Pvt Ltd (a Rajkot, Gujarat NBFC) and the email account of a 14-year-old schoolboy from Amreli district to launch the CandyCash loan app. The app disbursed small loans and then employed systematic harassment of borrowers, including threatening phone calls, morphed images, and mass messaging of contacts. One documented victim called the legitimate NBFC owner in tears threatening suicide. The case was investigated by Gujarat Police Cybercrime and resulted in arrests. The scheme exemplifies the fraudulent exploitation of legitimate NBFC identities.



Case Study 3: The 'Operation Hafta Vasooli' WhatsApp Group Harassment

Multiple borrowers across India who used Chinese-linked loan apps reported that upon default, recovery agents accessed their contact lists and created WhatsApp groups with all contacts. In one documented case reported by National Herald India, Rajesh Kumar, a borrower in Kerala, had WhatsApp groups created with all his contacts after a delayed payment. Recovery agents calling from Gujarat, Haryana, and UP numbers — speaking only Hindi and broken English — threatened to send recovery teams to his home, file FIRs, and block his bank account. The borrower, aware the lenders were operating illegally, declined to pay. This case illustrates the territorial reach of Gujarat-based recovery operations targeting national borrowers.

7.3 Psychological and Socioeconomic Impact

Research on harassment victims by consumer protection advocates and mental health organizations in India documents a consistent trauma profile. Victims of predatory digital loan harassment experience severe anxiety disorders, symptoms consistent with PTSD, social withdrawal, and in extreme cases suicidal ideation. The combination of financial pressure, public humiliation, family conflict caused by contact list messaging, and workplace threats creates a multi-dimensional assault on the victim's social and psychological integrity.

The economic impact extends beyond the loan principal. Victims report job losses resulting from employer contact by recovery agents; family breakdown due to WhatsApp group shaming; loss of business connections after defamatory messages to clients and partners; and in multiple Gujarat cases, loss of housing when pressured to sell homes at distressed prices to satisfy manufactured loan balances.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK — RBI, MCA, ED, CYBER LAWS AND IT ACT

8.1 The Reserve Bank of India: Primary Regulator

The RBI exercises regulatory jurisdiction over NBFCs under the RBI Act, 1934. Key regulatory instruments relevant to predatory digital lending include:

- Section 45-IA: Mandates RBI registration and minimum net-owned funds of Rs. 25 lakhs for all entities seeking to carry on NBFC business.
- Master Direction — Non-Banking Financial Company — Systemically Important Non-Deposit taking Company and Deposit taking Company (Reserve Bank) Directions, 2016: Governs overall NBFC operations including the Fair Practices Code.
- Reserve Bank of India (Digital Lending) Directions, 2022: The landmark framework issued following the 2022 Working Group report, which mandates Key Facts Statements, prohibits third-party data collection without borrower consent, requires NBFC accountability for LSP conduct, and establishes data handling requirements.
- RBI Circular on Fair Practices Code (2022-23/108): Specifies recovery agent conduct norms, including time restrictions (8 AM-7 PM only), prohibition on third-party contact, and mandatory certification requirements.
- Section 45L(1)(b) of RBI Act: Under which the RBI can direct NBFCs to cease specific activities, as exercised against Asirvad Micro Finance, Arohan Financial Services, DMI Finance, and Navi Finserv in October 2024.

8.2 Ministry of Corporate Affairs and Company Law

The MCA exercises jurisdiction over companies incorporated under the Companies Act, 2013. Predatory operators exploit MCA records in two ways: by incorporating fresh companies with names designed to mimic legitimate NBFCs, and by acquiring dormant companies (often for a nominal premium) whose registered status lends a veneer of legitimacy. The MCA launched an investigation into shell NBFC acquisition patterns following RBI's identification of Chinese director infiltration of Indian NBFCs.

8.3 Enforcement Directorate: PMLA and FEMA

The Enforcement Directorate has been the most active investigative agency in the Chinese loan app cases, pursuing offences under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002 and the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999. Key findings from ED investigations (2020-2025) include:

So am m

- Hundreds of mule bank accounts used to receive loan repayments and transfer funds abroad
- Rs. 4,900 crores in cyber fraud funds uncovered in a single ED operation in 2024 (reported by FCRF)
- Use of cryptocurrency exchanges for fund repatriation, circumventing FEMA controls
- Chinese nationals as beneficial owners of NBFCs, constituting FEMA violations

8.4 Information Technology Act, 2000 and Cybercrime Framework

The IT Act provides multiple applicable criminal provisions for digital loan harassment:

- Section 43: Unauthorized access to computer systems (applicable to accessing contacts and device data beyond LOA scope)
- Section 66C: Identity theft using digital means
- Section 66D: Cheating by personation using computer resources (false legal notices, fabricated official documents)
- Section 66E: Violation of privacy (distribution of morphed images and private photographs without consent)
- Section 67: Publishing obscene material in electronic form (morphed intimate images)

8.5 Indian Penal Code (IPC) / Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) Provisions

The IPC (now replaced by the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, effective from July 2024) provides applicable criminal provisions:

- Section 383/BNS equivalent: Extortion (threatening to expose or harm unless payment made)
- Section 384/BNS equivalent: Punishment for extortion (imprisonment up to 3 years)
- Section 503/BNS equivalent: Criminal intimidation (threats to cause harm)
- Section 506/BNS equivalent: Punishment for criminal intimidation
- Section 504/BNS equivalent: Intentional insult with intent to provoke breach of peace
- Section 499-500/BNS equivalent: Defamation (false statements in WhatsApp groups and to employers)

8.6 State-Level Money Lending Laws

Each state with significant predatory lending activity has relevant money lending legislation. The Gujarat Money Lender Act, 2011 (GMLA) caps interest at 12-15% per annum and requires registration of all moneylenders, regardless of loan size. Unregistered WhatsApp lenders

operating in Gujarat are in direct violation of GMLA. Similar legislation exists in Delhi (Delhi Money Lenders Act), Haryana, and Jammu & Kashmir (now governed by J&K-specific NBFC regulations following the reorganization of the UT).

The 87 illegal loan apps banned by the Government of India in December 2025 under the directive of MeitY represents the most recent major enforcement action in this space, cited as addressing 'data misuse, fraud and harassment.'

VICTIM RIGHTS, COMPLAINT MECHANISMS AND LEGAL REMEDIES

9.1 Immediate Steps for Victims

Any person experiencing harassment from a digital lender — whether NBFC-backed or unregistered — has access to multiple complaint and legal relief channels. The following sequence is recommended:

18. **DOCUMENT EVERYTHING:** Take screenshots of all WhatsApp messages, calls, and group communications. Save all emails, digital notices, and loan agreements. Note dates, times, and phone numbers of every contact made by recovery agents.
19. **DO NOT PAY UNDER COERCION:** Loan default is a civil matter in India. No recovery agent can file a criminal FIR for non-payment of a personal loan. Paying under threat of fake FIRs or arrest merely encourages more harassment.
20. **REPORT TO NATIONAL CYBER CRIME REPORTING PORTAL:** File a complaint at cybercrime.gov.in or call 1930 (National Cyber Crime Helpline). Cyber complaints are forwarded to the relevant state police cybercrime cell.
21. **FILE A COMPLAINT WITH THE LENDING ENTITY'S PRINCIPAL NODAL OFFICER (PNO):** All registered NBFCs are required to have a PNO. Contact the PNO in writing, attaching all evidence of harassment. The NBFC must respond within 30 days.
22. **ESCALATE TO RBI OMBUDSMAN:** If the NBFC does not respond satisfactorily within 30 days, file a complaint at the RBI's Centralised Management System (CMS) portal at cms.rbi.org.in. The RBI Ombudsman has jurisdiction over complaints against registered NBFCs.
23. **FILE A POLICE COMPLAINT:** For criminal harassment (morphed images, threats, false FIR claims), file a complaint at your local police station under Sections 503/506 BNS and relevant IT Act sections. If local police are unresponsive, escalate to the Superintendent of Police or state Cybercrime Cell.
24. **ENGAGE A LAWYER:** For serious harassment causing defamation, loss of employment, or psychological trauma, engage a lawyer to issue a legal notice to the NBFC and its recovery agents. High Courts have consistently provided same-day interim relief in cases involving documented digital harassment.
25. **CONTACT THE STATE SACHIVALAYA / SACHET PORTAL:** The RBI's SACHET portal (sachet.rbi.org.in) allows complaints against unregistered lending entities. This is particularly relevant for entities operating without NBFC registration.

9.2 Key Contact Information for Victims

Portal / Authority	Contact Details & Purpose
National Cyber Crime Portal	cybercrime.gov.in Helpline: 1930
RBI CMS Ombudsman Portal	cms.rbi.org.in For registered NBFC complaints
RBI SACHET Portal	sachet.rbi.org.in For unregistered lender complaints
Consumer Forum	consumerhelpline.gov.in 1800-11-4000 (toll-free)
Mental Health Support (iCall)	9152987821 For psychological distress
Gujarat Police Cybercrime	1930 ahmedabadcybercrime@gujaatpolice.gov.in
Delhi Cybercrime Unit	cybercrime.delhi.gov.in 1930
Haryana Cybercrime (Gurugram)	cybercrime@haryanpolice.gov.in 1930
J&K Cybercrime (Jammu)	jkpolice.gov.in/cybercrime 1930

9.3 Judicial Precedents Supporting Borrower Protection

Indian courts have consistently upheld borrower rights against digital harassment. The judgment in *Kuna Santhosh Kumar v. RBI* strongly condemned app-based harassment, holding lenders responsible for ensuring recovery agents comply with RBI norms. *ICICI Bank v. Prakash Kaur* and related cases established that recovery cannot use threat, intimidation, or public humiliation. The Supreme Court of India's nine-judge bench judgment in *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017) established the right to privacy as a fundamental right under Article 21, directly applicable to contact list harvesting and morphed image distribution by loan recovery agents.

High Courts across India (Delhi, Bombay, Hyderabad, Gujarat) have granted interim injunctions within hours of application in documented cases of digital loan harassment, directing NBFCs to immediately cease all forms of contact and harassment while the matter is adjudicated. Victims with documented evidence of harassment have a strong foundation for obtaining such emergency relief.

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE ROAD AHEAD

10.1 Summary of Findings

This research has established the following key conclusions about predatory NBFC and digital lending operations in India with a focus on Gujarat, Ahmedabad, Delhi, Gurugram, and Jammu:

26. WhatsApp-based data collection through clickable links is the dominant acquisition and data extraction method for both unregistered lenders and certain NBFC-backed digital lenders as of 2026.
27. The Letter of Authorization signed during WhatsApp-based loan applications is systematically engineered to grant sweeping data rights that most borrowers do not understand, creating the legal foundation for subsequent harassment.
28. Effective annual interest rates on short-term WhatsApp loans routinely range from 180% to 600%, achieved through combinations of stated interest, processing fees, insurance premiums, platform fees, and penalty charges.
29. Gujarat is a particular concentration zone for both local predatory lenders (using WhatsApp to acquire and recover) and for recovery call centers serving nationally operating digital lenders. The human cost has included documented suicides.
30. Delhi and Gurugram present a more institutionalized version of the same problem, with fintech-registered entities using LSP structures to create regulatory accountability gaps.
31. Jammu presents an underreported hybrid model combining informal community lending with digital disbursement and WhatsApp-based harassment recovery.
32. Chinese-linked NBFC infiltration represented a systemic national security risk that the RBI and ED have partially addressed, but new configurations of foreign-funded predatory lending continue to emerge.
33. Despite significant regulatory progress since RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Guidelines and government banning of 87 apps in December 2025, the problem continues to evolve and adapt. As of early 2026, hundreds of predatory lending apps remain operational.

10.2 Recommendations for Regulatory Action

- **MANDATORY REAL-TIME NBFC VERIFICATION:** The RBI should implement a public-facing API that allows any app store, WhatsApp, or social media platform to verify in real time whether a loan offer originates from a registered NBFC.
- **INTEREST RATE CAPS FOR SHORT-TENURE LOANS:** RBI should establish specific interest rate and total cost caps for loans with tenures below 90 days, modeled on the MFI lending rate ceiling framework.
- **MANDATORY COOLING-OFF PERIOD:** All digital loans should require a minimum 24-hour cooling-off period between application and disbursement, allowing borrowers to review terms and withdraw without penalty.
- **CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR RECOVERY MISCONDUCT:** The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita should be amended to include specific provisions for digital recovery



harassment, with mandatory minimum sentences for contact list mass messaging and morphed image distribution.

- STATE-LEVEL NBFC MONITORING: State police forces in Gujarat, Delhi, and Jammu should establish specialized NBFC harassment units with the authority to initiate suo motu action on public complaints without victim FIR requirements.
- MANDATORY PLAIN-LANGUAGE LOA: RBI should prescribe a standardized LOA format in multiple Indian languages, no longer than one page, with specific prohibitions on data sharing with third parties for recovery purposes.

10.3 Recommendations for Potential Borrowers

- ALWAYS verify any lender's NBFC registration on the RBI's official website before submitting any personal document.
- NEVER click loan offer links received via WhatsApp from unknown sources. Verify the source independently.
- ALWAYS read the LOA/consent document fully before signing. If it grants contact list access, refuse or negotiate its removal.
- NEVER install an APK from sources outside the Google Play Store or Apple App Store.
- UNDERSTAND that loan defaults are civil matters — no lender can have you arrested for non-payment alone.
- KNOW that you can file a complaint at cybercrime.gov.in (Helpline: 1930) at any time, for free.

10.4 Closing Observations

The phenomenon of WhatsApp-based predatory lending in India represents one of the most complex intersections of financial crime, consumer exploitation, cybercrime, and national security risk in the country's contemporary history. The victims are overwhelmingly the economically vulnerable — workers, small traders, domestic employees, and young professionals in financial distress — who lack both the financial literacy to recognize the traps being set for them and the institutional support to escape once trapped.

Regulatory progress has been meaningful but insufficient. The RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines of 2022 and the December 2025 ban of 87 apps represent genuine steps forward. The ED's prosecutions of Chinese-linked operations have disrupted some of the most egregious actors. But for every operation shut down, new variants emerge — adapting to regulatory changes with a speed that outpaces government response.

Ultimately, the solution to predatory digital lending in India requires not just stronger regulation and enforcement, but a fundamental strengthening of financial literacy, a robust digital consumer protection infrastructure, and a cultural shift that destigmatizes loan harassment

Sam M

victims sufficiently that they will seek help before — not after — the consequences become irreversible.

APPENDIX A: REPORTED PREDATORY AND FLAGGED LOAN APPS (INDIA, UP TO 2026)

The following table lists apps that have been flagged or reported as predatory, fraudulent, or operating in violation of RBI guidelines based on publicly available enforcement data, consumer complaints, and cybercrime reports. This list is not exhaustive and does not constitute a definitive legal finding against any entity.

App / Entity Name	Associated NBFC / Entity	Reported Issue
MoNeed	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese director infiltration; RBI license cancelled 2020-21
MoMo	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese-linked; mass data collection; abusive recovery
CashFish	Jhuria Financial Services	Chinese-linked; harassment; licence cancelled
Kreditpe / Kreditpe	Jhuria Financial Services	Fake Chinese app; extortion; blackmail
RupeeLand	Jhuria Financial Services	Data theft; contact list misuse; morphed images
Rupee Master	Jhuria Financial Services	Harassment; PMLA proceedings initiated
FlyCash	Unidentified NBFC partner	Fake instant loans; data harvest via WhatsApp
Karna Loan	Unidentified entity	No NBFC backing confirmed; abusive recovery
Mr. Cash	Shell NBFC	Excessive fees; contact list harassment
Kush Cash	Shell NBFC	Chinese-linked; ED investigation
MRupee	Multiple shell NBFCs	Blackmail; morphed images; ED action
CandyCash	Fraudulently used Rajkot NBFC identity	Identity theft; suicide threat by victim; Gujarat Police FIR
Multiple 'rupee/cash/pay' apps	Unregistered / multiple shells	87 apps banned Dec 2025 by MeitY

APPENDIX B: KEY RBI CIRCULARS AND GOVERNMENT ORDERS REFERENCED

- 1. RBI Working Group Report on Digital Lending (November 2021):** Laid foundation for 2022 regulatory framework; identified 600+ predatory digital lending apps
- 2. RBI Digital Lending Guidelines (Master Directions) (August 2022):** Key Facts Statement mandated; LSP accountability established; data collection restrictions
- 3. RBI Circular on Fair Practices Code (2022-23/108) (2022-23):** Recovery agent conduct norms; 8AM-7PM contact window; prohibition on third-party contact
- 4. RBI Action Against 5 NBFCs (Chinese Director Cases) (2020-21):** Cancellation of NBFC licenses for Chinese director infiltration and predatory practices
- 5. RBI Action Against 4 NBFCs (Excessive Interest) (October 2024):** Asirvad, Arohan, DMI Finance, Navi Finserv barred from new loan disbursements
- 6. RBI Fraud Risk Management NBFC Directions (July 2024):** New fraud reporting and governance requirements for all NBFCs
- 7. MeitY Order: 87 Illegal Loan Apps Banned (December 2025):** Government ban on 87 apps for data misuse, fraud and borrower harassment
- 8. Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 (August 2023):** Presidential assent received; implementation rules pending as of 2025-26
- 9. Gujarat Money Lenders Act (GMLA) (2011):** State law capping interest at 12-15% p.a.; registration requirement for all moneylenders
- 10. Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (July 2024 (effective)):** Replaced IPC; relevant provisions on extortion, criminal intimidation, defamation

MASTER FORENSIC INVESTIGATION REPORT

THREE-COMPANY DEEP FORENSIC ANALYSIS:

MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED

GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED

DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED

Linked to: Chinese Loan App Networks | Digital Arrest | AdTech Surveillance | NBFC Shell Structure | 2012–2026

PARAMETER	DETAIL
Document Classification	FORENSIC EVIDENCE — ARTICLE 32 JURISDICTION — SUPREME COURT RECORD
Prepared by	Nitish Kumar National Cyber Security Scholar RRU-ISAC Cert. No. 00112
Whistleblower Status	On Record: NSA, MHA, MeitY (Warnings submitted 2016–2026)
Filed Before	Supreme Court of India — SMW (CrI.) No. 3/2025
Date	March 2026 New Delhi
Legal Status	Research Assistance Only

CRITICAL NOTE: Madhuri Instalment Private Limited has returned zero public records on MCA / company search portals as of March 2026. The complete forensic section for this entity is built from NBFC regulatory pattern analysis, High-Risk NBFC list (FIU-IND), and the framework established by the other two companies. Where specific records are not available for Madhuri Instalment, the Intervenor requests this Court to direct MCA and RBI to produce all registration records, filing history, and associated director DIN numbers under Article 32 disclosure.

So am my

PART I: AUTHENTICATED COMPANY PROFILES — MCA & RBI RECORDS

1.1 COMPANY A: DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED

Corporate Identity Record

FIELD	AUTHENTICATED DETAIL	SOURCE
Corporate Name	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED	MCA Portal
Former Name	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE PRIVATE LIMITED	MCA/ZaubaCorp
CIN	U74899DL1995PLC066810	MCA Portal (Public Record)
Registration Number	066810	RoC-Delhi
Date of Incorporation	27 March 1995	MCA Portal
Company Type	Public Limited Company (converted from Private)	MCA/FileSure
Registered at	Registrar of Companies, RoC-Delhi	MCA
NIC Code	74 — Other Business Activities	MCA
RBI NBFC Registration No.	B_14.02719	BharatLoan website + Google Play Store listing
RBI NBFC Registration Date	October 2002	bharatloan.com/about-us
Authorised Share Capital	Rs. 53,00,000 (Rs. 53 Lakhs)	MCA Master Data
Paid-Up Capital	Rs. 52,45,000 (Rs. 52.45 Lakhs)	MCA Master Data
FY 2024 Revenue	Rs. 32.9 Crore	Tracxn/Company Check
FY 2023 Revenue Growth	4091.52% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY 2023 Profit Growth	885.57% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
Ownership Structure	Founders 2.28% Angels 9.12% Enterprises 79.47% Others 9.12%	Tracxn

Registered Address History — Multiple Addresses on Record (Red Flag)

ADDRESS VERSION	ADDRESS	SOURCE
Version 1 (Early)	B-4/71A, Lawrence Road, Delhi DL 110035	ClearTax public data
Version 2	1689/121, 3rd Floor, Shanti Nagar, Tri Nagar, New Delhi, North Delhi DL 110035	IndiaFilings / Tofler
Version 3 (Current)	3rd Floor, Plot No. 68, Okhla Industrial Area Phase-3, Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi, Delhi 110020	ZaubaCorp / FileSure / TheCompanyCheck
BharatLoan App Office	1st Floor Side-B, Plot No. 498, Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram, Haryana 122016	Google Play Store BharatLoan listing
devmunifinance.com says:	"Established in 2023" (despite 1995 incorporation)	devmunifinance.com website (archived)

So am my

RED FLAG — MULTIPLE ADDRESSES: Three different addresses appear in public records for the same company. The BharatLoan app lists a Gurugram office entirely separate from the three Delhi addresses. The company website states 'Established in 2023' despite being incorporated in 1995. This inconsistency in public-facing information is a forensic indicator of shell company characteristics under ED investigation guidelines.

Director History — Changes Post-2016

DIRECTOR NAME	STATUS	PERIOD	SIGNIFICANCE
Mohammad Shabbir	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter; removed or resigned before 2023 restructuring
Rajender Singh	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter
Yogesh Kumar	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter: all three original directors replaced
Kuldeep (surname absent)	Current Director	Post-2023	New director; surname missing in multiple records = identity opacity
Rajesh Raja	Current Director	Appointed 29 July 2023	Appointed during rapid revenue growth period
Sumender Singh	Additional Director	Appointed Dec 2024	Most recent appointment during peak BharatLoan growth
Anoop Singh	Past Director	Between original and current directors	Transitional period director

FORENSIC FINDING — DIRECTOR REPLACEMENT PATTERN: All three original promoter-directors (Mohammad Shabbir, Rajender Singh, Yogesh Kumar) were replaced before or during 2023. The new directors (Kuldeep — single name; Rajesh Raja) arrived simultaneously with: (a) the company's reactivation as a digital lending platform; (b) 4091% revenue growth in FY2023; (c) launch of BharatLoan app. This pattern — original promoters replaced by new management immediately before massive revenue escalation — matches the 'dummy director takeover' model documented in ED investigations of Chinese loan app shells.

The Critical Dormancy Gap: 2016–2022

Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited received its RBI NBFC Certificate of Registration in October 2002. For the next 13 years (2002–2015), the company operated as a traditional leasing and finance entity with minimal public footprint. The forensic evidence establishes a DORMANCY PERIOD from approximately 2016 to 2022:

- MCA records: No significant AGM or filing activity visible for the 2016–2021 period in publicly available data.
- FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (dated 27-02-2018): Devmuni Leasing & Finance Ltd. appears on this list — flagged for 'non-compliance with PMLA and PML Rules, i.e.

So am my

non-registration of Principal Officer (PO).' Source: FIU-IND official PDF (fiuindia.gov.in).

- The FIU-IND designation as 'High-Risk NBFC' means as of February 2018, Devmuni was non-compliant with the Financial Intelligence Unit's mandatory anti-money laundering requirements. A compliant NBFC must designate and register a Principal Officer with FIU-IND under PMLA 2002.
- Revenue data for FY2023 shows 4091.52% growth — mathematically impossible if the company was actively lending throughout 2020–2022. This revenue spike confirms: the company was effectively dormant and then suddenly activated at massive scale.
- AppBrain data: Devmuni's apps on Google Play Store show 'active since 2023' — confirming the digital lending business began in 2023, not 2002.
- The Tracxn/company data states BharatLoan 'was founded in 2012' — but the app only went on Play Store in 2023. This 11-year gap is unexplained.

REGULATORY SMOKING GUN: The FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (27 Feb 2018) lists Devmuni Leasing & Finance Ltd. as non-compliant with PMLA. This is not a minor compliance gap — failure to register a Principal Officer with FIU-IND means: (a) the company was not reporting suspicious transactions to the Financial Intelligence Unit; (b) all financial transactions between 2003 and at least 2018 were conducted without the mandatory PMLA anti-money-laundering oversight; (c) if the company was used as a money transit vehicle during this period, there would be no FIU record of those transactions. This is the precisely the regulatory gap exploited by Chinese loan app networks.

Digital Lending Operations — BharatLoan & Loan112

PARAMETER	BHARATLOAN	LOAN112	FORENSIC CONCERN
Developer	DEVMUNI LEASING & FINANCE LIMITED	DEVMUNI LEASING & FINANCE LIMITED	Same NBFC = single regulated entity; dual apps multiply data collection
Google Play Installs	5 million+ (combined)	500,000+	Scale: 5 million+ Indian users' KYC data held by dormant-turned-active NBFC
Active Since (Play Store)	2023	2023	Both launched same year as revenue exploded 4091%
Data Safety Declaration	'Developer says app does not collect or share any user data'	Unknown	CONTRADICTS the loan process which requires PAN + Aadhaar + bank statement + photo — all sensitive personal data under DPDP Act
Interest Rate (APR)	35% p.a. (fixed, claimed)	Not disclosed publicly	35% APR is exactly at the RBI's prescribed maximum for digital lending
Loan Tenure	61–365 days	Short-term	Previous Chinese loan apps used 7–15-day tenures; shift to 61+ days = post-RBI-crackdown compliance window dressing
RBI Registration Claimed	Certificate No. B_14.02719 (since Oct 2002)	Same parent entity	2002 registration + 20-year dormancy + 2023 reactivation = legal cover for new digital operation

So am my

PARAMETER	BHARATLOAN	LOAN112	FORENSIC CONCERN
Gurugram Office	Plot 498, Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram	Not listed	Udyog Vihar = major hub for Chinese-linked tech and finance operations in India

AdTech & Data Link Analysis — Devmuni / BharatLoan

The following forensic analysis maps the data collection and transmission chain for Devmuni's digital lending operations:

DATA POINT	COLLECTION METHOD	LIKELY TRANSMISSION PATH	CHINESE LOAN APP PARALLEL
PAN Card	Mandatory upload at onboarding	Stored on cloud servers; vendor unknown — Scienaptic Credit BRE Platform disclosed as partner (Sep 2024)	Chinese apps: PAN used for KYC + identity duplication
Aadhaar + Address	Mandatory KYC upload	Scienaptic + internal servers; cross-border transfer rules = DPDP Phase 3 (2027)	Aadhaar data = core of digital clone profile
Bank Statement (3 months)	Mandatory PDF upload	Account Aggregator framework (Scienaptic integration confirmed Sep 2024)	Transaction history = maximum extractable amount determination
Phone Number + Contacts	App permission (Android)	Play Store listing claims 'no data collected' — technically impossible for a KYC loan app	Chinese apps: contacts = social shaming network for default coercion
Location	GPS permission	Play Store 'no data' claim contradicts loan operations requiring address verification	InMobi SDK: location tracking enabled in NBFC apps without user awareness
Behavioral data (browsing, app usage)	Via third-party SDKs embedded in app	Cannot be determined without APK forensic analysis	SilverPush/InMobi SDKs found in 234 apps — NBFC lending apps equally at risk

UNANSWERABLE QUESTION FOR DEVMUNI: The BharatLoan Play Store data safety declaration states the app does not collect or share user data. The loan process requires: PAN, Aadhaar, bank statement, photograph, and address proof — all of which are Sensitive Personal Data under DPDP Act 2023 Section 2. Either: (a) the data safety declaration is false — a violation of Google's Play Store policies and IT Act Section 43A; or (b) the loan processing occurs entirely without storing any applicant data — which is technically impossible and would violate RBI's digital lending KYC requirements. This Court should direct a forensic APK audit of BharatLoan and Loan112 to determine actual data flows.

1.2 COMPANY B: GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED

Corporate Identity Record

FIELD	AUTHENTICATED DETAIL	SOURCE
Corporate Name	GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED	MCA Portal
CIN	U74899DL1983PTC014960	MCA Portal (Public Record)
Registration Number	014960	RoC-Delhi
Date of Incorporation	10 January 1983	MCA Portal — India's pre-liberalisation era
Company Type	Private Limited Company	MCA
Registered at	Registrar of Companies, RoC-Delhi	MCA
NIC Code	74 — Other Business Activities	MCA (same as Devmuni)
Company Age	43 years (incorporated pre-liberalisation)	MCA
Authorised Share Capital	Rs. 3,50,00,000 (Rs. 3.5 Crore)	FalconEbiz/MCA
Paid-Up Capital	Rs. 3,26,09,700 (Rs. 3.26 Crore)	ZaubaCorp/MCA
FY2024 Revenue Growth	239.88% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY2024 Profit Growth	914.51% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY2024 Net Worth Growth	84.27% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
Last AGM	30 September 2025	MCA/TheCompanyCheck
Balance Sheet Filed	31 March 2025	MCA/TheCompanyCheck
Current Status	Active — Compliant	MCA Master Data

Registered Address History — Multiple Addresses (Red Flag #2)

VERSION	ADDRESS	SOURCE
Version 1 (Early, pre-2020s)	Flat No-329, DDA Flats, Paschim Vihar, Delhi	Planetexim.net
Version 2	Unit No. 505C, D-Mall, Netaji Subhash Place, Pitampura, New Delhi, North West Delhi 110034	ClearTax public record
Version 3 (Current)	106 Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi, Delhi 110001	ZaubaCorp / FileSure / Tofler / FalconEbiz (all agree)
Email (Version A)	girdharfinlease246@gmail.com	FalconEbiz
Email (Version B)	cherishermanagement@gmail.com	ClearTax

RED FLAG — TWO DIFFERENT EMAIL DOMAINS: A 43-year-old NBFC has two separate Gmail addresses associated with it in public records — one using the company name (girdharfinlease246@gmail.com) and one named 'cherishermanagement' (cherishermanagement@gmail.com). 'Cherisher Management' is a named management entity

So am my

associated with this address. This dual-identity pattern suggests: different parties are managing the company's public records vs. its operational identity. A legitimate 43-year-old NBFC would have a corporate email domain — not Gmail. This is a standard indicator in ED investigations of shell companies.

Director History — The Suspicious Transition

DIRECTOR	STATUS	PERIOD	SIGNIFICANCE
Jeet Girdhar	Past Director (Founder Family)	1983 — pre-2010s	Founding family director; company named after Girdhar family
Lekh Raj Bajaj	Past Director	Mid-period	Second-generation or associated director
Jyoti Jindal	Past Director	Mid-period — departed before current directors	Female director; departed during transition period
Sandeep Kumar Garg	Current Director (Active)	Appointed 19 July 2022	New surname: Garg — not Girdhar. Company named 'Girdhar Finlease' but no director named Girdhar remains. MCA confirmed appointment date: 19 Jul 2022.
Kashish Garg	Current Director (Active)	Appointed 30 September 2022	Same surname as Sandeep Garg — family pair; appointed 2 months after Sandeep. Girdhar founding family entirely replaced by Garg family. Both Garg directors in place by September 2022 — exactly when digital lending operations began.

FORENSIC FINDING — COMPLETE FAMILY REPLACEMENT: A 43-year-old company named 'Girdhar Finlease' — presumably founded by the Girdhar family — now has no director with the surname Girdhar. The founding family has been entirely replaced by the Garg family (Sandeep Kumar Garg + Kashish Garg). This directorial replacement coincides with: 239.88% revenue growth in FY2024 and 914.51% profit growth in the same year. A company dormant or minimally active for decades does not achieve 914% profit growth organically. This pattern precisely matches the 'NBFC shell acquisition' model: acquire a dormant but RBI-registered NBFC, replace directors, use the RBI registration as cover for new financial operations.

CONFIRMED: Girdhar Finlease Digital Lending Apps — 30DaysLoan, FundsMama & More

The Girdhar Finlease website (girdharfinlease.com) confirmed the following facts as of March 2026 — directly from the company's own public domain:

APP/PLATFORM	DETAILS FROM GIRDHAR'S OWN WEBSITE	FORENSIC SIGNIFICANCE
30DaysLoan (Google Play + App Store)	'We partner with 30DaysLoan to provide lending solutions and smooth repayment flows.' Google Play: Developer = Girdhar Finlease Pvt Ltd. APR: 35% fixed. Tenure: 1–3 years. Loan: Rs.10,000–2,00,000. Processing fee: 2% + 18% GST. Documents: PAN, bank statements 3 months, salary slips, ID.	Same KYC data package as BharatLoan. Same 35% APR. Same structure. Two different NBFCs running near-identical operations.

Sandeep Garg

APP/PLATFORM	DETAILS FROM GIRDHAR'S OWN WEBSITE	FORENSIC SIGNIFICANCE
FundsMama (iOS App Store)	'FundsMama helps with flexible personal loans and quick approvals.' Developer: Girdhar Finlease Pvt Ltd. Launched August 13, 2024. 1 lakh users. 23MB app.	Launched 2024 — after RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Framework. Timing = post-framework launch to appear compliant while continuing same data collection model.
30DaysLoan website claims	'Operating since 2012' / '1,000,000 loans disbursed' / '800,000+ satisfied customers' / 'Rs.150 Cr+ Amount Disbursed'	Company incorporated 1983 but current directors only appointed July–September 2022. 'Operating since 2012' with no digital app presence until 2022 = fabricated operational history to build trust.
Data Safety Declaration (Apple App Store)	'The developer does not collect any data from this app' — for BOTH 30DaysLoan and FundsMama apps by Girdhar Finlease	IDENTICAL FALSE DECLARATION as BharatLoan (Devmuni). Two separate NBFCs making the identical false claim. Loan processing requires: PAN, Aadhaar equivalent, bank statements, salary slips, photo ID, location (mandatory for Video KYC). ZERO data collection is technically impossible.
Location Access — Mandatory	App Store: 'Allow Location Access (Required for Video KYC verification)' — location is MANDATORY, not optional	If location is mandatory for KYC: all 15 lakh+ borrowers' precise location was collected. This directly contradicts the 'no data collected' declaration. Violation: IT Act Section 43A + DPDP Act Section 4.
Email for complaints	info@30daysloan.com and grievance@fundsmama.com — generic domain emails	No corporate email under 'girdharfinlease.com' domain for complaints. Borrowers' grievances routed to platform emails — not the NBFC's registered email.

DEVASTATING FORENSIC FINDING — COORDINATED FALSE DECLARATION: Both Devmuni Leasing (BharatLoan/Loan112) AND Girdhar Finlease (30DaysLoan/FundsMama) have declared on Apple App Store and Google Play Store that their loan apps 'do not collect any data.' Both NBFCs are running virtually identical operations — same APR (35%), same processing fee structure (2% + GST), same KYC documents required, same short-tenure small-ticket lending model, same post-2022 launch date. The probability of two independent NBFCs making the identical false declaration using the identical structure is near-zero without coordination. This Court should direct Google India and Apple India to produce all developer registration records, account details, and KYC submitted by both Devmuni and Girdhar when registering as Play Store/App Store developers.

The Kasturba Gandhi Marg Address — Forensic Significance

The current registered address — 106 Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi 110001 — is in the heart of Connaught Place, Central Delhi, one of India's most prestigious commercial addresses. The forensic significance:

- A genuine NBFC leasing company with Rs. 3.26 Crore paid-up capital does not organically afford Connaught Place office space. This address is used as a 'prestige address' by hundreds of shell companies registered at accommodation address services.

So am my

- The NIC code 74 (Other Business Activities) is the same as Devmuni Leasing — a generic classification used by companies that do not want to declare their specific financial activities.
- 'Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg' appears in multiple shell company investigations as a 'virtual office' address commonly used by financial entities wanting a prestigious Central Delhi address without a physical presence.
- The 'cherishmanagement@gmail.com' email suggests a management company — Cherisher Management — is handling the NBFC's operations. This 'management company within management company' structure is a classic layering technique under PMLA investigations.

RBI COMPLIANCE STATUS: As of 2025, Girdhar Finlease has filed its balance sheet for FY2025 and held AGM on September 30, 2025 — indicating active compliance. However, the period 2016–2022 needs investigation: MCA records do not show clear evidence of annual filings during this gap period. The 239.88% revenue growth in FY2024 suggests, like Devmuni, a reactivation pattern after a dormancy period.

1.3 COMPANY C: MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED

Available Public Record Status

SEARCH PLATFORM	RESULT FOR 'MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED'	SIGNIFICANCE
MCA Portal (via web search)	Zero results returned	Entity either: (a) struck off; (b) name changed; (c) never existed under this exact name; or (d) data not indexed
ZaubaCorp	Zero results	Same as above
Tofler	Zero results	Same as above
RBI NBFC List (2008 PDF)	Not found in deposit-accepting NBFC list	Entity may have been non-deposit NBFC or unregistered
FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC PDF	Search pending — the PDF lists thousands of companies	Requires manual review of 4000+ page FIU document
Google/Bing search	Zero results for this exact company name	No web presence, no filing, no news — atypical for any active NBFC

Closest Match Found: Madhuri Enterprises Private Limited — Possible Connection

While 'Madhuri Instalment Private Limited' returns zero direct results, a closely related entity — Madhuri Enterprises Private Limited — appears in Delhi MCA records with a forensically significant profile:

FIELD	MADHURI ENTERPRISES PRIVATE LIMITED	FORENSIC COMPARISON TO DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR
CIN	U74899DL1996PTC077524	Same NIC code 74899 as BOTH Devmuni (U74899DL1995PLC066810) and Girdhar (U74899DL1983PTC014960). All three: Delhi, NIC 74899.
Incorporation Date	March 25, 1996	1996 — same generation as Devmuni (1995) and close to Girdhar (1983). Pre-2002 NBFC generation.
Address	H.N. 75, Road No. 42, West Punjabi Bagh, Near Central Market, West Delhi, New Delhi 110026	West Delhi — different from Devmuni's North Delhi and Girdhar's Central Delhi. Three-location Delhi network.
Status	Active (as of 2024)	Active — not struck off
Revenue FY2024	Rs. 19.2 Lakh (minimal)	VERY LOW revenue — classic dormant NBFC profile. Devmuni pre-2022 was also minimal; 4091% growth came after reactivation.
AGM	August 22, 2024	Compliant filing — maintaining active status
NIC Code	U74899 — Other Business Activities	Identical NIC code cluster with Devmuni and Girdhar

FORENSIC HYPOTHESIS — NIC 74899 DELHI CLUSTER: Three confirmed entities — Devmuni (1995), Girdhar (1983), Madhuri Enterprises (1996) — all share: (a) Delhi incorporation; (b) NIC code U74899 (Other Business Activities); (c) pre-2002 registration vintage; (d) minimal activity for long periods followed by sudden reactivation. This NIC code cluster is used by companies that do not want to declare specific financial activities. The 'Madhuri Instalment' name provided by the Intervenor may refer to: (i) Madhuri Enterprises

So am m

Private Limited operating under a trade name; (ii) a struck-off entity that no longer appears in active search results; or (iii) a company registered under a slightly different name. This Court should direct RoC-Delhi to search all Delhi-registered companies with 'Madhuri' in the name that are in the NBFC/finance sector.

INTERVENOR REQUEST TO COURT: Madhuri Instalment Private Limited has returned zero public records across all available company search databases. The Intervenor requests this Court to direct the Registrar of Companies (RoC-Delhi) and the RBI to: (a) confirm whether this entity was ever registered; (b) produce all filing records if registered; (c) confirm whether it was struck off or name-changed and under what circumstances; (d) provide all director DIN numbers associated with this entity. Non-appearance in public records of a company that is known to the Intervenor is itself forensically significant — it may indicate the entity was struck off to avoid regulatory scrutiny, which is a pattern documented in Chinese loan app shell company investigations.

Forensic Analysis Based on Naming Pattern

Even without confirmed registration records, the name 'Madhuri Instalment Private Limited' carries forensic significance:

- 'Instalment' as a company name element is characteristic of 1980s-1990s era hire-purchase and consumer finance companies — the same generation as Girdhar Finlease (1983) and Devmuni (1995). This suggests incorporation in the pre-liberalisation or early liberalisation era.
- Pre-2000 NBFCs acquired RBI certificates before the 2002 mandatory re-registration requirement under Section 45-IA of the RBI Act. If Madhuri Instalment received a CoR before 2002, it may have a dormant but technically valid RBI registration — exactly the regulatory cover needed for a digital lending platform launch.
- The Delhi pattern: both confirmed companies (Devmuni and Girdhar) are registered in Delhi at RoC-Delhi. If Madhuri Instalment is also Delhi-registered, it forms part of a Delhi-based NBFC cluster with similar NIC code 74 classification.
- Companies with 'Instalment' in their name frequently appear in the FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list — 'Ajanta Instalments Ltd.' and 'Ambica Instalments Ltd.' both appear on the list. This naming pattern correlates with PMLA non-compliance.

COURT DIRECTION REQUIRED: Without MCA records, this Court is the only authority that can compel production of Madhuri Instalment Private Limited's complete corporate history under Article 32. The Intervenor's knowledge of this entity requires explanation — it was brought to the Intervenor's attention through cybercrime investigation and whistleblower networks, suggesting it may be connected to financial operations currently under or warranting law enforcement scrutiny.

PART II: THREE-COMPANY FORENSIC PATTERN ANALYSIS

2.1 The Shell NBFC Acquisition Model — Common Architecture

The forensic examination of Devmuni and Girdhar (with Madhuri Instalment as the probable third example) reveals a common operational model. This model — the 'Dormant NBFC Reactivation' or 'NBFC Shell Acquisition' — has been documented by the Enforcement Directorate in multiple Chinese loan app investigations (2020–2025):

STAGE	WHAT HAPPENS	DEVMUNI EVIDENCE	GIRDHAR EVIDENCE
Stage 1: Selection	Identify dormant NBFC with valid RBI CoR — preferably incorporated pre-2002 under old regime	Incorporated 1995; CoR from Oct 2002; dormant by 2016	Incorporated 1983; 40+ years old; minimal public activity for decades
Stage 2: Acquisition	Acquire controlling stake via share transfer; replace all founding directors with new management	All three founding directors (Shabbir, Rajender, Yogesh) replaced by new management in 2023	Entire founding Girdhar family replaced by Garg family; no continuity of founding ownership
Stage 3: Reactivation	Begin digital lending under existing RBI registration; no new RBI application required	BharatLoan + Loan112 launched 2023; website claims 'established in 2023'	239.88% revenue + 914.51% profit growth in single year suggests large-scale new operations
Stage 4: Data Collection	Collect KYC data (Aadhaar, PAN, bank, photo, contacts) under guise of loan processing	5 million+ installs; Play Store 'no data collected' claim contradicts KYC requirements	Revenue scale requires large borrower base; each borrower = complete KYC profile collected
Stage 5: Regulatory Opacity	Multiple registered addresses; Gmail accounts instead of corporate email; minimal compliance footprint	4 different addresses across 3 cities; website '2023 established' claim	2 email addresses; 3 address versions; Connaught Place 'virtual office' pattern
Stage 6: Exploitation	Use RBI CoR as legal shield; operate at scale; data transmitted; proceeds laundered via mule accounts / crypto	B_14.02719 CoR from 2002 = unimpeachable RBI registration claim	U74899DL1983PTC014960 = 43-year-old company with impeccable incorporation date

FORENSIC CONCLUSION — COMMON ARCHITECTURE: Both Devmuni Leasing and Girdhar Finlease display the complete 6-stage 'Dormant NBFC Shell' pattern. Both show: (a) pre-2000 incorporation; (b) complete director replacement; (c) sudden massive revenue growth after transition; (d) multiple conflicting addresses; (e) non-corporate email addresses. The only significant difference is: Devmuni has a publicly visible digital lending brand (BharatLoan) while Girdhar's operations are less publicly visible — suggesting Girdhar may be operating as a backend NBFC (receiving-end of the money chain) rather than a consumer-facing platform. This is also consistent with ED's documented observation that Chinese loan app operations use one NBFC as the consumer-facing lender and another as the fund-receiving entity.

2.2 The Dormancy-Reactivation Timeline Against the Criminal Ecosystem

So am my

YEAR	NATIONAL ECOSYSTEM EVENT	CRIMINAL	DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR STATUS	REGULATORY CONTEXT
1983	Girdhar Finlease incorporated — Delhi	—	Founding year; traditional hire-purchase business	Pre-liberalisation; no NBFC regulation
1995	Devmuni Leasing incorporated — Delhi	—	Founding year; traditional leasing	Companies Act 1956 regime
2002	RBI NBFC mandatory re-registration		Devmuni receives CoR B_14.02719 in October 2002	Section 45-IA RBI Act 1934 — all NBFCs must register
2012	Jamtara phishing operations begin; BPO-era data theft industrialised		Both companies appear dormant or minimally active	IT Act 2000 — no data protection law
2013	CERT-In Rules notified; PMLA reporting requirements for NBFCs		Devmuni PMLA non-compliant (FIU-IND, 2018)	PMLA 2002 — Principal Officer registration required
2014–2016	Aadhaar data accessible via open API; KYC data begins flowing to criminal networks		Both companies dormant; no digital lending activity	Aadhaar Act 2016 passed; no breach SOP
2016	Chinese loan app operations enter India; SpyLoan SDK developed		Devmuni: FIU-IND flags as High-Risk NBFC in 2018 for 2016+ non-compliance	RBI's 'Guidelines on Fair Practices Code for NBFCs' inadequate for digital era
2018	FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list published: Devmuni Leasing listed for PMLA non-compliance		Devmuni confirmed non-compliant with FIU-IND PO registration	FIU-IND enforcement action: list published but prosecution rare
2019–2021	Chinese loan apps peak: ED cases Rs. 719 crore, Rs. 4900 crore		Both companies transition begins: directors replaced	RBI digital lending guidelines — inadequate for scale
2022	RBI issues Digital Lending Framework (Aug 2022); tightens loan app rules		Director replacement accelerates; 'new management' takes over shell NBFCs	New framework creates compliance window — shell NBFCs restructure to appear compliant
2023	BharatLoan and Loan112 launched under Devmuni; 4091% revenue spike		Devmuni: full reactivation; Girdhar: large-scale financial activity begins	DPDP Act passed Aug 2023 — but not operational
2024	Digital arrest losses: Rs. 120 crore Q1 alone; Devmuni 5M app installs		Girdhar: 239% revenue + 914% profit in FY2024	DPDP enforcement Phase 3: deferred to 2027
2025–2026	SC declares Digital Dacoity; Rs. 54,000 crore total losses		Both companies continue operating; Madhuri Instalment records unavailable	SC proceedings: SMW 3/2025 — this case

PART III: VERIFIED LINKS TO CHINESE LOAN APP NETWORK & DIGITAL ARREST CHAIN

3.1 The NBFC-as-Laundry-Vehicle Architecture

The ED's documented cases establish the mechanism by which Chinese loan app principals use Indian NBFCs:

OPERATION STEP	CHINESE LOAN APP STANDARD MODEL	DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR APPLICATION
1. KYC Collection	App collects: Aadhaar, PAN, bank, contacts, photos under 'KYC' pretext	BharatLoan: requires PAN, Aadhaar, bank statement, photo. Play Store claim 'no data collected' = false.
2. Data Transmission	KYC data transmitted to Chinese servers via Singapore relay	BharatLoan partners with Scienaptic (US-based credit platform) — cross-border data transfer to US servers; DPDP rules don't apply until 2027
3. Loan Disbursement	Small loans disbursed; 20-30% fee deducted upfront; high interest rate	BharatLoan: Rs. 10,000–1,20,000; 35% APR; 2% processing fee; net disbursement always less than headline amount
4. Default Extraction	Engineered default; contact list used to shame borrowers	App holds contacts access; social shaming documented in Delhi HC 2026 NBFC harassment case
5. Money Collection	Multiple mule accounts receive repayments	Devmuni: payment via 'secure Repayment Website Link' (from their own fraud warning on website) — suggests awareness of payment interception risk
6. Offshore Transfer	Funds routed to Singapore/Hong Kong via crypto or SWIFT	Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram (BharatLoan office) = major tech/fintech hub with documented links to offshore payment routing companies
7. NBFC Shell Dissolution	Shell wound up when ED investigation begins	Devmuni's multiple address changes, director replacements, and company website claiming '2023 establishment' suggest preparations for this eventuality

3.2 The Digital Arrest Data Supply Chain

Digital arrest requires pre-assembled victim profiles. The data collected by BharatLoan/Devmuni and by Girdhar Finlease's financial operations constitutes exactly the data package that powers digital arrest:

DATA COLLECTED BY NBFC LOAN APPS	CRIMINAL USE IN DIGITAL ARREST SCRIPT
Aadhaar number + photo	Criminal reads out victim's Aadhaar number on call: 'We have your records.' Creates illusion of state surveillance.
PAN number	Fake 'Income Tax investigation' or 'ED money laundering case' script: 'Your PAN is linked to Rs. X crore suspicious transaction.'
Bank statement (3 months)	Determines exact extractable amount; criminal says: 'Transfer Rs. [slightly less than account balance] to RBI Escrow Account.'
Phone number	Primary contact for digital arrest call; caller ID spoofed to appear as government number.
Home address (from Aadhaar/KYC)	Criminal says: 'We know you are at [correct address]. CBI officers are 20 minutes away.'

So am my

DATA COLLECTED BY NBFC LOAN APPS CRIMINAL USE IN DIGITAL ARREST SCRIPT

Photograph	Used to generate deepfake 'evidence video' showing victim in compromising situation.
Contact list (if permission granted)	Coercion: 'We will call your [family member's name from contact list] if you do not comply.'
Employer details	Threat: 'Your employer will receive a legal notice. Cooperate to protect your career.'

THE FORENSIC LINK: Every data point collected by Devmuni's BharatLoan and Girdhar's financial operations is also the exact data set used in digital arrest operations. This is not coincidence — it is a designed data supply chain. The NBFC app is the data collection front end; the digital arrest operation is the monetisation backend. This Court has already identified Rs. 54,000 crore in digital dacoity. The data that fuels this dacoity is being collected today, under RBI NBFC registration, by entities that were dormant shells until 2022–2023.

3.3 AdTech Link — SilverPush & InMobi Connection

The Intervenor has previously placed before this Court evidence of SilverPush (Singapore) and InMobi (Singapore) operating behavioral surveillance SDKs embedded in Indian apps. The connection to these three NBFCs:

ADTECH ENTITY	SDK CAPABILITY	NBFC APP LINK	FORENSIC IMPLICATION
SilverPush (SilverEdge Technologies, JMD Megapolis, Gurgaon)	Ultrasonic audio beacons >20kHz embedded in apps: cross-device tracking, ambient audio sampling, voice pattern capture	BharatLoan operating from Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram — same Gurugram tech corridor as SilverPush Gurgaon office. SDK integration cannot be confirmed without APK forensic audit.	If SilverPush SDK embedded in BharatLoan: 5 million users' home audio environments silently monitored; behavioral + financial profile = complete digital arrest target package
InMobi (Embassy Tech Square, Bangalore)	Precise GPS tracking every 15 minutes; cross-app behavioral surveillance; FTC-penalised USD 950,000 for COPPA violations	Standard NBFC digital lending apps routinely integrate InMobi and Google AdMob for user acquisition advertising	If InMobi SDK in BharatLoan: 5 million users' location data transmitted to Singapore servers. Under DPDP Act (Phase 3, 2027): this would require consent. Currently: no enforcement.
Meta Pixel / Google Firebase	Behavioral tracking: browsing, app usage, financial anxiety signals from search queries	Play Store listing confirms Google Play Services integration; Firebase Analytics almost certainly embedded	Financial distress signals (users searching for emergency loans) transmitted to Meta/Google advertising ecosystem = criminal syndicates can purchase 'distressed financial profile' targeting on Meta/Google ad platforms
Scienaptic Credit BRE (US-based, Account Aggregator partner)	Account Aggregator framework: real-time bank transaction data access	Confirmed partner — 'BharatLoan Goes Live with Scienaptic Credit BRE Platform's Account Aggregator' (Asian News International, Sep 30 2024)	Cross-border financial data transmission to US servers under Account Aggregator framework. No DPDP enforcement until 2027. Scienaptic's data security practices: unknown to Indian regulator.

So am m

CRITICAL FORENSIC FINDING — SCIENAPTIC LINK: The Scienaptic partnership is the most significant undisclosed risk in the BharatLoan operation. Scienaptic Systems Inc. is a US-based AI credit decision platform. When BharatLoan integrates with Scienaptic via the Account Aggregator framework: (a) real-time bank transaction data of 5 million Indian borrowers flows to a US server; (b) this includes salary, expenditure patterns, recurring payments, and account balances; (c) under current DPDP rules, cross-border transfer of this data is in a 'regulatory ambiguity zone' until 2027; (d) if Scienaptic's data is accessible to US-based actors or sold via data brokers, it enters the same dark web pipeline that feeds digital arrest victim profiling. This Court should direct RBI to audit all Account Aggregator data flows from BharatLoan/Devmuni to Scienaptic.

PART IV: SERVER LOCATIONS & DATA FLOW MAP

4.1 Known and Probable Data Transmission Destinations

DATA SOURCE	PROBABLE SERVER LOCATION	LEGAL JURISDICTION	ENFORCEMENT GAP
BharatLoan app (DevMuni) — KYC data	AWS Mumbai or AWS Singapore (standard for Indian NBFCs using AWS/Azure)	India — potentially; if AWS Singapore: outside CERT-In enforcement until DPDP Phase 3	CERT-In 6-hour breach reporting applies only to Indian servers. AWS Singapore = reportable to Singapore MAS, not CERT-In.
BharatLoan — Scienaptic integration	Scienaptic Systems Inc. — US servers (Delaware/New York cloud infrastructure)	United States — GDPR does not apply; DPDP cross-border rules not yet effective	Indian citizen bank data on US servers: no Indian enforcement mechanism until 2027
BharatLoan — Account Aggregator framework	RBI's NBFC AA framework routes via NBFC-AA licensed entities. AA license: Finvu, Perfios, Setu (all India-based)	India — AA licensed entities are RBI-regulated	Positive: AA framework data flows are RBI-supervised. BUT: secondary transmission to Scienaptic post-AA processing = outside RBI supervision
BharatLoan — Google Play Services / Firebase (probable)	Google Cloud — Asia-Pacific region or US	Google Ireland / Google LLC (US) — outside Indian enforcement	Behavioral metadata to Google's ad ecosystem: DPDP Phase 3 enforcement only
Girdhar Finlease — financial data	Unknown — no public app or digital platform identified	Unknown	Without forensic audit, data destination cannot be determined. Court direction required.
SilverPush	SilverPush Global Pte. Ltd. — Singapore servers	Singapore — MAS jurisdiction	India-Singapore MLAT: exists but rarely invoked for data cases
InMobi SDK (InMobi Pte. Ltd. — Singapore servers	Singapore — MAS jurisdiction	FTC enforcement in US; India: zero enforcement action despite InMobi India office

4.2 The Money Flow Reconstruction — Devmuni BharatLoan

Based on public information and ED investigation patterns, the following money flow is the probable structure:

FLOW STEP	ENTITY	AMOUNT SCALE	FORENSIC FLAG
1. Borrower repayment	5 million users × avg Rs. 20,000 loan × 35% APR = Rs. 3,500 crore annualised loan book potential	Rs. 32.9 crore FY2024 revenue confirmed — indicates portion of total operations visible	Discrepancy between 5M installs and Rs. 32.9 Cr revenue = either most users are non-borrowers OR significant off-book transactions
2. Payment collection	Via 'secure Repayment Website Link' (warns against direct bank payment)	Unknown — payment aggregator not disclosed	Warning against direct bank payment on website suggests prior interception incidents — evidence of mule account activity
3. Processing fee extraction	2% processing fee + GST on every disbursed loan	On Rs. 32.9 Cr revenue: potentially Rs. 65 lakh in fees — but scale of	Processing fees = immediate cash extraction regardless of loan repayment outcome

So am my

FLOW STEP	ENTITY	AMOUNT SCALE	FORENSIC FLAG
		5M users suggests much higher	
4. Offshore routing probability	Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram operational office	Not publicly disclosed	Gurugram = documented hub for Chinese-linked fintech operations (see ED cases: ₹719 crore Gurugram-linked funds)
5. Shell company insulation	Company can be dissolved; CoR = B_14.02719 transferable to new shell via RBI application	Rs. 3.5 crore authorised capital = minimal asset exposure	If ED investigates: only Rs. 3.5 crore in assets attachable; majority of extracted value already offshore

PART V: SPECIFIC REGULATORY FAILURES — CHARGES & UNANSWERABLE QUESTIONS

5.1 Regulatory Violations — Company-Specific Charge Sheet

VIOLATION	APPLICABLE LAW	AGAINST WHICH COMPANY	EVIDENCE
PMLA Principal Officer non-registration (2016–2018+)	PMLA 2002 + PMLA Rules 2005, Rule 9	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED	FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (Feb 27, 2018) — official government document
Data Safety Declaration false on Play Store	IT Act Section 43A + DPDP Act 2023 Section 4 + Companies Act Section 447 (fraud)	DEVMUNI (BharatLoan)	Play Store: 'app does not collect user data'; loan process requires Aadhaar + PAN + bank = sensitive personal data
Multiple conflicting registered addresses	Companies Act 2013 Section 12 (mandatory single registered address)	DEVMUNI (4 addresses) + GIRDHAR (3 addresses)	MCA public records vs. Google Play vs. website — all show different addresses
Company website false 'Established in 2023' claim	Companies Act Section 447 (fraud on public) + IT Act Section 66D (cheating by impersonation)	DEVMUNI (devmunifinance.com)	Website text: 'Established in 2023' vs. MCA: incorporated March 27, 1995
Cross-border data transfer to Scienaptic (US) without DPDP consent mechanism	DPDP Act 2023 Section 16 (cross-border transfer) + IT Act Rule 7 SPDI Rules 2011	DEVMUNI (BharatLoan)	Asian News International Sept 30, 2024: confirmed Scienaptic partnership + Account Aggregator integration
Dormant-NBFC shell acquisition with complete director replacement — potential dummy director structure	Companies Act Section 447 (fraud) + PMLA Section 3 (money laundering)	DEVMUNI (all original directors replaced 2023) + GIRDHAR (founding family entirely replaced)	MCA director records: Zaubacorp/FileSure/TheCompanyCheck public data
Non-corporate email addresses for regulated financial entity	RBI NBFC Governance Guidelines 2023 + Companies Act 2013	DEVMUNI (Gmail) + GIRDHAR (two Gmail accounts)	MCA public records: Gmail addresses in mandatory filings

5.2 Questions for RBI and MCA — Unanswerable Without Admission of Negligence

QUESTION NO.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	WHY UNANSWERABLE
Q1	Devmuni Leasing was listed on the FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list in 2018 for PMLA Principal Officer non-compliance. What enforcement action was taken between 2018 and 2023? If none, why not?	FIU-IND + RBI	If no action taken: confirms zero PMLA enforcement against listed non-compliant NBFCs
Q2	Devmuni Leasing's Play Store listing claims 'the app does not collect or share any user data.' The loan process requires collection of Aadhaar, PAN, and bank data (all sensitive personal data under DPDP).	RBI + MeitY + DPBI	Reveals that no post-launch audit of NBFC digital lending apps has been conducted

So am my

QUESTION NO.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	WHY UNANSWERABLE
	Has RBI audited BharatLoan for compliance with digital lending data protection requirements?		
Q3	Devmoni Leasing has three different addresses in its MCA filing history and a fourth operational address in Gurugram. Under Section 12 Companies Act 2013, a company must have a single registered office. Who is the responsible RoC officer for non-enforcement of this requirement?	MCA/RoC-Delhi	Exposes systematic RoC non-enforcement of basic compliance requirement
Q4	Girdhar Finlease Private Limited (incorporated 1983) recorded 914.51% profit growth in FY2024. What RBI or MCA supervisory action was triggered by this anomalous growth pattern? What is the source of this revenue?	RBI + MCA	913% profit growth in a dormant NBFC is a textbook money laundering red flag. No action = systemic failure
Q5	Has the RBI verified whether the Account Aggregator data transmitted by BharatLoan to Scienaptic Systems Inc. (US) complies with cross-border data transfer requirements? If yes, which regulatory framework was applied? If no, why not?	RBI + MeitY	Reveals that cross-border financial data flows from Indian NBFC apps to US platforms are unaudited
Q6	Madhuri Instalment Private Limited: does this entity appear in any RBI or MCA record? If so, produce complete filing history including all director names, DIN numbers, and RBI correspondence. If not, confirm that no such entity was ever registered.	MCA/RoC-Delhi + RBI	Forces production of records or official confirmation of non-existence — either outcome is forensically significant

PART VI: LEGAL CHARGES & SPECIFIC PRAYERS RELATING TO THESE THREE COMPANIES

6.1 Applicable Legal Provisions

PROVISION	OFFENSE	APPLICABLE TO
PMLA 2002, Section 3	Money laundering — receiving, concealing, or transferring proceeds of crime	Devmuni: PMLA non-compliance 2016–2018+ per FIU-IND record
IT Act Section 43A	Failure to maintain reasonable security practices for sensitive personal data	Devmuni (BharatLoan): collecting Aadhaar + PAN + bank data without disclosed security practices
DPDP Act 2023, Section 4	Unlawful processing of personal data without valid consent	Devmuni: Play Store 'no data' claim vs. actual KYC collection = consent not obtained or disclosed
DPDP Act 2023, Section 16	Cross-border data transfer without compliance with prescribed conditions	Devmuni: Scienaptic (US) data transmission
Companies Act 2013, Section 12	Failure to maintain a single registered office	Devmuni (4 addresses) + Girdhar (3 addresses)
Companies Act 2013, Section 447	Fraud — making false statements to public/regulators	Devmuni website: 'Established 2023' vs. 1995 MCA record
BNS 2023, Section 111	Organized Crime — if Devmuni/Girdhar are operating as part of larger criminal network	All three companies — investigation required
RBI Act 1934, Section 45-IA(6)	Operating NBFC in violation of registration conditions	Devmuni: PMLA PO non-registration while holding valid CoR
PMLA 2002, Section 12	Obligation to maintain records, furnish information to FIU — violated	Devmuni per FIU-IND High-Risk list 2018

6.2 Specific Prayers Relating to These Three Companies

1. DIRECTION TO RBI: Within 15 days, produce before this Court the complete RBI supervisory file for Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited (CoR B_14.02719) including: (a) all inspection reports 2002–2026; (b) FIU-IND correspondence regarding High-Risk NBFC designation; (c) all PMLA compliance records; (d) all digital lending framework compliance audits. Basis: Article 32 + RBI Act Section 45-IA.
2. DIRECTION TO MCA/RoC-DELHI: Within 15 days, produce: (a) all filed documents for Devmuni Leasing (CIN U74899DL1995PLC066810) including share transfer records 2020–2023; (b) all filed documents for Girdhar Finlease (CIN U74899DL1983PTC014960) including share transfer records; (c) complete file on Madhuri Instalment Private Limited including confirmation of registration status, all director DIN numbers, and complete filing history. Basis: Article 32 + Companies Act 2013 Section 399 (inspection of documents).
3. DIRECTION TO FIU-IND: Produce complete file on Devmuni Leasing's High-Risk NBFC designation including: (a) date of first designation; (b) what enforcement action was taken; (c) whether designation was removed and when; (d) all Suspicious Transaction Reports (STRs) filed or received regarding Devmuni. Basis: PMLA 2002 + Article 32.

So am m

4. DIRECTION TO GOOGLE INDIA: Within 30 days, produce the APK forensic analysis of BharatLoan (com.devmunifin.bharatloan) and Loan112 apps including: (a) complete list of all SDKs embedded; (b) all permissions requested and how data is used; (c) all data transmission endpoints including third-party APIs; (d) explanation of contradiction between 'no data collected' declaration and mandatory KYC data collection. Basis: IT Act Section 79 + IT Rules 2021.
5. DIRECTION TO CERT-In: Audit all data flows from BharatLoan to Scienaptic Systems Inc. (US) and produce report on whether this constitutes a notifiable cross-border data transfer under CERT-In Rules 2022 and DPDP Act 2023 Section 16. Basis: CERT-In Rules 2022 + Article 32.
6. DIRECTION TO ED: Investigate whether the dormancy-reactivation pattern in Devmuni Leasing (PMLA non-compliance + director replacement + 4091% revenue growth) and Girdhar Finlease (complete founding family replacement + 914% profit growth) constitute predicate offenses under PMLA. Interim: attach all assets of both companies pending investigation. Basis: PMLA Section 5 + Article 32.

CONCLUSION — THE THREE-COMPANY FORENSIC SUMMARY

This report has established the forensic profiles of three Delhi-registered NBFCs — Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited, Girdhar Finlease Private Limited, and Madhuri Instalment Private Limited — against the authenticated backdrop of India's cybercrime ecosystem 2012–2026.

What this Court is looking at is not three isolated companies. It is looking at the NBFC layer of the cybercrime infrastructure — the financial plumbing through which the Rs. 54,000 crore 'digital dacoity' flows. The data collected from 5 million BharatLoan users today is the raw material for digital arrest operations tomorrow. The shell NBFC structure — dormant RBI registration, replaced directors, multiple addresses, PMLA non-compliance — is the same structure documented in every Chinese loan app ED investigation from 2020 to 2025.

The Intervenor does not accuse any individual of any crime. The Intervenor places before this Court the forensic patterns, the authenticated documentary evidence, and the specific regulatory failures — and asks this Court to direct the production of records that will either: (a) confirm these patterns are precisely what they appear to be; or (b) allow these companies to demonstrate their compliance before the highest court in the land. Either outcome serves the public interest. Either outcome advances the cause of the SMW 3/2025 proceedings.

So am my

CLASSIFIED INVESTIGATION DOSSIER

SILVERPUSH (SilverEdge Technologies Pvt. Ltd.) & InMobi Pte. Ltd.

Adtech Digital Surveillance Operations: Modus Operandi, Privacy Violations, Regulatory Failures & Investigative Framework for Prosecution

Prepared For	Investigating Officer — Cybercrime / Digital Forensics Unit
Classification	SENSITIVE — Law Enforcement Only
Date Compiled	March 2026

So am my

COMPLETE IDENTITY PROFILE — SILVERPUSH

1.1 Legal Entity & Corporate Names

Full Legal Name (India)	Silveredge Technologies Private Limited
Brand / Operating Name	SilverPush
CIN (MCA India)	U72900DL2012PTC242716
Legal Entity Identifier	984500C56C670FBD0484
Incorporated	25 September 2012 (India) September 2012 (Singapore entity)
Company Type	Private Limited Company — Non-Government
Registered Under	Registrar of Companies (RoC), Delhi
Singapore Entity	Silverpush Pte. Ltd. (Singapore-based marketing tech provider)
Industry Code (NIC)	7290 — Other Computer Related Activities
Authorized Share Capital	INR 3,19,00,000
Paid-Up Capital	INR 1,48,81,000
Revenue (FY2024)	INR 345 Crore (approx USD 41M)
Employees (Mar 2024)	88 (India entity)
Status (MCA)	ACTIVE
Last AGM	23 December 2023
Balance Sheet Filed	31 March 2023
Countries of Operation	11+ countries including India, Singapore, USA, Vietnam, Philippines, Malaysia, UAE, Indonesia

1.2 Registered & Operational Addresses

Registered Office (MCA)	T-19 Basement, Green Park Main, New Delhi, Delhi — 110016, India
Email (MCA)	accounts@silverpush.co
HQ (Operational)	Grand View Tower, 17th Floor, Golf Course Extension Road, Sector 58, Badshahpur, Gurgaon, Haryana — 122101, India
Older Gurgaon Office	3rd Floor, Unit No. C 349-354, JMD Megapolis, Sohna Road, Sector 48, Gurgaon, Haryana — 122018
Singapore HQ	Singapore (primary global HQ per company claims)

So am my

Origin City	Gurugram (Gurgaon), Haryana, near New Delhi — CONFIRMED by FTC and CDT documents
--------------------	--

INVESTIGATOR NOTE: A gap exists between the legal registered address (Green Park, Delhi) and the operational HQ (Gurgaon). This is a common structural pattern used by Indian tech startups to exploit lower compliance visibility. The Singapore registration creates an additional jurisdictional layer that complicates Indian enforcement.

1.3 Directors & Key Personnel

Founder & CEO	Hitesh Chawla — IIT Delhi (B.Tech 1999–2004), Research Associate at Univ. of Michigan (2002), Research Scientist at Univ. of New South Wales (2004–2005), Analyst at Evalueserve (2005–2008)
Co-Founder (CMO)	Mudit Seth — IIM Ahmedabad graduate; previously AdGlobal360, Wildnet Technologies, Tyroo Media; co-founded Wiseassist Technologies with Chawla
Co-Founder (US)	Alex Modon (also listed as Alex Moon) — BS in e-marketing, advertising, Univ. of Akron Honors College, USA; previously Face to Face Tutoring
Director	Sneha Khemani
Director	Vidur Vishnu Bhogilal — Appointed 09 March 2023
Director	Siddharth Pradip Kothari — Appointed 05 November 2022 (also Managing Director at JM Financial Private Equity — KEY INVESTOR)
Company Secretary	Chandra Kishor Jha — Appointed 06 August 2022
Previous Role — Chawla	Co-founder, Wiseassist Technologies (sold before SilverPush); product: 'Wisetouch' (ad platform for outdoor media)

1.4 Funding & Investors

Series A (April 2014)	USD 1.5 million — for global expansion
Investors (Early)	IDG Ventures, Palaash Ventures, Fabrice Grinda (angel), K. Ganesh, 500 Startups, M&S Partners
Series B (February 2019)	USD 5 million — FreakOut Holdings (Japan) / Freak Out Inc., Japan
Series C (November 2022)	USD ~12 million (INR 950 million) led by JM Financial Private Equity, with Ashish Kacholia, Mirabilis Investment Trust, Seven Hills Capital
Founder Net Worth	INR 103 Crore (as of July 2023)
Ownership	Founders: 19.98% Funds: 14.38% Angels: 4.31% Parent Entities (largest): 48.42%

1.5 GST Registration Status

Total GST Registrations	5 GST numbers across 5 states
--------------------------------	-------------------------------

So am my

GST — Delhi	07AASCS2257G1Z1 — INACTIVE
GST — Haryana	06AASCS2257G1Z3 — ACTIVE
Other States	3 additional registrations (status: inactive)
INVESTIGATOR FLAG	Inactive GST in Delhi despite having registered office in Delhi raises MCA compliance gap. Company appears to have moved operations to Haryana (Gurgaon) while retaining legal address in Delhi — requires examination for tax compliance issues.

MODUS OPERANDI — DIGITAL SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY

2.1 Core Technology: Ultrasonic Audio Beacons (uXDT)

SilverPush developed a proprietary 'Unique Audio Beacon' technology — a form of Ultrasonic Cross-Device Tracking (uXDT) — that operates at frequencies between 18kHz and 19.95kHz. These frequencies are above the threshold of human hearing but detectable by device microphones.

How It Works — Step by Step:

- Step 1: SilverPush embeds inaudible high-frequency tones into TV advertisements and web browser ads. Each tone carries encoded data (e.g., letter 'A' = 18kHz tone, 'P' = 19.125kHz — enabling ad identification such as a Geico commercial = 'AP').
- Step 2: Mobile apps integrated with the SilverPush SDK silently activate the device microphone — even when the app is NOT in active use (background listening).
- Step 3: The microphone continuously scans for these beacon frequencies. Upon detection, a 'pair' is made between the user's device and the TV/screen content being viewed.
- Step 4: The individual device ID is mapped to the user's 'audience genome' — a behavioral profile built from TV viewing habits, location, and cross-device activity.
- Step 5: The collected data is transmitted to SilverPush's remote servers, building detailed logs of television content viewed, advertising exposure, and consumer profiling.
- Step 6: Advertisers use this data for hyper-targeted advertising, cross-device campaign synchronization, and behavioral analytics.

CRITICAL FINDING — FTC Confirmed

The software activates the device microphone even when users have not granted microphone permission to the app, and even when users are not actively using the application. No disclosure was given to users. This constitutes covert surveillance.

2.2 Product Portfolio Timeline

2012	Founded as SilverEdge Technologies; initial push notification advertising platform
2013	Launched push notification advertisement service
2014	Launched India's first DSP (Demand Side Platform); audio beacon technology deployed

2015	Claimed 67 apps using its SDK with audio beacons; began TV ad tracking covering 13,000+ ads across 400 channels daily
2016 (Post-FTC)	Officially announced ending Unique Audio Beacon service; however continued advertising the service on website as of March 21, 2016 — 4 days after announcement
2017	234 Android apps found by TU Braunschweig researchers to STILL use ultrasonic beacons — despite claimed discontinuation. UCL/UCSB/Polimi research demonstrated beacons can deanonymize Tor users.
2019 (April)	Launched 'Parallels' — real-time ad-sync with physical events
2019 (Nov)	Launched 'Mirrors' — AI/computer vision based in-video contextual ad targeting
2020	Launched 'Mirrors Safe' — brand safety platform
2022	Raised USD 12M Series C; expanded to Vietnam, Philippines, Malaysia
2024–2025	Continues global expansion; claims 'privacy-first' positioning while operating cross-device tracking via new AI methodologies

MODUS OPERANDI — PATTERN OF DECEPTION

SilverPush claimed to end audio beacon surveillance in March 2016. Yet: (1) they continued advertising it on their website days later; (2) researchers in 2017 found 234 apps STILL using it. This demonstrates a consistent pattern of making false public statements to regulators while continuing prohibited operations — a key element for fraud and deceptive practices charges.

2.3 Data Harvested — Categories

- Television viewing habits (what shows, what ads, what times)
- Precise geolocation data (current location, historical location, 2-month location history)
- Audio environment (via continuous background microphone access)
- WiFi network identifiers (BSSID/SSID — used to infer location even when GPS disabled)
- Cross-device behavioral profiles (linking phone, TV, tablet, computer activity to a single identity)
- Unique device identifiers (IDFA, Android Ad ID, IMEI-derived identifiers)
- Consumer behavioral patterns (purchase intent, ad exposure history)
- Children's data (collected in child-directed apps without parental consent — COPPA violation via affiliated company InMobi)

REGULATORY ACTIONS, FINES & WARNINGS

3.1 United States — FTC Warning Letters (March 17, 2016)

Issuing Authority: U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC), Bureau of Consumer Protection

Issued By: Maneesha Mithal, Associate Director, Division of Privacy and Identity Protection; Jessica Rich, Director, Bureau of Consumer Protection

Reference URL: <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2016/03/ftc-issues-warning-letters-app-developers-using-silverpush-code>

Nature of Warning:

- Warning letters sent to 12 Android app developers using SilverPush SDK
- Apps available on Google Play Store contained SilverPush audio beacon functionality with ZERO disclosure to users
- Apps required microphone permission with no evident functionality requiring it
- Software ran silently in background even when app was not in use
- Potential violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act (prohibition on unfair or deceptive acts or practices in commerce)

FTC Direct Quote — For Court Record

'These apps were capable of listening in the background and collecting information about consumers without notifying them.' — Jessica Rich, Director, FTC Bureau of Consumer Protection (March 17, 2016)

CRITICAL GAPS: (1) The FTC issued warnings to app DEVELOPERS but NOT directly to SilverPush itself. (2) No fine was imposed on SilverPush. (3) The FTC accepted SilverPush's self-reported claim that beacons were not embedded in US TV programming — but did not verify this independently. (4) The company was given the opportunity to self-regulate, which evidence shows they failed to do.

3.2 Prior Investigative Actions — Center for Democracy & Technology (CDT)

In October 2015, CDT submitted formal comments to the FTC regarding SilverPush's cross-device tracking. CDT stated: 'The only factor that hinders the receipt of an audio beacon by a device is distance and there is no way for the user to opt-out of this form of cross-device tracking.'

CDT's chief technologist Joe Hall noted: 'This kind of technology is fundamentally surreptitious in that it doesn't require consent; if it did require it then the number of users would drop.'

EPIC (Electronic Privacy Information Center) separately filed complaints with the FTC that precipitated the 2016 warning letters.

So am my

3.3 Academic Research Confirming Continued Operation

- November 2016: UCL (University College London), UCSB (Univ. of California Santa Barbara), and Politecnico di Milano researchers demonstrated that SilverPush uXDT technology could DEANONYMIZE Tor users — exposing activists, journalists, and whistleblowers. Published: 'Listening to Your TV: De-anonymizing Ultrasound Cross-Device Tracking'
- May 2017: Researchers from Technical University Braunschweig (Germany) discovered 234 Android apps still employing ultrasonic tracking beacons — AFTER SilverPush's claimed discontinuation. Apps were available on Google Play Store.
- This research constitutes independent verifiable evidence that SilverPush's 2016 public discontinuation announcement was false.

3.4 InMobi (Connected Company) — FTC Enforcement Action & Fine (June 2016)

InMobi Pte. Ltd. is a Singapore-based mobile advertising company with Indian origins (founded in Bangalore, India), operating parallel to SilverPush in the same adtech ecosystem.

Case Reference	FTC v. InMobi Pte. Ltd., N.D. Cal., 2016
Fine Imposed	USD 4 million civil penalty (suspended to USD 950,000 based on financial condition)
Violation 1	Deceptive location tracking of hundreds of millions of consumers including children WITHOUT consent — even when device privacy settings explicitly denied permission
Violation 2	COPPA (Children's Online Privacy Protection Act) violation — tracked children's geolocation in thousands of child-directed apps without parental consent
Scale	1+ billion devices reached; thousands of apps; 6 billion ad requests per day
Method	Used WiFi BSSID identifiers to triangulate precise location — bypassing iOS and Android location permission systems entirely
Remediation Order	20-year independent biennial privacy audits; delete all children's data; delete all unauthorized location data; prohibited from misrepresenting privacy practices
Court	U.S. District Court, Northern District of California
Filed By	U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of the FTC
Ref URL	https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2016/06/mobile-advertising-network-inmobi-settles-ftc-charges

INVESTIGATOR NOTE: InMobi represents the enforcement template for what SilverPush should have faced. Both companies: (a) are Singapore-registered with India operations; (b) harvested location/behavioral data covertly; (c) operated in thousands of apps simultaneously; (d) claimed compliance while violating it. InMobi was caught because a COPPA hook existed.

So am my

SilverPush avoided direct FTC enforcement by claiming US-market non-deployment — a claim that was never independently verified.

INDIA OPERATIONS & REGULATORY FAILURES

4.1 Entry Into India & Scale of Operations

- SilverPush was **FOUNDED** in India (Gurgaon, Haryana) in 2012 — not a foreign company entering India, but an Indian company that later obtained a Singapore parent structure
- The company obtained Singapore incorporation to attract global investment and benefit from Singapore's business-friendly environment while maintaining Indian operations
- As of 2018: 11 countries, 100+ clients, 100+ employees, covering 13,000+ ads across 400 channels per day
- Clients in India include BMW, CISCO, Volkswagen, Nestle, Domino's, Myntra, Samsung, Airtel
- The company was operating ultrasonic tracking in India from 2014 to at least 2017 — with NO equivalent of the FTC warning issued by any Indian regulatory body

4.2 Legal Framework Gaps — Why India Failed to Act (2012–2023)

Pre-2023: The Legal Vacuum

- India had no dedicated data protection law until 2023. The only applicable provision was Section 43A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (as amended 2008) — which imposed a 'reasonable security practices' standard that was never enforced against adtech companies.
- The IT (Amendment) Act 2008 and Sensitive Personal Data Rules 2011 were inadequate: they applied only to 'sensitive personal data' (defined narrowly) and provided for civil suits — not regulatory enforcement. No adtech company was ever prosecuted under these rules.
- India had no equivalent of the FTC — no sector-regulator with enforcement authority over adtech privacy violations.
- TRAI (Telecom Regulatory Authority of India) issued a 'Recommendations on Privacy, Security and Ownership of the Data in the Telecom Sector' in 2018 but had no enforcement power over app-based data collection.
- MeITY (Ministry of Electronics and IT) repeatedly deferred a data protection bill from 2017 onward — allowing a 6-year legislative gap during which SilverPush and InMobi operated freely in India.

The Timeline of India's Failed Legislative Attempts:

2017	Justice Srikrishna Committee constituted to draft data protection law (after Supreme Court's Puttaswamy privacy judgment)
2018	Personal Data Protection Bill draft released — never enacted

So am my

2019	PDP Bill 2019 introduced in Parliament — referred to Joint Parliamentary Committee (JPC)
2021	JPC submits report with 81 amendments — bill still not enacted
2022	Government WITHDREW the 2019 PDP Bill entirely in August 2022 — citing need for comprehensive revision
August 2023	Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act 2023 receives Presidential assent — FINALLY enacted. However, rules not notified.
Nov 2025	DPDP Rules 2025 notified by MeITY — Full compliance deadline: May 2027
TOTAL GAP	11+ years from first Supreme Court privacy recognition (2012) to enforceable data protection rules (2025). SilverPush operated during THIS ENTIRE PERIOD without regulatory challenge in India.

4.3 Rise of Cyber Crime Linked to Data Harvesting Ecosystem

India's cybercrime landscape saw dramatic escalation during the period SilverPush and similar adtech companies operated without oversight:

- Cybersecurity incidents in India increased from approximately 1.03 million in 2022 to 2.27 million in 2024 — a 120% increase (Source: DPDP Rules 2025 Compliance Analysis, Scrut.io)
- Behavioral data harvested by adtech SDKs — device IDs, location patterns, network identifiers, browsing behavior — is precisely the data used in targeted phishing, SIM-swap fraud, identity theft, and financial fraud
- The 'audience genome' profiles built by SilverPush represent comprehensive identity dossiers that, if breached or sold, enable criminals to craft highly personalized social engineering attacks
- India's 2022–2024 surge in 'cyber fraud' crimes (phone fraud, OTP fraud, loan app scams) correlates with the maturation of behavioral data ecosystems created by companies like SilverPush

HIGH RISK FINDING FOR INDIA

SilverPush's SDK was embedded in hundreds of apps used by Indian consumers. The ultrasonic beacon technology accessed microphones on devices belonging to Indian users — including in apps used by children — without any consent mechanism. This constitutes mass covert surveillance of Indian citizens. Between 2014 and 2023, there was NO Indian law that could have been invoked to prosecute this. Even today, enforcement is untested.

4.4 Why Government Policy Failed — Structural Analysis

- **LOBBYING CAPTURE:** The Indian adtech and digital advertising industry (MMA Global India, IMAI — Internet and Mobile Association of India) successfully lobbied for light-touch regulation. SilverPush CEO Hitesh Chawla is a regular MMA Global speaker.

So am my

- **JURISDICTION ARBITRAGE:** By registering the parent entity in Singapore while maintaining Indian operations, SilverPush created a structure where: Indian authorities could claim the Singapore entity is out of their jurisdiction; Singapore authorities could claim Indian operations are out of their remit.
- **REGULATORY SILOS:** MeITY (tech regulation), TRAI (telecom), CCI (competition), and SEBI (if listed) each have partial oversight but no single agency had comprehensive adtech enforcement authority.
- **NO WHISTLEBLOWER MECHANISM:** India lacked a mechanism for app users or researchers to formally report SDK-level covert data collection to any authority with power to investigate.
- **ENFORCEMENT CAPACITY GAP:** Indian law enforcement agencies lack dedicated digital forensics capacity to analyze SDK-level tracking — unlike the FTC which has technical staff capable of performing app analysis.
- **DPDP ACT LOOPHOLES:** Even the new DPDP Act 2023/Rules 2025 contain exemptions for 'legitimate uses' and 'national security' that are vaguely defined. The Data Protection Board of India has not yet demonstrated enforcement capacity.

PROSECUTION FRAMEWORK — ESTABLISHING MODUS OPERANDI

5.1 Elements Required for Prosecution

A. Covert Surveillance / Unauthorized Data Collection

- Applicable Law (India): Section 66 IT Act 2000 (computer-related offences); Section 43 IT Act (unauthorized access to computer); Section 72 IT Act (breach of confidentiality); after 2023: DPDP Act Sections 5, 6, 8
- Evidence: FTC documentation showing SDK accessed microphone without disclosure; 234 apps identified by TU Braunschweig in 2017; app code analysis by Kevin Finisterre (Digital Munition) published on GitHub
- Standard: Need to establish that (a) microphone access occurred, (b) without user consent, (c) data was transmitted, (d) SilverPush was the controller

B. Fraud / Deceptive Practices

- Applicable Law: Section 420 IPC (cheating); Section 468 IPC (forgery for purpose of cheating); Consumer Protection Act 2019
- Evidence: SilverPush's March 2016 public statement claiming discontinuation of audio beacons vs. continued advertising of the service on their website; 2017 research showing 234 apps STILL using beacons; app developer representations to users that apps did not conduct surveillance

C. Privacy Violation

- Applicable Law (Post-2023): DPDP Act 2023 Section 5 (consent), Section 6 (notice), Section 8 (obligation on data fiduciary); Section 9 (children's data — age 18 in India vs. 13 in US)
- Penalty: Up to INR 250 crore per violation under DPDP Act

5.2 Verifiable Sources for Court Use

FTC Warning Letters (2016)	https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2016/03/ftc-issues-warning-letters-app-developers-using-silverpush-code
FTC Sample Warning Letter	https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/attachments/press-releases/ftc-issues-warning-letters-app-developers-using-silverpush-code/160317samplesilverpushltr.pdf
InMobi FTC Settlement	https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2016/06/mobile-advertising-network-inmobi-settles-ftc-charges-it-tracked-hundreds-millions-consumers

So am my

MCA Company Record	Ministry of Corporate Affairs — CIN: U72900DL2012PTC242716 — https://www.mca.gov.in
Bloomberg LEI Record	https://lei.bloomberg.com/leis/view/984500C56C670FBD0484
FOIA Records (App List)	https://altmode.org/2016/07/06/the-ftc-silverpush-warning-letters/ (lists apps via FTC FOIA response)
Security Affairs Technical	https://securityaffairs.com/42129/hacking/silverpush-technology.html (technical SDK analysis)
Wikipedia / Academic	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SilverPush (citations to UCL/UCSB/TU Braunschweig research)
CDT FTC Comments (2015)	Center for Democracy and Technology — FTC Cross-Device Tracking Workshop submission, October 2015
JM Financial Press Release	https://jmfl.com/media-center/press-release-detail-home?id=1104 (confirms INR 950M Series C, director Siddharth Kothari)
DPDP Rules 2025	https://egazette.gov.in/ — G.S.R. 846(E), notified November 13–14, 2025
Tracxn — Corporate Data	https://tracxn.com/d/legal-entities/india/silveredge-technologies-private-limited (directors, GST, shareholders)
Zauba Corp — Directors	https://www.zaubacorp.com/SILVEREDGE-TECHNOLOGIES-PRIVATE-LIMITED-U72900DL2012PTC242716

5.3 Recommended Investigation Steps

- STEP 1: Obtain all MCA filings for CIN U72900DL2012PTC242716 — annual returns, director KYC, shareholder registry, charge documents
- STEP 2: Issue preservation notices to Google LLC (Play Store) for all versions of apps carrying SilverPush SDK from 2014–2017
- STEP 3: Request FTC FOIA records for the 12 app developers warned in 2016 — names were released via FOIA to Altmode.org researcher
- STEP 4: Conduct forensic analysis of SilverPush SDK versions available on GitHub and archived repositories to confirm beacon functionality post-2016
- STEP 5: Issue legal process to SilverPush/SilverEdge Technologies for server logs, data processing agreements, SDK distribution records
- STEP 6: Contact Technical University Braunschweig (Germany) for the 234-app dataset from their 2017 research — check Indian apps in the list
- STEP 7: Examine cross-directorships — Siddharth Kothari (JM Financial) sits on Silveredge board; examine disclosure obligations
- STEP 8: File complaint with Data Protection Board of India (now operational as of Nov 2025) under DPDP Act for current ongoing violations
- STEP 9: Examine Singapore entity through MAS (Monetary Authority of Singapore) and PDPC (Personal Data Protection Commission Singapore) which has enforcement authority over Singapore-registered entities
- STEP 10: Cross-reference India cybercrime complaint database (cybercrime.gov.in) for any complaints naming SilverPush or affiliated apps

So am m

PROSECUTORIAL STRATEGY NOTE

The strongest available angle for immediate action is the DPDP Act 2023/Rules 2025 — now fully operative. SilverPush's current 'Mirrors' platform still conducts AI-based content analysis of video being viewed, combined with behavioral profiling. Under DPDP Rules, this requires: (1) a standalone consent notice, (2) specific purpose disclosure, (3) data minimization compliance. The company must comply by May 2027 deadline but can be subjected to complaint-driven investigation NOW. A formal complaint to the Data Protection Board of India with evidence of ongoing non-consensual profiling could initiate India's first adtech privacy enforcement action.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR PROSECUTION

This dossier establishes the following for investigative purposes:

1	IDENTITY CONFIRMED: Silverpush is legally 'Silveredge Technologies Pvt. Ltd.' (CIN: U72900DL2012PTC242716), incorporated India 25 Sept 2012, HQ Gurgaon, with Singapore parent entity. Founder: Hitesh Chawla (IIT Delhi). Current directors on record.
2	COVERT SURVEILLANCE CONFIRMED: SilverPush SDK activated device microphones without user knowledge or consent; operated in background; detected inaudible ultrasonic beacons; transmitted behavioral data to remote servers. Confirmed by FTC (2016) and multiple academic studies.
3	DECEPTION CONFIRMED: Public discontinuation announcement (March 2016) contradicted by (a) continued website advertising of the service, (b) 234 apps still found using beacons in 2017. Pattern of false regulatory statements established.
4	REGULATORY FAILURE DOCUMENTED: India had no enforceable data protection law from 2012 to 2023 — an 11-year gap. SilverPush operated ultrasonic surveillance in India with ZERO regulatory challenge. India's failure was structural, legislative, and capacity-based.
5	JURISDICTION PATH: MCA (India) for corporate compliance, Data Protection Board of India (operational Nov 2025) for DPDP Act violations, PDPC (Singapore) for Singapore entity, FTC model serves as evidentiary template for prosecution strategy.

All sources cited in this dossier are publicly verifiable through official government records (MCA, FTC, Bloomberg LEI, court documents) and peer-reviewed academic publications. This dossier is intended to support the work of authorized law enforcement and investigative officers in establishing a prosecutable case against digital adtech companies engaging in covert data harvesting operations.

So am my

ANNEXURE A-4

THREE-COMPANY DEEP FORENSIC ANALYSIS:
MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED
GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED
DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED

Linked to: Chinese Loan App Networks | Digital Arrest | AdTech Surveillance | NBFC Shell Structure | 2012–2026

PARAMETER	DETAIL
Document Classification	FORENSIC EVIDENCE — ARTICLE 32 JURISDICTION — SUPREME COURT RECORD
Prepared by	Nitish Kumar National Cyber Security Scholar RRU-ISAC Cert. No. 00112
Whistleblower Status	On Record: NSA, MHA, MeitY (Warnings submitted 2016–2026)
Filed Before	Supreme Court of India — SMW (CrI.) No. 3/2025
Date	March 2026 New Delhi
Legal Status	Research Assistance Only

CRITICAL NOTE: Madhuri Instalment Private Limited has returned zero public records on MCA / company search portals as of March 2026. The complete forensic section for this entity is built from NBFC regulatory pattern analysis, High-Risk NBFC list (FIU-IND), and the framework established by the other two companies. Where specific records are not available for Madhuri Instalment, the Intervenor requests this Court to direct MCA and RBI to produce all registration records, filing history, and associated director DIN numbers under Article 32 disclosure.

So am my

AUTHENTICATED COMPANY PROFILES — MCA & RBI RECORDS

1.1 COMPANY A: DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED

Corporate Identity Record

FIELD	AUTHENTICATED DETAIL	SOURCE
Corporate Name	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED	MCA Portal
Former Name	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE PRIVATE LIMITED	MCA/Zaubacorp
CIN	U74899DL1995PLC066810	MCA Portal (Public Record)
Registration Number	066810	RoC-Delhi
Date of Incorporation	27 March 1995	MCA Portal
Company Type	Public Limited Company (converted from Private)	MCA/FileSure
Registered at	Registrar of Companies, RoC-Delhi	MCA
NIC Code	74 — Other Business Activities	MCA
RBI NBFC Registration No.	B_14.02719	BharatLoan website + Google Play Store listing
RBI NBFC Registration Date	October 2002	bharatloan.com/about-us
Authorised Share Capital	Rs. 53,00,000 (Rs. 53 Lakhs)	MCA Master Data
Paid-Up Capital	Rs. 52,45,000 (Rs. 52.45 Lakhs)	MCA Master Data
FY 2024 Revenue	Rs. 32.9 Crore	Tracxn/Company Check
FY 2023 Revenue Growth	4091.52% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY 2023 Profit Growth	885.57% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
Ownership Structure	Founders 2.28% Angels 9.12% Enterprises 79.47% Others 9.12%	Tracxn

Registered Address History — Multiple Addresses on Record (Red Flag)

ADDRESS VERSION	ADDRESS	SOURCE
Version 1 (Early)	B-4/71A, Lawrence Road, Delhi DL 110035	ClearTax public data
Version 2	1689/121, 3rd Floor, Shanti Nagar, Tri Nagar, New Delhi, North Delhi DL 110035	IndiaFilings / Tofler
Version 3 (Current)	3rd Floor, Plot No. 68, Okhla Industrial Area Phase-3, Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi, Delhi 110020	Zaubacorp / FileSure / TheCompanyCheck
BharatLoan App Office	1st Floor Side-B, Plot No. 498, Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram, Haryana 122016	Google Play Store BharatLoan listing

So am my

ADDRESS VERSION	ADDRESS	SOURCE
devmunifinance.com says:	"Established in 2023" (despite 1995 incorporation)	devmunifinance.com website (archived)

RED FLAG — MULTIPLE ADDRESSES: Three different addresses appear in public records for the same company. The BharatLoan app lists a Gurugram office entirely separate from the three Delhi addresses. The company website states 'Established in 2023' despite being incorporated in 1995. This inconsistency in public-facing information is a forensic indicator of shell company characteristics under ED investigation guidelines.

Director History — Changes Post-2016

DIRECTOR NAME	STATUS	PERIOD	SIGNIFICANCE
Mohammad Shabbir	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter; removed or resigned before 2023 restructuring
Rajender Singh	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter
Yogesh Kumar	Past Director	Pre-2023	Original promoter: all three original directors replaced
Kuldeep (surname absent)	Current Director	Post-2023	New director; surname missing in multiple records = identity opacity
Rajesh Raja	Current Director	Appointed 29 July 2023	Appointed during rapid revenue growth period
Sumender Singh	Additional Director	Appointed Dec 2024	Most recent appointment during peak BharatLoan growth
Anoop Singh	Past Director	Between original and current directors	Transitional period director

FORENSIC FINDING — DIRECTOR REPLACEMENT PATTERN: All three original promoter-directors (Mohammad Shabbir, Rajender Singh, Yogesh Kumar) were replaced before or during 2023. The new directors (Kuldeep — single name; Rajesh Raja) arrived simultaneously with: (a) the company's reactivation as a digital lending platform; (b) 4091% revenue growth in FY2023; (c) launch of BharatLoan app. This pattern — original promoters replaced by new management immediately before massive revenue escalation — matches the 'dummy director takeover' model documented in ED investigations of Chinese loan app shells.

The Critical Dormancy Gap: 2016–2022

Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited received its RBI NBFC Certificate of Registration in October 2002. For the next 13 years (2002–2015), the company operated as a traditional leasing

So am my

and finance entity with minimal public footprint. The forensic evidence establishes a DORMANCY PERIOD from approximately 2016 to 2022:

- MCA records: No significant AGM or filing activity visible for the 2016–2021 period in publicly available data.
- FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (dated 27-02-2018): Devmuni Leasing & Finance Ltd. appears on this list — flagged for 'non-compliance with PMLA and PML Rules, i.e. non-registration of Principal Officer (PO).' Source: FIU-IND official PDF (fiuindia.gov.in).
- The FIU-IND designation as 'High-Risk NBFC' means as of February 2018, Devmuni was non-compliant with the Financial Intelligence Unit's mandatory anti-money laundering requirements. A compliant NBFC must designate and register a Principal Officer with FIU-IND under PMLA 2002.
- Revenue data for FY2023 shows 4091.52% growth — mathematically impossible if the company was actively lending throughout 2020–2022. This revenue spike confirms: the company was effectively dormant and then suddenly activated at massive scale.
- AppBrain data: Devmuni's apps on Google Play Store show 'active since 2023' — confirming the digital lending business began in 2023, not 2002.
- The Tracxn/company data states BharatLoan 'was founded in 2012' — but the app only went on Play Store in 2023. This 11-year gap is unexplained.

REGULATORY SMOKING GUN: The FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (27 Feb 2018) lists Devmuni Leasing & Finance Ltd. as non-compliant with PMLA. This is not a minor compliance gap — failure to register a Principal Officer with FIU-IND means: (a) the company was not reporting suspicious transactions to the Financial Intelligence Unit; (b) all financial transactions between 2003 and at least 2018 were conducted without the mandatory PMLA anti-money-laundering oversight; (c) if the company was used as a money transit vehicle during this period, there would be no FIU record of those transactions. This is the precisely the regulatory gap exploited by Chinese loan app networks.

Digital Lending Operations — BharatLoan & Loan112

PARAMETER	BHARATLOAN	LOAN112	FORENSIC CONCERN
Developer	DEVMUNI LEASING & FINANCE LIMITED	DEVMUNI LEASING & FINANCE LIMITED	Same NBFC = single regulated entity; dual apps multiply data collection
Google Play Installs	5 million+ (combined)	500,000+	Scale: 5 million+ Indian users' KYC data held by dormant-turned-active NBFC
Active Since (Play Store)	2023	2023	Both launched same year as revenue exploded 4091%
Data Safety Declaration	'Developer says app does not collect or share any user data'	Unknown	CONTRADICTS the loan process which requires PAN + Aadhaar + bank statement + photo — all sensitive personal data under DPDP Act

So am my

PARAMETER	BHARATLOAN	LOAN112	FORENSIC CONCERN
Interest Rate (APR)	35% p.a. (fixed, claimed)	Not disclosed publicly	35% APR is exactly at the RBI's prescribed maximum for digital lending
Loan Tenure	61–365 days	Short-term	Previous Chinese loan apps used 7–15-day tenures; shift to 61+ days = post-RBI-crackdown compliance window dressing
RBI Registration Claimed	Certificate No. B_14.02719 (since Oct 2002)	Same parent entity	2002 registration + 20-year dormancy + 2023 reactivation = legal cover for new digital operation
Gurugram Office	Plot 498, Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram	Not listed	Udyog Vihar = major hub for Chinese-linked tech and finance operations in India

AdTech & Data Link Analysis — Devmuni / BharatLoan

The following forensic analysis maps the data collection and transmission chain for Devmuni's digital lending operations:

DATA POINT	COLLECTION METHOD	LIKELY TRANSMISSION PATH	CHINESE LOAN APP PARALLEL
PAN Card	Mandatory upload at onboarding	Stored on cloud servers; vendor unknown — Scienaptic Credit BRE Platform disclosed as partner (Sep 2024)	Chinese apps: PAN used for KYC + identity duplication
Aadhaar + Address	Mandatory KYC upload	Scienaptic + internal servers; cross-border transfer rules = DPDP Phase 3 (2027)	Aadhaar data = core of digital clone profile
Bank Statement (3 months)	Mandatory PDF upload	Account Aggregator framework (Scienaptic integration confirmed Sep 2024)	Transaction history = maximum extractable amount determination
Phone Number + Contacts	App permission (Android)	Play Store listing claims 'no data collected' — technically impossible for a KYC loan app	Chinese apps: contacts = social shaming network for default coercion
Location	GPS permission	Play Store 'no data' claim contradicts loan operations requiring address verification	InMobi SDK: location tracking enabled in NBFC apps without user awareness
Behavioral data (browsing, app usage)	Via third-party SDKs embedded in app	Cannot be determined without APK forensic analysis	SilverPush/InMobi SDKs found in 234 apps — NBFC lending apps equally at risk

UNANSWERABLE QUESTION FOR DEVMUNI: The BharatLoan Play Store data safety declaration states the app does not collect or share user data. The loan process requires: PAN, Aadhaar, bank statement, photograph, and address proof — all of which are Sensitive Personal Data under DPDP Act 2023 Section 2. Either: (a) the data safety declaration is false — a violation of Google's Play Store policies and IT Act Section 43A; or (b) the loan processing occurs entirely without storing any applicant data — which is technically impossible and would violate RBI's digital lending KYC requirements. This Court should direct a forensic APK audit of BharatLoan and Loan112 to determine actual data flows.

So am m

1.2 COMPANY B: GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED

Corporate Identity Record

FIELD	AUTHENTICATED DETAIL	SOURCE
Corporate Name	GIRDHAR FINLEASE PRIVATE LIMITED	MCA Portal
CIN	U74899DL1983PTC014960	MCA Portal (Public Record)
Registration Number	014960	RoC-Delhi
Date of Incorporation	10 January 1983	MCA Portal — India's pre-liberalisation era
Company Type	Private Limited Company	MCA
Registered at	Registrar of Companies, RoC-Delhi	MCA
NIC Code	74 — Other Business Activities	MCA (same as Devmuni)
Company Age	43 years (incorporated pre-liberalisation)	MCA
Authorised Share Capital	Rs. 3,50,00,000 (Rs. 3.5 Crore)	FalconEbiz/MCA
Paid-Up Capital	Rs. 3,26,09,700 (Rs. 3.26 Crore)	Zaubacorp/MCA
FY2024 Revenue Growth	239.88% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY2024 Profit Growth	914.51% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
FY2024 Net Worth Growth	84.27% increase	TheCompanyCheck.com
Last AGM	30 September 2025	MCA/TheCompanyCheck
Balance Sheet Filed	31 March 2025	MCA/TheCompanyCheck
Current Status	Active — Compliant	MCA Master Data

Registered Address History — Multiple Addresses (Red Flag #2)

VERSION	ADDRESS	SOURCE
Version 1 (Early, pre-2020s)	Flat No-329, DDA Flats, Paschim Vihar, Delhi	Planetexim.net
Version 2	Unit No. 505C, D-Mall, Netaji Subhash Place, Pitampura, New Delhi, North West Delhi 110034	ClearTax public record
Version 3 (Current)	106 Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi, Delhi 110001	Zaubacorp / FileSure / Tofler / FalconEbiz (all agree)
Email (Version A)	girdharfinlease246@gmail.com	FalconEbiz
Email (Version B)	cherishmanagement@gmail.com	ClearTax

So am my

RED FLAG — TWO DIFFERENT EMAIL DOMAINS: A 43-year-old NBFC has two separate Gmail addresses associated with it in public records — one using the company name (girdharfinlease246@gmail.com) and one named 'cherishermanagement' (cherishermanagement@gmail.com). 'Cherisher Management' is a named management entity associated with this address. This dual-identity pattern suggests: different parties are managing the company's public records vs. its operational identity. A legitimate 43-year-old NBFC would have a corporate email domain — not Gmail. This is a standard indicator in ED investigations of shell companies.

Director History — The Suspicious Transition

DIRECTOR	STATUS	PERIOD	SIGNIFICANCE
Jeet Girdhar	Past Director (Founder Family)	1983 — pre-2010s	Founding family director; company named after Girdhar family
Lekh Raj Bajaj	Past Director	Mid-period	Second-generation or associated director
Jyoti Jindal	Past Director	Mid-period — departed before current directors	Female director; departed during transition period
Sandeep Kumar Garg	Current Director (Active)	Appointed 19 July 2022	New surname: Garg — not Girdhar. Company named 'Girdhar Finlease' but no director named Girdhar remains. MCA confirmed appointment date: 19 Jul 2022.
Kashish Garg	Current Director (Active)	Appointed 30 September 2022	Same surname as Sandeep Garg — family pair; appointed 2 months after Sandeep. Girdhar founding family entirely replaced by Garg family. Both Garg directors in place by September 2022 — exactly when digital lending operations began.

FORENSIC FINDING — COMPLETE FAMILY REPLACEMENT: A 43-year-old company named 'Girdhar Finlease' — presumably founded by the Girdhar family — now has no director with the surname Girdhar. The founding family has been entirely replaced by the Garg family (Sandeep Kumar Garg + Kashish Garg). This directorial replacement coincides with: 239.88% revenue growth in FY2024 and 914.51% profit growth in the same year. A company dormant or minimally active for decades does not achieve 914% profit growth organically. This pattern precisely matches the 'NBFC shell acquisition' model: acquire a dormant but RBI-registered NBFC, replace directors, use the RBI registration as cover for new financial operations.

CONFIRMED: Girdhar Finlease Digital Lending Apps — 30DaysLoan, FundsMama & More

The Girdhar Finlease website (girdharfinlease.com) confirmed the following facts as of March 2026 — directly from the company's own public domain:

APP/PLATFORM	DETAILS FROM GIRDHAR'S OWN WEBSITE	FORENSIC SIGNIFICANCE
30DaysLoan (Google Play + App Store)	'We partner with 30DaysLoan to provide lending solutions and smooth repayment flows.' Google Play: Developer = Girdhar Finlease Pvt Ltd. APR: 35% fixed. Tenure: 1–3	Same KYC data package as BharatLoan. Same 35% APR. Same structure. Two different NBFCs running near-identical operations.

Sandeep Garg

APP/PLATFORM	DETAILS FROM GIRDHAR'S OWN WEBSITE	FORENSIC SIGNIFICANCE
	years. Loan: Rs.10,000–2,00,000. Processing fee: 2% + 18% GST. Documents: PAN, bank statements 3 months, salary slips, ID.	
FundsMama (iOS App Store)	'FundsMama helps with flexible personal loans and quick approvals.' Developer: Girdhar Finlease Pvt Ltd. Launched August 13, 2024. 1 lakh users. 23MB app.	Launched 2024 — after RBI's 2022 Digital Lending Framework. Timing = post-framework launch to appear compliant while continuing same data collection model.
30DaysLoan website claims	'Operating since 2012' / '1,000,000 loans disbursed' / '800,000+ satisfied customers' / 'Rs.150 Cr+ Amount Disbursed'	Company incorporated 1983 but current directors only appointed July–September 2022. 'Operating since 2012' with no digital app presence until 2022 = fabricated operational history to build trust.
Data Safety Declaration (Apple App Store)	'The developer does not collect any data from this app' — for BOTH 30DaysLoan and FundsMama apps by Girdhar Finlease	IDENTICAL FALSE DECLARATION as BharatLoan (Devmuni). Two separate NBFCs making the identical false claim. Loan processing requires: PAN, Aadhaar equivalent, bank statements, salary slips, photo ID, location (mandatory for Video KYC). ZERO data collection is technically impossible.
Location Access — Mandatory	App Store: 'Allow Location Access (Required for Video KYC verification)' — location is MANDATORY, not optional	If location is mandatory for KYC: all 15 lakh+ borrowers' precise location was collected. This directly contradicts the 'no data collected' declaration. Violation: IT Act Section 43A + DPDP Act Section 4.
Email for complaints	info@30daysloan.com and grievance@fundsmama.com — generic domain emails	No corporate email under 'girdharfinlease.com' domain for complaints. Borrowers' grievances routed to platform emails — not the NBFC's registered email.

DEVASTATING FORENSIC FINDING — COORDINATED FALSE DECLARATION: Both Devmuni Leasing (BharatLoan/Loan112) AND Girdhar Finlease (30DaysLoan/FundsMama) have declared on Apple App Store and Google Play Store that their loan apps 'do not collect any data.' Both NBFCs are running virtually identical operations — same APR (35%), same processing fee structure (2% + GST), same KYC documents required, same short-tenure small-ticket lending model, same post-2022 launch date. The probability of two independent NBFCs making the identical false declaration using the identical structure is near-zero without coordination. This Court should direct Google India and Apple India to produce all developer registration records, account details, and KYC submitted by both Devmuni and Girdhar when registering as Play Store/App Store developers.

The Kasturba Gandhi Marg Address — Forensic Significance

The current registered address — 106 Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi 110001 — is in the heart of Connaught Place, Central Delhi, one of India's most prestigious commercial addresses. The forensic significance:

So am my

- A genuine NBFC leasing company with Rs. 3.26 Crore paid-up capital does not organically afford Connaught Place office space. This address is used as a 'prestige address' by hundreds of shell companies registered at accommodation address services.
- The NIC code 74 (Other Business Activities) is the same as Devmuni Leasing — a generic classification used by companies that do not want to declare their specific financial activities.
- 'Surya Kiran Building, 19 Kasturba Gandhi Marg' appears in multiple shell company investigations as a 'virtual office' address commonly used by financial entities wanting a prestigious Central Delhi address without a physical presence.
- The 'cherishmanagement@gmail.com' email suggests a management company — Cherisher Management — is handling the NBFC's operations. This 'management company within management company' structure is a classic layering technique under PMLA investigations.

RBI COMPLIANCE STATUS: As of 2025, Girdhar Finlease has filed its balance sheet for FY2025 and held AGM on September 30, 2025 — indicating active compliance. However, the period 2016–2022 needs investigation: MCA records do not show clear evidence of annual filings during this gap period. The 239.88% revenue growth in FY2024 suggests, like Devmuni, a reactivation pattern after a dormancy period.

1.3 COMPANY C: MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED

Available Public Record Status

SEARCH PLATFORM	RESULT FOR 'MADHURI INSTALMENT PRIVATE LIMITED'	SIGNIFICANCE
MCA Portal (via web search)	Zero results returned	Entity either: (a) struck off; (b) name changed; (c) never existed under this exact name; or (d) data not indexed
ZaubaCorp	Zero results	Same as above
Tofler	Zero results	Same as above
RBI NBFC List (2008 PDF)	Not found in deposit-accepting NBFC list	Entity may have been non-deposit NBFC or unregistered
FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC PDF	Search pending — the PDF lists thousands of companies	Requires manual review of 4000+ page FIU document
Google/Bing search	Zero results for this exact company name	No web presence, no filing, no news — atypical for any active NBFC

Closest Match Found: Madhuri Enterprises Private Limited — Possible Connection

While 'Madhuri Instalment Private Limited' returns zero direct results, a closely related entity — Madhuri Enterprises Private Limited — appears in Delhi MCA records with a forensically significant profile:

FIELD	MADHURI ENTERPRISES PRIVATE LIMITED	FORENSIC COMPARISON TO DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR
CIN	U74899DL1996PTC077524	Same NIC code 74899 as BOTH Devmuni (U74899DL1995PLC066810) and Girdhar (U74899DL1983PTC014960). All three: Delhi, NIC 74899.
Incorporation Date	March 25, 1996	1996 — same generation as Devmuni (1995) and close to Girdhar (1983). Pre-2002 NBFC generation.
Address	H.N. 75, Road No. 42, West Punjabi Bagh, Near Central Market, West Delhi, New Delhi 110026	West Delhi — different from Devmuni's North Delhi and Girdhar's Central Delhi. Three-location Delhi network.
Status	Active (as of 2024)	Active — not struck off
Revenue FY2024	Rs. 19.2 Lakh (minimal)	VERY LOW revenue — classic dormant NBFC profile. Devmuni pre-2022 was also minimal; 4091% growth came after reactivation.
AGM	August 22, 2024	Compliant filing — maintaining active status
NIC Code	U74899 — Other Business Activities	Identical NIC code cluster with Devmuni and Girdhar

FORENSIC HYPOTHESIS — NIC 74899 DELHI CLUSTER: Three confirmed entities — Devmuni (1995), Girdhar (1983), Madhuri Enterprises (1996) — all share: (a) Delhi incorporation; (b) NIC code U74899 (Other Business Activities); (c) pre-2002 registration vintage; (d) minimal activity for long periods followed by sudden reactivation. This NIC code

So am my

cluster is used by companies that do not want to declare specific financial activities. The 'Madhuri Instalment' name provided by the Intervenor may refer to: (i) Madhuri Enterprises Private Limited operating under a trade name; (ii) a struck-off entity that no longer appears in active search results; or (iii) a company registered under a slightly different name. This Court should direct RoC-Delhi to search all Delhi-registered companies with 'Madhuri' in the name that are in the NBFC/finance sector.

INTERVENOR REQUEST TO COURT: Madhuri Instalment Private Limited has returned zero public records across all available company search databases. The Intervenor requests this Court to direct the Registrar of Companies (RoC-Delhi) and the RBI to: (a) confirm whether this entity was ever registered; (b) produce all filing records if registered; (c) confirm whether it was struck off or name-changed and under what circumstances; (d) provide all director DIN numbers associated with this entity. Non-appearance in public records of a company that is known to the Intervenor is itself forensically significant — it may indicate the entity was struck off to avoid regulatory scrutiny, which is a pattern documented in Chinese loan app shell company investigations.

Forensic Analysis Based on Naming Pattern

Even without confirmed registration records, the name 'Madhuri Instalment Private Limited' carries forensic significance:

- 'Instalment' as a company name element is characteristic of 1980s-1990s era hire-purchase and consumer finance companies — the same generation as Girdhar Finlease (1983) and Devmuni (1995). This suggests incorporation in the pre-liberalisation or early liberalisation era.
- Pre-2000 NBFCs acquired RBI certificates before the 2002 mandatory re-registration requirement under Section 45-IA of the RBI Act. If Madhuri Instalment received a CoR before 2002, it may have a dormant but technically valid RBI registration — exactly the regulatory cover needed for a digital lending platform launch.
- The Delhi pattern: both confirmed companies (Devmuni and Girdhar) are registered in Delhi at RoC-Delhi. If Madhuri Instalment is also Delhi-registered, it forms part of a Delhi-based NBFC cluster with similar NIC code 74 classification.
- Companies with 'Instalment' in their name frequently appear in the FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list — 'Ajanta Instalments Ltd.' and 'Ambica Instalments Ltd.' both appear on the list. This naming pattern correlates with PMLA non-compliance.

COURT DIRECTION REQUIRED: Without MCA records, this Court is the only authority that can compel production of Madhuri Instalment Private Limited's complete corporate history under Article 32. The Intervenor's knowledge of this entity requires explanation — it was brought to the Intervenor's attention through cybercrime investigation and whistleblower networks, suggesting it may be connected to financial operations currently under or warranting law enforcement scrutiny.

THREE-COMPANY FORENSIC PATTERN ANALYSIS

2.1 The Shell NBFC Acquisition Model — Common Architecture

The forensic examination of Devmuni and Girdhar (with Madhuri Instalment as the probable third example) reveals a common operational model. This model — the 'Dormant NBFC Reactivation' or 'NBFC Shell Acquisition' — has been documented by the Enforcement Directorate in multiple Chinese loan app investigations (2020–2025):

STAGE	WHAT HAPPENS	DEVUNI EVIDENCE	GIRDHAR EVIDENCE
Stage 1: Selection	Identify dormant NBFC with valid RBI CoR — preferably incorporated pre-2002 under old regime	Incorporated 1995; CoR from Oct 2002; dormant by 2016	Incorporated 1983; 40+ years old; minimal public activity for decades
Stage 2: Acquisition	Acquire controlling stake via share transfer; replace all founding directors with new management	All three founding directors (Shabbir, Rajender, Yogesh) replaced by new management in 2023	Entire founding Girdhar family replaced by Garg family; no continuity of founding ownership
Stage 3: Reactivation	Begin digital lending under existing RBI registration; no new RBI application required	BharatLoan + Loan112 launched 2023; website claims 'established in 2023'	239.88% revenue + 914.51% profit growth in single year suggests large-scale new operations
Stage 4: Data Collection	Collect KYC data (Aadhaar, PAN, bank, photo, contacts) under guise of loan processing	5 million+ installs; Play Store 'no data collected' claim contradicts KYC requirements	Revenue scale requires large borrower base; each borrower = complete KYC profile collected
Stage 5: Regulatory Opacity	Multiple registered addresses; Gmail accounts instead of corporate email; minimal compliance footprint	4 different addresses across 3 cities; website '2023 established' claim	2 email addresses; 3 address versions; Connaught Place 'virtual office' pattern
Stage 6: Exploitation	Use RBI CoR as legal shield; operate at scale; data transmitted; proceeds laundered via mule accounts / crypto	B_14.02719 CoR from 2002 = unimpeachable RBI registration claim	U74899DL1983PTC014960 = 43-year-old company with impeccable incorporation date

FORENSIC CONCLUSION — COMMON ARCHITECTURE: Both Devmuni Leasing and Girdhar Finlease display the complete 6-stage 'Dormant NBFC Shell' pattern. Both show: (a) pre-2000 incorporation; (b) complete director replacement; (c) sudden massive revenue growth after transition; (d) multiple conflicting addresses; (e) non-corporate email addresses. The only significant difference is: Devmuni has a publicly visible digital lending brand (BharatLoan) while Girdhar's operations are less publicly visible — suggesting Girdhar may be operating as a backend NBFC (receiving-end of the money chain) rather than a consumer-facing platform. This is also consistent with ED's documented observation that Chinese loan app operations use one NBFC as the consumer-facing lender and another as the fund-receiving entity.

2.2 The Dormancy-Reactivation Timeline Against the Criminal Ecosystem

So am my

YEAR	NATIONAL ECOSYSTEM EVENT	CRIMINAL	DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR STATUS	REGULATORY CONTEXT
1983	Girdhar Finlease incorporated — Delhi	—	Founding year; traditional hire-purchase business	Pre-liberalisation; no NBFC regulation
1995	Devmuni Leasing incorporated — Delhi	—	Founding year; traditional leasing	Companies Act 1956 regime
2002	RBI NBFC mandatory re-registration		Devmuni receives CoR B_14.02719 in October 2002	Section 45-IA RBI Act 1934 — all NBFCs must register
2012	Jamtara phishing operations begin; BPO-era data theft industrialised		Both companies appear dormant or minimally active	IT Act 2000 — no data protection law
2013	CERT-In Rules notified; PMLA reporting requirements for NBFCs		Devmuni PMLA non-compliant (FIU-IND, 2018)	PMLA 2002 — Principal Officer registration required
2014–2016	Aadhaar data accessible via open API; KYC data begins flowing to criminal networks		Both companies dormant; no digital lending activity	Aadhaar Act 2016 passed; no breach SOP
2016	Chinese loan app operations enter India; SpyLoan SDK developed		Devmuni: FIU-IND flags as High-Risk NBFC in 2018 for 2016+ non-compliance	RBI's 'Guidelines on Fair Practices Code for NBFCs' inadequate for digital era
2018	FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list published: Devmuni Leasing listed for PMLA non-compliance		Devmuni confirmed non-compliant with FIU-IND PO registration	FIU-IND enforcement action: list published but prosecution rare
2019–2021	Chinese loan apps peak: ED cases Rs. 719 crore, Rs. 4900 crore		Both companies transition begins: directors replaced	RBI digital lending guidelines — inadequate for scale
2022	RBI issues Digital Lending Framework (Aug 2022); tightens loan app rules		Director replacement accelerates; 'new management' takes over shell NBFCs	New framework creates compliance window — shell NBFCs restructure to appear compliant
2023	BharatLoan and Loan112 launched under Devmuni; 4091% revenue spike		Devmuni: full reactivation; Girdhar: large-scale financial activity begins	DPDP Act passed Aug 2023 — but not operational
2024	Digital arrest losses: Rs. 120 crore Q1 alone; Devmuni 5M app installs		Girdhar: 239% revenue + 914% profit in FY2024	DPDP enforcement Phase 3: deferred to 2027
2025–2026	SC declares Digital Dacoity; Rs. 54,000 crore total losses		Both companies continue operating; Madhuri Instalment records unavailable	SC proceedings: SMW 3/2025 — this case

VERIFIED LINKS TO CHINESE LOAN APP NETWORK & DIGITAL ARREST CHAIN

3.1 The NBFC-as-Laundry-Vehicle Architecture

The ED's documented cases establish the mechanism by which Chinese loan app principals use Indian NBFCs:

OPERATION STEP	CHINESE LOAN APP STANDARD MODEL	DEVMUNI/GIRDHAR APPLICATION
1. KYC Collection	App collects: Aadhaar, PAN, bank, contacts, photos under 'KYC' pretext	BharatLoan: requires PAN, Aadhaar, bank statement, photo. Play Store claim 'no data collected' = false.
2. Data Transmission	KYC data transmitted to Chinese servers via Singapore relay	BharatLoan partners with Scienaptic (US-based credit platform) — cross-border data transfer to US servers; DPD rules don't apply until 2027
3. Loan Disbursement	Small loans disbursed; 20-30% fee deducted upfront; high interest rate	BharatLoan: Rs. 10,000–1,20,000; 35% APR; 2% processing fee; net disbursement always less than headline amount
4. Default Extraction	Engineered default; contact list used to shame borrowers	App holds contacts access; social shaming documented in Delhi HC 2026 NBFC harassment case
5. Money Collection	Multiple mule accounts receive repayments	Devmuni: payment via 'secure Repayment Website Link' (from their own fraud warning on website) — suggests awareness of payment interception risk
6. Offshore Transfer	Funds routed to Singapore/Hong Kong via crypto or SWIFT	Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram (BharatLoan office) = major tech/fintech hub with documented links to offshore payment routing companies
7. NBFC Shell Dissolution	Shell wound up when ED investigation begins	Devmuni's multiple address changes, director replacements, and company website claiming '2023 establishment' suggest preparations for this eventuality

3.2 The Digital Arrest Data Supply Chain

Digital arrest requires pre-assembled victim profiles. The data collected by BharatLoan/Devmuni and by Girdhar Finlease's financial operations constitutes exactly the data package that powers digital arrest:

DATA COLLECTED BY NBFC LOAN APPS	CRIMINAL USE IN DIGITAL ARREST SCRIPT
Aadhaar number + photo	Criminal reads out victim's Aadhaar number on call: 'We have your records.' Creates illusion of state surveillance.
PAN number	Fake 'Income Tax investigation' or 'ED money laundering case' script: 'Your PAN is linked to Rs. X crore suspicious transaction.'
Bank statement (3 months)	Determines exact extractable amount; criminal says: 'Transfer Rs. [slightly less than account balance] to RBI Escrow Account.'
Phone number	Primary contact for digital arrest call; caller ID spoofed to appear as government number.
Home address (from Aadhaar/KYC)	Criminal says: 'We know you are at [correct address]. CBI officers are 20 minutes away.'

So am my

DATA COLLECTED BY NBFC LOAN APPS CRIMINAL USE IN DIGITAL ARREST SCRIPT	
Photograph	Used to generate deepfake 'evidence video' showing victim in compromising situation.
Contact list (if permission granted)	Coercion: 'We will call your [family member's name from contact list] if you do not comply.'
Employer details	Threat: 'Your employer will receive a legal notice. Cooperate to protect your career.'

THE FORENSIC LINK: Every data point collected by Devmuni's BharatLoan and Girdhar's financial operations is also the exact data set used in digital arrest operations. This is not coincidence — it is a designed data supply chain. The NBFC app is the data collection front end; the digital arrest operation is the monetisation backend. This Court has already identified Rs. 54,000 crore in digital dacoity. The data that fuels this dacoity is being collected today, under RBI NBFC registration, by entities that were dormant shells until 2022–2023.

3.3 AdTech Link — SilverPush & InMobi Connection

The Intervenor has previously placed before this Court evidence of SilverPush (Singapore) and InMobi (Singapore) operating behavioral surveillance SDKs embedded in Indian apps. The connection to these three NBFCs:

ADTECH ENTITY	SDK CAPABILITY	NBFC APP LINK	FORENSIC IMPLICATION
SilverPush (SilverEdge Technologies, JMD Megapolis, Gurgaon)	Ultrasonic audio beacons >20kHz embedded in apps: cross-device tracking, ambient audio sampling, voice pattern capture	BharatLoan operating from Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram — same Gurugram tech corridor as SilverPush Gurgaon office. SDK integration cannot be confirmed without APK forensic audit.	If SilverPush SDK embedded in BharatLoan: 5 million users' home audio environments silently monitored; behavioral + financial profile = complete digital arrest target package
InMobi (Embassy Tech Square, Bangalore)	Precise GPS tracking every 15 minutes; cross-app behavioral surveillance; FTC-penalised USD 950,000 for COPPA violations	Standard NBFC digital lending apps routinely integrate InMobi and Google AdMob for user acquisition advertising	If InMobi SDK in BharatLoan: 5 million users' location data transmitted to Singapore servers. Under DPDP Act (Phase 3, 2027): this would require consent. Currently: no enforcement.
Meta Pixel / Google Firebase	Behavioral tracking: browsing, app usage, financial anxiety signals from search queries	Play Store listing confirms Google Play Services integration; Firebase Analytics almost certainly embedded	Financial distress signals (users searching for emergency loans) transmitted to Meta/Google advertising ecosystem = criminal syndicates can purchase 'distressed financial profile' targeting on Meta/Google ad platforms
Scienaptic Credit BRE (US-based, Account Aggregator partner)	Account Aggregator framework: real-time bank transaction data access	Confirmed partner — 'BharatLoan Goes Live with Scienaptic Credit BRE Platform's Account Aggregator' (Asian News International, Sep 30 2024)	Cross-border financial data transmission to US servers under Account Aggregator framework. No DPDP enforcement until 2027. Scienaptic's data security practices: unknown to Indian regulator.

So am m

CRITICAL FORENSIC FINDING — SCIENAPTIC LINK: The Scienaptic partnership is the most significant undisclosed risk in the BharatLoan operation. Scienaptic Systems Inc. is a US-based AI credit decision platform. When BharatLoan integrates with Scienaptic via the Account Aggregator framework: (a) real-time bank transaction data of 5 million Indian borrowers flows to a US server; (b) this includes salary, expenditure patterns, recurring payments, and account balances; (c) under current DPDP rules, cross-border transfer of this data is in a 'regulatory ambiguity zone' until 2027; (d) if Scienaptic's data is accessible to US-based actors or sold via data brokers, it enters the same dark web pipeline that feeds digital arrest victim profiling. This Court should direct RBI to audit all Account Aggregator data flows from BharatLoan/Devmuni to Scienaptic.

PART IV: SERVER LOCATIONS & DATA FLOW MAP

4.1 Known and Probable Data Transmission Destinations

DATA SOURCE	PROBABLE SERVER LOCATION	LEGAL JURISDICTION	ENFORCEMENT GAP
BharatLoan app (DevMuni) — KYC data	AWS Mumbai or AWS Singapore (standard for Indian NBFCs using AWS/Azure)	India — potentially; if AWS Singapore: outside CERT-In enforcement until DPDP Phase 3	CERT-In 6-hour breach reporting applies only to Indian servers. AWS Singapore = reportable to Singapore MAS, not CERT-In.
BharatLoan — Scienaptic integration	Scienaptic Systems Inc. — US servers (Delaware/New York cloud infrastructure)	United States — GDPR does not apply; DPDP cross-border rules not yet effective	Indian citizen bank data on US servers: no Indian enforcement mechanism until 2027
BharatLoan — Account Aggregator framework	RBI's NBFC AA framework routes via NBFC-AA licensed entities. AA license: Finvu, Perfios, Setu (all India-based)	India — AA licensed entities are RBI-regulated	Positive: AA framework data flows are RBI-supervised. BUT: secondary transmission to Scienaptic post-AA processing = outside RBI supervision
BharatLoan — Google Play Services / Firebase (probable)	Google Cloud — Asia-Pacific region or US	Google Ireland / Google LLC (US) — outside Indian enforcement	Behavioral metadata to Google's ad ecosystem: DPDP Phase 3 enforcement only
Girdhar Finlease — financial data	Unknown — no public app or digital platform identified	Unknown	Without forensic audit, data destination cannot be determined. Court direction required.
SilverPush	SilverPush Global Pte. Ltd. — Singapore servers	Singapore — MAS jurisdiction	India-Singapore MLAT: exists but rarely invoked for data cases
InMobi SDK (InMobi Pte. Ltd. — Singapore servers	Singapore — MAS jurisdiction	FTC enforcement in US; India: zero enforcement action despite InMobi India office

4.2 The Money Flow Reconstruction — Devmuni BharatLoan

Based on public information and ED investigation patterns, the following money flow is the probable structure:

FLOW STEP	ENTITY	AMOUNT SCALE	FORENSIC FLAG
1. Borrower repayment	5 million users × avg Rs. 20,000 loan × 35% APR = Rs. 3,500 crore annualised loan book potential	Rs. 32.9 crore FY2024 revenue confirmed — indicates portion of total operations visible	Discrepancy between 5M installs and Rs. 32.9 Cr revenue = either most users are non-borrowers OR significant off-book transactions
2. Payment collection	Via 'secure Repayment Website Link' (warns against direct bank payment)	Unknown — payment aggregator not disclosed	Warning against direct bank payment on website suggests prior interception incidents — evidence of mule account activity
3. Processing fee extraction	2% processing fee + GST on every disbursed loan	On Rs. 32.9 Cr revenue: potentially Rs. 65 lakh in fees — but scale of	Processing fees = immediate cash extraction regardless of loan repayment outcome

So am my

FLOW STEP	ENTITY	AMOUNT SCALE	FORENSIC FLAG
		5M users suggests much higher	
4. Offshore routing probability	Udyog Vihar Phase 3, Gurugram operational office	Not publicly disclosed	Gurugram = documented hub for Chinese-linked fintech operations (see ED cases: ₹719 crore Gurugram-linked funds)
5. Shell company insulation	Company can be dissolved; CoR = B_14.02719 transferable to new shell via RBI application	Rs. 3.5 crore authorised capital = minimal asset exposure	If ED investigates: only Rs. 3.5 crore in assets attachable; majority of extracted value already offshore

PART V: SPECIFIC REGULATORY FAILURES — CHARGES & UNANSWERABLE QUESTIONS

5.1 Regulatory Violations — Company-Specific Charge Sheet

VIOLATION	APPLICABLE LAW	AGAINST WHICH COMPANY	EVIDENCE
PMLA Principal Officer non-registration (2016–2018+)	PMLA 2002 + PMLA Rules 2005, Rule 9	DEVMUNI LEASING AND FINANCE LIMITED	FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list (Feb 27, 2018) — official government document
Data Safety Declaration false on Play Store	IT Act Section 43A + DPDP Act 2023 Section 4 + Companies Act Section 447 (fraud)	DEVMUNI (BharatLoan)	Play Store: 'app does not collect user data'; loan process requires Aadhaar + PAN + bank = sensitive personal data
Multiple conflicting registered addresses	Companies Act 2013 Section 12 (mandatory single registered address)	DEVMUNI (4 addresses) + GIRDHAR (3 addresses)	MCA public records vs. Google Play vs. website — all show different addresses
Company website false 'Established in 2023' claim	Companies Act Section 447 (fraud on public) + IT Act Section 66D (cheating by impersonation)	DEVMUNI (devmunifinance.com)	Website text: 'Established in 2023' vs. MCA: incorporated March 27, 1995
Cross-border data transfer to Scienaptic (US) without DPDP consent mechanism	DPDP Act 2023 Section 16 (cross-border transfer) + IT Act Rule 7 SPDI Rules 2011	DEVMUNI (BharatLoan)	Asian News International Sept 30, 2024: confirmed Scienaptic partnership + Account Aggregator integration
Dormant-NBFC shell acquisition with complete director replacement — potential dummy director structure	Companies Act Section 447 (fraud) + PMLA Section 3 (money laundering)	DEVMUNI (all original directors replaced 2023) + GIRDHAR (founding family entirely replaced)	MCA director records: Zaubacorp/FileSure/TheCompanyCheck public data
Non-corporate email addresses for regulated financial entity	RBI NBFC Governance Guidelines 2023 + Companies Act 2013	DEVMUNI (Gmail) + GIRDHAR (two Gmail accounts)	MCA public records: Gmail addresses in mandatory filings

5.2 Questions for RBI and MCA — Unanswerable Without Admission of Negligence

QUESTION NO.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	WHY UNANSWERABLE
Q1	Devmuni Leasing was listed on the FIU-IND High-Risk NBFC list in 2018 for PMLA Principal Officer non-compliance. What enforcement action was taken between 2018 and 2023? If none, why not?	FIU-IND + RBI	If no action taken: confirms zero PMLA enforcement against listed non-compliant NBFCs
Q2	Devmuni Leasing's Play Store listing claims 'the app does not collect or share any user data.' The loan process requires collection of Aadhaar, PAN, and bank data (all sensitive personal data under DPDP).	RBI + MeitY + DPBI	Reveals that no post-launch audit of NBFC digital lending apps has been conducted

So am my

QUESTION NO.	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY	WHY UNANSWERABLE
	Has RBI audited BharatLoan for compliance with digital lending data protection requirements?		
Q3	Devmoni Leasing has three different addresses in its MCA filing history and a fourth operational address in Gurugram. Under Section 12 Companies Act 2013, a company must have a single registered office. Who is the responsible RoC officer for non-enforcement of this requirement?	MCA/RoC-Delhi	Exposes systematic RoC non-enforcement of basic compliance requirement
Q4	Girdhar Finlease Private Limited (incorporated 1983) recorded 914.51% profit growth in FY2024. What RBI or MCA supervisory action was triggered by this anomalous growth pattern? What is the source of this revenue?	RBI + MCA	913% profit growth in a dormant NBFC is a textbook money laundering red flag. No action = systemic failure
Q5	Has the RBI verified whether the Account Aggregator data transmitted by BharatLoan to Scienaptic Systems Inc. (US) complies with cross-border data transfer requirements? If yes, which regulatory framework was applied? If no, why not?	RBI + MeitY	Reveals that cross-border financial data flows from Indian NBFC apps to US platforms are unaudited
Q6	Madhuri Instalment Private Limited: does this entity appear in any RBI or MCA record? If so, produce complete filing history including all director names, DIN numbers, and RBI correspondence. If not, confirm that no such entity was ever registered.	MCA/RoC-Delhi + RBI	Forces production of records or official confirmation of non-existence — either outcome is forensically significant

PART VI: LEGAL CHARGES & SPECIFIC PRAYERS RELATING TO THESE THREE COMPANIES

6.1 Applicable Legal Provisions

PROVISION	OFFENSE	APPLICABLE TO
PMLA 2002, Section 3	Money laundering — receiving, concealing, or transferring proceeds of crime	Devmuni: PMLA non-compliance 2016–2018+ per FIU-IND record
IT Act Section 43A	Failure to maintain reasonable security practices for sensitive personal data	Devmuni (BharatLoan): collecting Aadhaar + PAN + bank data without disclosed security practices
DPDP Act 2023, Section 4	Unlawful processing of personal data without valid consent	Devmuni: Play Store 'no data' claim vs. actual KYC collection = consent not obtained or disclosed
DPDP Act 2023, Section 16	Cross-border data transfer without compliance with prescribed conditions	Devmuni: Scienaptic (US) data transmission
Companies Act 2013, Section 12	Failure to maintain a single registered office	Devmuni (4 addresses) + Girdhar (3 addresses)
Companies Act 2013, Section 447	Fraud — making false statements to public/regulators	Devmuni website: 'Established 2023' vs. 1995 MCA record
BNS 2023, Section 111	Organized Crime — if Devmuni/Girdhar are operating as part of larger criminal network	All three companies — investigation required
RBI Act 1934, Section 45-IA(6)	Operating NBFC in violation of registration conditions	Devmuni: PMLA PO non-registration while holding valid CoR
PMLA 2002, Section 12	Obligation to maintain records, furnish information to FIU — violated	Devmuni per FIU-IND High-Risk list 2018

6.2 Specific Prayers Relating to These Three Companies

- DIRECTION TO RBI: Within 15 days, produce before this Court the complete RBI supervisory file for Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited (CoR B_14.02719) including: (a) all inspection reports 2002–2026; (b) FIU-IND correspondence regarding High-Risk NBFC designation; (c) all PMLA compliance records; (d) all digital lending framework compliance audits. Basis: Article 32 + RBI Act Section 45-IA.
- DIRECTION TO MCA/RoC-DELHI: Within 15 days, produce: (a) all filed documents for Devmuni Leasing (CIN U74899DL1995PLC066810) including share transfer records 2020–2023; (b) all filed documents for Girdhar Finlease (CIN U74899DL1983PTC014960) including share transfer records; (c) complete file on Madhuri Instalment Private Limited including confirmation of registration status, all director DIN numbers, and complete filing history. Basis: Article 32 + Companies Act 2013 Section 399 (inspection of documents).
- DIRECTION TO FIU-IND: Produce complete file on Devmuni Leasing's High-Risk NBFC designation including: (a) date of first designation; (b) what enforcement action was taken; (c) whether designation was removed and when; (d) all Suspicious Transaction Reports (STRs) filed or received regarding Devmuni. Basis: PMLA 2002 + Article 32.

So am m

10. DIRECTION TO GOOGLE INDIA: Within 30 days, produce the APK forensic analysis of BharatLoan (com.devmunifin.bharatloan) and Loan112 apps including: (a) complete list of all SDKs embedded; (b) all permissions requested and how data is used; (c) all data transmission endpoints including third-party APIs; (d) explanation of contradiction between 'no data collected' declaration and mandatory KYC data collection. Basis: IT Act Section 79 + IT Rules 2021.
11. DIRECTION TO CERT-In: Audit all data flows from BharatLoan to Scienaptic Systems Inc. (US) and produce report on whether this constitutes a notifiable cross-border data transfer under CERT-In Rules 2022 and DPDP Act 2023 Section 16. Basis: CERT-In Rules 2022 + Article 32.
12. DIRECTION TO ED: Investigate whether the dormancy-reactivation pattern in Devmuni Leasing (PMLA non-compliance + director replacement + 4091% revenue growth) and Girdhar Finlease (complete founding family replacement + 914% profit growth) constitute predicate offenses under PMLA. Interim: attach all assets of both companies pending investigation. Basis: PMLA Section 5 + Article 32.

CONCLUSION — THE THREE-COMPANY FORENSIC SUMMARY

This report has established the forensic profiles of three Delhi-registered NBFCs — Devmuni Leasing and Finance Limited, Girdhar Finlease Private Limited, and Madhuri Instalment Private Limited — against the authenticated backdrop of India's cybercrime ecosystem 2012–2026.

What this Court is looking at is not three isolated companies. It is looking at the NBFC layer of the cybercrime infrastructure — the financial plumbing through which the Rs. 54,000 crore 'digital dacoity' flows. The data collected from 5 million BharatLoan users today is the raw material for digital arrest operations tomorrow. The shell NBFC structure — dormant RBI registration, replaced directors, multiple addresses, PMLA non-compliance — is the same structure documented in every Chinese loan app ED investigation from 2020 to 2025.

The Intervenor does not accuse any individual of any crime. The Intervenor places before this Court the forensic patterns, the authenticated documentary evidence, and the specific regulatory failures — and asks this Court to direct the production of records that will either: (a) confirm these patterns are precisely what they appear to be; or (b) allow these companies to demonstrate their compliance before the highest court in the land. Either outcome serves the public interest. Either outcome advances the cause of the SMW 3/2025 proceedings.

So am my

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. ___ of 2026

IN

SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025

IN RE: VICTIMS OF DIGITAL ARREST AND ORGANIZED CYBER CRIME

APPLICATION SEEKING PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice of India

and His Companion Justices of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court of India

MOST RESPECTFULLY

SHOWETH:

1. That the Applicant has filed an application seeking permission to intervene and place certain forensic and technical material on record in the above matter.
2. That the Applicant is a certified national cyber security scholar with a technical background in data systems analysis and has, since 2016, been studying and documenting the structural causes underlying large-scale digital fraud, identity compromise, and systemic cyber vulnerabilities.
3. That the material sought to be placed on record is based on long-term technical analysis conducted by the Applicant concerning data breach patterns, digital identity compromise, and the absence of structured remediation mechanisms for retrieval or destruction of leaked KYC and biometric data.
4. That the Applicant respectfully submits that his direct participation would assist this Hon'ble Court in understanding certain technical dimensions of the issue, including the systemic risks arising from prolonged data exposure and the lack of standard operating procedures for post-breach identity remediation.
5. That the Applicant undertakes to confine submissions strictly to relevant technical and legal issues and to assist this Hon'ble Court in a concise and responsible manner.
6. That the Applicant undertakes to abide by the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and any directions issued by this Hon'ble Court.

PRAYER

In view of the above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

- a) Grant permission to the Applicant to appear and argue in person in the present matter; and
- b) Pass such other order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS, THE APPLICANT AS IN DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY.

Filed by:



So am I

Nitish Kumar
Petitioner in person

So am I

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. ___ of 2026

IN
SUO MOTO WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO. 03 OF 2025

IN RE: VICTIMS OF DIGITAL ARREST AND ORGANIZED CYBER
CRIME

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

To
The Registrar
Supreme Court of India
Delhi

Sir/ Madam,

Kindly enter my appearance in the above-mentioned matter as Intervener appearing in person.

I undertake to abide by the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and the directions issued by this Hon'ble Court from time to time.

Date: 17 Feb 2026

Place: New Delhi



Nitish Kumar
Petitioner in person



